DESIGN OF A PIPELINE BRIDGE

IN NORTHERN BC

Report No. CECDP - 2015/04

April 2015

APPENDICES



Moja Inženjer Ltd.

Myles Cape Amos Kim Omnirey Lacson

Department of Civil Engineering School of Construction and the Environment British Columbia Institute of Technology Burnaby, BC, Canada, V5G 3H2

APPENDIX A: PRELIMINARY HYDROTECHNICAL AND

GEOTECHNICAL ASSESSMENT



PRELIMINARY HYDROTECHNICAL AND GEOTECHNICAL ASSESSMENT

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

This report describes the hydrotechnical and geotechnical conditions of the proposed pipeline crossing at Bridge X Creek. The Information presented is based on desktop information, site visits and geophysical survey data. The Bridge X Creek crossing is located northeast of Hazelton, BC, along the proposed pipeline.

As part of the hydrotechnical assessment a flood frequency analysis was carried out to establish peak instantaneous flows at the Bridge X creek for different return periods including the 200-yr event. The bank erosion hazard was assessed by comparing historical aerial photographs, which enabled the identification of two hazard zones within the floodplain: the *zone of active influence* and the *zone of river influence*. The proposed location of the aerial crossing was assessed against flooding, scour and bank erosion. Recommendations are also provided for the aerial crossing freeboard considering local scour, ice jam scour and to accommodate the passing of large woody debris (LWD).

1.0 INTRODUCTION

1.1. Scope of Work

The objectives of this report are to provide the following for the proposed Bridge X Creek aerial crossing:

- 1. An overview of the expected surface and subsurface conditions at the site.
- 2. An overview of potential geohazards relevant to the proposed infrastructure.
- 3. A seismic hazard assessment of the site.
- 4. Updated hydrotechnical hazard assessment for the crossing.
- 5. Preliminary recommendations for foundations based on inferred ground conditions.
- 6. Recommendations for further work.

3.0 SITE SETTING

3.1. Site Morphology

The proposed aerial crossing is located in a constrained floodplain of the Bridge X Creek, approximately 475 m downstream of an existing forestry bridge. In the area of the proposed aerial crossing, the extent of the zone of active influence is within approximately

45 m of the valley bottom. The current bankfull width is about 15 m at the crossing location.

3.2. Surficial Geology

Surficial materials expected at the proposed crossing location include fluvial, colluvial, glaciofluvial and glacial till deposits.

The valley walls have moderately steep to steep gradients and are expected to be covered with a veneer of till sediments or colluvium, often with rock near their base. Given the site geology and topography at the crossing area, these slopes are prone to slumping, debris avalanches and rock falls.

Thick, hummocky, irregular glaciofluvial deposits are present in several locations throughout the interior plateau, and abandoned glaciofluvial fans and terraces are present in several valleys along the pipeline route. Glaciofluvial material is typically composed of coarse sands and gravels, deposited during the immediate post-glacial and earlier interglacial periods. It is commonly located in terraces above present river levels.

The floodplain of the creek is characterized by fluvial deposits comprising granular materials ranging in size from sands to boulders. Areas mapped as active floodplains have little vegetation and are regularly flooded. Low terraces are not typically flooded, but may be subject to bank erosion, or channel avulsion.

3.3. Bedrock

The geological map for Hazelton, BC (Evenchick et. al. 2008) indicates that the bedrock strata in the area of the proposed crossing likely comprise rocks from the Bulkley Canyon Formation. Typical lithologies associated with this geological unit include feldspathic sandstone, siltstone, mudstone, coal and minor volcaniclastic conglomerate.

Depositional cycles are commonly noted on bedrock exposures of the Bulkley Canyon Formation by an upward reduction on the lithology grain size, ranging from coarse-grained sandstone to carbonaceous siltstone, mudstone or coal.

4.0 FIELD INVESTIGATION

4.1. Site Visit and Surface Mapping

Five hand-dug test pits were excavated to depths of approximately 0.3 m for the purposes of characterizing the near-surface soils, and four bedrock outcrops were mapped. Locations of the hand-dug test pits and bedrock outcrops are presented in Drawing 01.

5.0 PRELIMINARY GEOTECHNICAL SITE CHARACTERIZATION

5.1. Surficial Geology

Three hand-dug test pits (Hand-dug Test Pit 3 to Hand-dug Test Pit 5) excavated in the floodplain (Drawing 01) indicated that the upper 0.3 m of overburden comprised dark brown fluvial sand and gravel, with traces of clay. The gravel particles were noted to be sub-rounded and comprised sedimentary clasts. The surficial sands and gravels were generally moist and based on the effort required to dig the hand dug pits, the compactness condition was assessed to be compact.

Till was encountered in two hand-dug test pits (Hand-dug Test Pit 1 and Hand-dug Test Pit 2) excavated in the south valley wall (Drawing 01) in the vicinity of the proposed crossing. These pits were excavated to a depth of approximately 0.3 m. The near-surface till comprised moist to wet, dark-brown to dark-gray, gravelly silt, and was assessed to be of soft consistency. Gravel sized particles within the till were observed to be sub-rounded to rounded and comprised sedimentary clasts.

Some colluvial material was exposed on a small slump (Slump 1) observed on a forestry road cut at the North bank of the valley (Drawing 01), and also on two other slumps (Slumps 2 and 3) identified further upstream of the crossing area (Drawing 01). These soils generally comprised light brown, dry, gravelly silt with trace sand. The gravel component was described as sub-angular to sub-rounded.

5.2. Bedrock

Bedrock outcrops were not observed along, or near the creek. Small bedrock exposures were identified on the south wall of the valley and large bedrock outcrops were also observed approximately 400 m upstream of the crossing, on the north side of the valley.

Data collected on four bedrock outcrops (Drawing 01) indicated that the rock typically comprised dark-brown, fine-grained, tabular siltstone (refer to photos in Appendix B). The rock mass was observed to be fractured, and two to three well developed sets of joints were consistently noted on the rock exposures. The spacing of discontinuities ranged from very closely to closely spaced. The discontinuities were generally noted to be of low persistence, although these observations were limited by the small size of the outcrops. The joints were generally rough, closed and with no apparent infilling. Based on a field estimate, the rock strength was classified as Medium Strong, with unconfined compressive strength (UCS) likely ranging from 25 to 50 MPa (ISRM, 1981).

5.4. Geohazards

This section has been prepared based on information collected through LiDAR topography, aerial photo interpretation as well as, helicopter and ground based reconnaissance. The assessment of terrestrial slope geohazards for the pipeline is ongoing and has been reported separately. A summary of slope geohazards relevant to the Bridge X Creek aerial crossing is included herein.

On the south wall of the valley, approximately five shallow debris slides have been identified (Drawing 01). Two of these features are located within approximately 50 m of the proposed south foundation, and similar shallow slides may pose a hazard during construction. These shallow slides are interpreted to be linked to the construction of the existing road forestry service road. Other evidence of slope instability has been observed near the proposed alignment along the south Creek valley wall. This includes the presence of curved trees, uneven, wet ground, and open joints and displaced blocks in bedrock outcrops.

The north valley wall is affected by an old, large, deep seated landslide, evident in air photos and LiDAR topography. A large slump block, forming part of the overall landslide, is shown in Drawing 01. This feature has been interpreted from ground reconnaissance as being abandoned, and no longer active; however this interpretation is subject to additional planned study by drilling and installation of an inclinometer. The slump block is not currently expected to pose a credible hazard to the proposed bridge structure, but will require consideration for placement and construction of pipe supports beyond the north embankment.

A number of relatively small, shallow debris slides have been noted on the north valley wall from air photo study. The locations of these features are identified in Drawing 01. Shallow landslides in this area appear to occur with a typical return period of 5 to 10 years. These shallow failures are not expected to affect the proposed aerial crossing structure.

Other geohazards observed in the area of the proposed Bridge X Creek, which do not pose a direct risk to the aerial crossing, include small slumps in the forestry road cuts and on steep sections of the river bank (Drawing 01).

5.5. Seismic Assessment

Spectral accelerations for the proposed Bridge X Crossing site were obtained from the Earthquakes Canada (EC) website http://www.earthquakescanada.nrcan.gc.ca. These values are available for Site Class C, which is defined as very dense soil or soft rock, with time-averaged shear wave velocities in the top 30 m (Vs₃₀) ranging from 360 to 760 m/s (Earthquakes Canada, 2010).

Based on the results of the MASW survey undertaken at the proposed south location (Drawing 03), a Vs_{30} of 985 m/s was estimated for the site. It is important to note that the penetration of the MASW survey was about 24 m, and therefore some extrapolation was needed to complete the shear wave velocity profile to a depth of 30 m.

The estimated Vs_{30} of 985 m/s suggests that the Aerial Crossing site should be classified as Site Class B. Therefore, the spectral accelerations presented in Table 5-1 have been corrected using the appropriate amplification factors according to Finn and Wightman (2003).

Spectral Accelerations	Return Period Earthquake
	2,475-yr
Sa (0.2)	0.076
Sa (0.5)	0.048
Sa (1.0)	0.028
Sa (2.0)	0.018
PGA	0.040

Table 5-1.	Corrected Spectral Accelerations for Site Class B at Bridge X Crossing
	using amplifications factors according to Finn and Wightman (2003).

5.6. Hydrotechnical Hazard Assessment

This section summarizes the hydrotechnical hazard assessment conducted for the aerial pipeline crossing of Bridge X Creek. Surveyed channel geometry and site observations were used to assess the potential hydrotechnical hazards for the proposed aerial crossing, including: general scour, ice jam scour, bank erosion, avulsion and large woody debris (LWD). The hazard assessment methodology is summarized here with considerations important to the aerial crossing. Hydrotechnical processes that have the potential to compromise an aerial crossing bridge span were evaluated based on judgment, qualitative assessment, and analysis of historic air photographs.



Figure 5-1. Upstream view of Bridge X Creek at the proposed aerial crossing.

5.6.1. Hydrotechnical Hazard Analysis

Flood Frequency Analysis

Flood quantiles at the Bridge X Creek site were estimated using a Flood Frequency Analysis (FFA). An FFA can either be conducted regionally using several hydrometric stations, or for a specific station where the peak flow estimates are then pro-rated by drainage area to the location of interest. The latter approach is generally only employed for locations on the same river system.

Flood quantiles were estimated using a regional analysis with available peak flow data from four Water Survey of Canada (WSC) hydrometric stations located near the Bridge X Creek site. The drainage area of this site was estimated to be 152 km².

Aerial	Basin Area	Q _{IMAX} for Given Return Periods (m ³ /s)						
Crossing	(km²)	2-yr	5-yr	10-yr	25-yr	50-yr	100-yr	200-yr
Bridge X Creek	152	16	23	28	36	43	50	59

Table 5-3.	Peak Instantaneous	Flow Estimates	(QIMAX) at the	Bridge X Creek
------------	--------------------	----------------	----------------	-----------------------

General Scour

A scour analysis was completed for the Bridge X Creek site to evaluate general scour conditions for the proposed pipeline crossing. General scour refers to channel adjustments during a single flood event based on sediment inflow, bed material gradation and the sediment transport potential in a stream reach.

The scour analysis was conducted using peak flows presented in Table 5-3 above, a channel gradient of 1.5%, and a channel cross-section provided by a ground survey. Various empirical hydraulic equations have been developed to estimate scour depth during a peak flow event. Each method was designed based on a specific range of boundary conditions and care must be taken to select appropriate methods to apply to a given study site. The selection and effective use of these equations requires considerable engineering judgment, resulting in semi-quantitative results.

For the hydraulic analysis, available topographic data included a LiDAR survey and the aforementioned ground survey, complete with bathymetric data. Flow hydraulics were estimated using Manning's equation and a channel cross- section cut from the survey; the 200-year peak instantaneous flow was determined to correspond to a water elevation of 519.2 m above sea level (Drawing 06). The D_{50} of the channel substrate is approximately 105 mm based on a Wolman sample.

For the 200-year flood, a scour depth of 0.8 m below the channel thalweg (EI. 517.7 masl) is estimated, which results in a scour elevation of approximately 516.9 masl. This scour depth is based on the Blench regime equation, which is considered to be suitably conservative for this crossing location. However, this estimate is for general scour only in the case of an open trench or HDD pipeline crossing. Local scour, which can occur, is considered in a section below.

Bank Erosion

To assess the historical lateral extents of the Bridge X Creek at the proposed aerial crossing, an evaluation of channel migration was undertaken by comparing historical aerial photographs. The aerial photographs were georeferenced to make the comparison, and the historic channel planform (the outline of the 1949 active channel) was digitized and then overlain on the 2013 orthophoto. Drawing 04 demonstrates a quantitative assessment of the lateral extents of the watercourse over the past 65 years (1949 - 2013).

The proposed crossing occurs through the constrained floodplain of Bridge X Creek, approximately 475 m downstream of an existing forestry service road bridge. The current bankfull width is 15 m at this location, reduced from approximately 25 m in 1949. Furthermore, Drawing 04 illustrates that the channel reaches within 100 m upstream and downstream of the proposed crossing have become increasingly stable as well: the active channel has narrowed by as much as 60 m and the river banks have become increasingly vegetated.

The wider channel in 1949 is likely related to a period of increased landslide activity on the valley sidelopes upstream of the crossing. Drawing 01 shows the paths of a number of historic debris

slides, a majority of which have revegetated. However, there are a number of active debris slides located adjacent to Bridge X Creek upstream of the confluence with Creek B. A more unstable, wider channel is a typical response to an above average influx of sediment to a watercourse. It is therefore not unreasonable to hypothesis that the wider channel in 1949 is a result of a period of increased landslide activity and although these slides have since stabilized for the most part, another period of increased landslide activity could occur in the future during the life of the pipeline crossing.

5.6.2. Design Considerations

Location of Abutments

Drawing 05 shows the approximate extent of the Bridge X Creek floodplain, which is approximately 175 m wide at the proposed crossing. The floodplain is confined by moderate to steep gradient slopes on either side of the pipeline crossing. Two hazard zones have been identified on Drawing 05 that are potentially subject to flooding, scour, and bank erosion: the *zone of river influence* and the *zone of active influence*.

The *zone of active influence* represents the potential distance over which the active channel is likely to migrate based on air photo and topographic contour interpretation, as well as a site observations. The *zone of river influence* is essentially the width of the floodplain and it is assumed that the river could occupy any position of the floodplain in the future given sufficient time and suitable hydrologic and sediment supply conditions. The *zone of river influence* should be considered as a continuum hazard zone, such that as one moves away from the existing banks of the main river channel, the likelihood of the river occupying that position of the floodplain and impacting the pipe decreases until the edges of the zone are reached. The further the abutments of the aerial crossing are positioned away from the active channel, the likelihood that lateral migration of the river would impact on the pipeline. The lowest likelihood would be associated with the abutments located on the outer margins of the *zone of river influence*.

However, hazard mitigation through avoidance is not always practical. Some rivers can have broad floodplains that are characterized by very low bank erosion rates. In such cases, it is not necessary that the aerial crossing span the entire floodplain. Therefore, within the broad *zone of river influence*, a *zone of active influence* has been subjectively delineated on Drawing

05. This zone represents an area that is considered most likely to be occupied by the active channel in the pipeline design life (assumed to be about 50 years). This zone is based on the historic assessment of lateral instability, and should not be considered as a distinct line: that is, having the outer abutments lie within or out of the active zone does not represent safe and unsafe conditions, respectively.

Given the greater uncertainty regarding the future position of the channel on the south side, it is recommended that the south (left) bank be protected with riprap. Class 100 riprap (100 kg, $D_{50} \sim 450$ mm) with a thickness of 0.7 m and an appropriate filter should be used at a minimum slope of 2H:1V. The riprap should extend to the upstream boundary of the right-of-way and about 10m downstream of the south abutment. For the upstream configuration, the riprap should curve inland at a moderate angle to minimize the potential for upstream erosion to undermine the

riprap. Excavation of the floodplain soils would be required for some of the riprap placement and the armour layer would then be buried using the excavated soils.

Aerial Crossing Freeboard

At aerial crossings, a minimum clearance height (i.e. freeboard) is required above the 200-year flood (Q_{200}) elevation to account for passing of large woody debris (LWD) and to minimize the potential for any floating debris to come into contact with the pipeline. This freeboard also accounts for potential long-term channel aggradation and uncertainty in peak flow estimates.

LWD is defined as wood > 0.1 m diameter and > 1 m length which has been deposited on river banks or within the channel itself (Featherstone, Naiman, & Bilby, 1995). The potential for LWD to collect and become entrained is often evaluated for aerial span and bridge crossings. Assessments are typically conducted using a weighting system based on channel characteristics that favour LWD accumulation, including: channel form, channel roughness (i.e.: bed material, presence of boulders, and pools/riffles), bankfull width and channel slope. These assessments rely heavily upon field notes, photographs and other information collected from the crossing site.

Based on the site visit, **2** m of freeboard is recommended between the 200-year flood elevation and the soffit (Drawing 06).

Local Scour

Local scour refers to erosion of the streambed that is immediately adjacent to and caused by some obstruction to flow, such as a bridge pier or LWD. For the proposed aerial crossing, any of the foundations will potentially be located within the active channel within the lifespan of the pipeline. Local scour will therefore be evaluated under the pretense that the active channel could migrate laterally within the design life of the pipeline, exposing any of the foundations to high return period floods. Scour depth was assessed using the *CSU Equation for Scour* (Richardson et al., 1993). The estimated scour depth of 1.0 m is additive to the general scour estimate of 0.8 m, yielding a net scour depth of 1.8 m below which the foundations should be founded: 2.0 m (Elevation = 515.7 masl) is recommended for design.

Ice Jam Scour

Ice jam scour can occur during ice build-up reaching depths equal to ice thickness below the water surface at the upstream end of a jam (Mercer & Cooper, 1977). The influence of an ice cover on a channel involves complex interactions between the ice cover, ice roughness, fluid flow, sediment, bed geometry, water depth, and channel geometry, which can have a dramatic effect on sediment transport process and channel development, especially in narrow rivers (Hains and Zabilansky, 2005).

The potential stability of ice jam formation is determined in most cases by the width of the river channel and the river flow velocity. A river with high gradient and high flow velocity will not tend to allow the formation of stable ice jams. Similarly, wide rivers are less likely to form stable ice jams. Rivers prone to ice jam formation would generally have lower flow velocity and a narrowed section where ice could be accumulated (Mercer and Cooper, 1977). Rivers with fine-grained substrates (sand or finer) are also more susceptible to potential ice scour (Yaremko and Cooper, 1983).

A desktop study, including review of site photographs from the nearby Forestry Service road bridge, has concluded that the Bridge X Creek crossing site carries minimal risk of ice jam scour. While there is evidence of some ice cover formation on the north bank of Bridge X Creek, the watercourse was observed to be free flowing during winter low flow periods. See Figure 5-3 and Figure 5-4 for further detail.



Figure 5-3. Bridge X Creek as observed from the Forestry Service Road Bridge, facing downstream.



Figure 5-4. Bridge X Creek as observed from the Forestry Service Road Bridge, facing upstream.

6.0 FOUNDATION DESIGN

Given the interpreted depth to bedrock at the crossing site and the need to protect the bridge foundations from the creek scour, pile foundations are recommended for supporting the bridge.

It is recommended that the contractor have the ability to clean out the piles and/or remove obstructions, such as cobbles and boulders, by drilling, if needed. This may be accomplished using a down-hole hammer or dual-rotary drill or other suitable method. Concrete plugs may be installed once the piles have been cleaned out in order to improve the end bearing resistance and overall geotechnical pile capacity. This would result in shorter pile lengths being required to resist compressive loads. However, if uplift resistance or lateral capacity is shown to govern the required length of pile, the addition of a concrete plug at the base of the pile may be of limited benefit.

The preliminary pile design has been carried out so that the maximum Ultimate Limited State (ULS) axial load expected on the pile does not exceed the geotechnical axial resistance of the pile.

With respect to lateral resistance, the piles were sized so that the maximum lateral deflection at the top of the pile did not exceed 25 mm under the expected Service Limit State (SLS) loads.

Based on experience with similar geophysical surveys undertaken in other areas of the pipeline route with comparable geology, it is believed that the bedrock surface may be considerably deeper along the creek than the interpretation of the geophysical surveys.

In order to account for the uncertainty in bedrock elevation, two independent scenarios were analyzed and the piles were sized so as to satisfy the design criteria in either case. Given the overall consistency with respect to the subsurface conditions at each foundation location as suggested by the geophysical surveys, the two cases described below were assessed to be representative of each pile, regardless of the location. The maximum axial and lateral loads applied in the analyses represent estimated loading conditions on a single pile within the foundations.

- <u>Case A:</u> Competent bedrock was assumed to be at a depth of approximately 8.4 m below the existing ground surface (EI. 512.8 masl). The piles would be driven through overburden soil (sands and gravels) and socketed into competent bedrock.
- <u>Case B:</u> Bedrock was assumed to be a depth such that the piles would be embedded in the overburden only. The overburden was assumed to comprise compact sand and gravel.

The analyses presented in the following sections are based on 914 mm x 12.7 mm (diameter x thickness) piles.

6.1. Geotechnical Axial Resistance

6.1.1. General Design Methodology

The unfactored axial pile resistance in compression is a combination of the pile shaft resistance (R_s) and the pile toe resistance (R_t). The unfactored axial pile resistance in tension is equivalent to the exterior pile shaft resistance only.

Various empirical methods are available to estimate these resistances based on the soil parameters and pile geometry. The recommendation is to use the Beta (effective stress) method for estimation of the unfactored axial pile resistance, Ruc and Rut.

Ruc = unfactored axial pile resistance, in compression = Rs + Rt

Rut = unfactored axial pile resistance, in tension = Rs

Rs = shaft resistance = As x qs

 R_t = toe resistance = $A_t x q_t$

 $\phi_c R_{uc} \ge$ factored axial resistance in compression (refer to Table 6-2 for geotechnical resistance factor, ϕ_c)

 $\phi_t R_{ut} \ge$ factored axial resistance in tension/uplift (refer to Table 6-2 for geotechnical resistance factor, ϕ_t)

where As = pile shaft/soil surface area (based on pile perimeter and pile length),

 $q_s = \beta \times \sigma' = unit shaft friction,$

At = pile toe cross-sectional area,

 $q_t = N_t x \sigma'_t = unit toe resistance,$

 β = shaft resistance coefficient,

Nt = toe bearing capacity factor,

 σ ' = vertical effective soil stress along pile shaft,

 σ'_t = vertical effective soil stress at the pile toe.

The simplified equations above are representative of the case where the pipe piles were cleaned out to the pile toe following installation, or if the frictional resistance of the soil inside the pile shaft is ignored. Pile plugging was not considered in the present analyses In order to ensure that interaction effects do not limit the axial capacity of the piles, a minimum spacing of 2.5 diameters is recommended (CSA, 2006).

Nt and β values were selected based on recommendations provided in Tables 18.1 and 18.2 of the Canadian Foundation Engineering Manual (CGS, 2006).

The soil located above the 200-year scour elevation (515.7 masl) as well as the contribution to the effective stress at depth caused by this material was ignored in the preliminary design.

The recommended geotechnical resistance factors for both compression and tension are presented in Table 6-2. Values are in accordance with the Canadian Foundation Engineering Manual (CGS, 2006). A resistance factor of ϕ c=0.4 was used for factoring the compressive resistance of the pile.

Table 6-2. Recommended Geotechnical Resistance Factor (φ) for Pile Design (CGS, 2006)

Compression – static analysis	фс=0.4
Compression – dynamic analysis (with field measurement and analysis, e.g. PDA tests)	фс=0.5
Tension – static analysis	φt=0.3
Tension – using results of dynamic analysis	φt=0.4

6.1.2. Preliminary Pile Design for Axial Compression

<u>Case A</u>

For piles socketed into bedrock, it may be assumed that the geotechnical axial resistance will be limited by the buckling capacity of the pile.

<u>Case B</u>

For this case, the overburden was assigned a beta coefficient (β) of 0.6, and N_t factor of 50. The results suggest that a 914 mm x 12.7 mm driven pipe pile would require a minimum embedment length of 15 m below the 200-yr scour elevation (515.7 masl) to develop adequate factored geotechnical axial resistance against the expected ULS compressive load.

6.2. Lateral Pile Capacity

6.2.1. General Design Methodology

The lateral resistance of piles is a function of the connection details at the pile head, the structural rigidity of the pile section, the installed length of the pile, and the soil resistance along the pile shaft. For preliminary design purposes, a lateral load analysis using assumed, non-liner p-y curves for each stratigraphic layer was conducted using the computer software LPILE, (by Ensoft, Inc.). The input parameters used for these analyses are presented in Table 6-3 for Case A and Case B, respectively. These values were selected based on recommendations provided by Reese and Wang (1989) with pipe piles driven in ground conditions similar to those expected here. The geotechnical properties for the weak bedrock strata have been conservatively selected following the guidance by Reese (1997) and are consistent with bedrock observations carried out in the field.

The preliminary lateral pile analyses conducted in this study considered single piles only and did not account for interaction effects resulting from closely spaced piles. No reduction factors are required for loading in the longitudinal direction.

Material	Geotechnical Parameter	Value
Sand (Reese)	Effective Unit Weight, y' (kN/m ³)	9
	Friction Angle, ^o (Deg)	33
	Initial Soil Modulus, ks (MPa)	16.3
Weak Rock (Reese)	Effective Unit Weight, y' (kN/m ³)	14
	Uniaxial Compressive Strength q _{ur} (MPa)	6.5
	Initial Rock Modulus, k _{ir} (MPa)	200 – 800
	Rock Quality Designation – RQD (%)	0
	Strain factor - k _{rm}	0.00005

 Table 6-3. Geotechnical parameters adopted for preliminary pile design

6.2.2. Preliminary Pile Design for Lateral Resistance

Case A

The ground surface elevation is assumed to correspond to the 200 yr scour elevation.

The results from the LPILE analysis indicate that a 914 mm x 12.7 mm driven pipe pile, socketed a minimum of 4.2 m into bedrock, would experience a maximum deflection at the pile head on the order of 15 mm under the assumed conditions.

<u>Case B</u>

The analysis suggests that an embedment depth of 18 m below the 200 yr scour elevation would be required to resist the expected lateral loads under these assumed conditions. In that case, the 914 mm diameter x 12.7 mm driven pile would be expected to deflect approximately 21 mm at the pile head.

6.3. Pile Uplift Due to Frost Action

6.3.1. General Design Methodology

Based on the air freezing indices, a preliminary frost penetration depth of 2 m was calculated using the modified Berggren method (Aldrych and Paynter 1966) for the Bridge X aerial crossing site. In the absence of site specific geotechnical investigations, conservative values were selected for the ground thermal properties and ground surface conditions during winter.

A design adfreeze bond of 120 kPa was assumed following the recommendations from the Canadian Engineering Foundation Manual (CGS, 2006), where typical adfreeze bond stresses range for fine grained soils is proposed from 65 kPa to 100 kPa and for saturated gravel frozen to steel piles can be estimated at 150 kPa.

Based on the design bond stress, the pile surface area, the estimated frost-depth penetration of 2 m, and assuming a load factor of 1.3, a factored jack uplift force of 896 kN per pile was estimated for preliminary design purposes.

6.3.2. Preliminary pile uplift resistance

Case A.

The side-wall shear resistance was estimated based on a correlation with the rock unconfined compressive strength (UCS) from Wylllie (1999). For the calculation of the factored uplift resistance of the pile, a resistance factor of 0.5 was assumed. The basis for adopting a higher resistance factor (ϕ t) to the one shown in Table 6-2, is that the jacking force from adfreeze is transient.

Based on a conservative UCS value, and on a proposed socketed length of 4.2 m into competent bedrock (refer to Table 6-5), the factored uplift resistance is estimated to be 2,900 kN, which exceeds the estimated factored adfreeze uplift load of 896 kN.

Case B.

The Canadian Engineering Foundation Manual (CGS, 2006) indicates that for cohensionless soils, such as sand and gravel, the ultimate uplift shaft resistance is about 75% of its value in compression. For the calculation of the factored uplift resistance of the pile, a resistance factor of 0.5 was assumed as discussed on Case A.

Following the design basis above, the proposed minimum embedment length of the pile below the 200-yr scour elevation (refer to Table 6-5), will provide an estimated factored uplift resistance of 1,250 kN, which exceeds the estimated factored adfreeze uplift load of 896 kN.

6.4. Pile Design Summary and General Recommendations

A summary of the overall findings of the preliminary design effort including the size, minimum embedment depth and factored axial resistance of the piles is presented in Table 6-5.

Pile details	Case A	Case B	
Pile Diameter (mm) x Thickness (mm)	914 >	(12.7	
Minimum total pile length to satisfy estimated axial and lateral loading (m)13.124.0			
Unbraced length – Pile length above 200-yr scour elevation (m)	6.0		
Minimum pile embedment below the 200-yr scour elevation to satisfy both axial and lateral loading requirements (m)	7.1	18.0	
Minimum pile length socketed into bedrock (m)	4.2	Not applicable	
Factored Geotechnical Axial Resistance in compression (kN)	Assumed to be equal to structural buckling capacity of the pile	1,300	

 Table 6-5.
 Pile recommendations for the Bridge X Creek Aerial crossing

A drive shoe is recommended to protect the pile toe and enhance pile driving penetration through the coarse fluvial soils. Specifically, the use of an inside shoe will minimize disturbance of the soil around the outside of the pile and allow maximum exterior shaft resistance. If an outside drive shoe is used, additional pile length may be required as a result of lower shaft resistance.

The Canadian Engineering Foundation Manual (CGS, 2006) suggests a value of 6,000 kJ times the cross-sectional area of the pile as the maximum hammer energy for driven steel pipe piles. For a 914 mm diameter pipe pile with a wall thickness of 12.7 mm, a maximum hammer energy of 216 kJ is recommended.

It is recommended that full-time inspection by an experienced geotechnical engineer be provided during pile installation. Comparison of pile tip elevations with the test hole records (once they become available) should be carried out on an ongoing basis while pile driving in addition to recording blow counts with penetration depth. Piles should be driven to the minimum tip elevation necessary to resist lateral design loads as well as compressive and tensile axial loads.

The contractor may wish to consider pre-boring to achieve adequate pile penetration if shallow refusal on cobbles/boulders become problematic, or if pile driving activities are conducted in winter conditions. In these cases, pre-boring should be limited to a diameter of 25 mm less than the proposed pile diameter to allow for good friction contact between the pile shaft and subsoil.

Due to the current uncertainty of the soil conditions at the proposed pile locations, Pile Dynamic Analysis (PDA) testing is recommended to confirm the axial design capacity of the piles. PDA testing should be conducted both during initial pile driving and restrike after a suitable waiting period has passed to allow pore pressures related to pile driving to dissipate.

It is recommended that all piles be analyzed with PDA, with re-strikes performed a minimum of 24 hours after initial driving. If relaxation occurs, the pile should be re-driven to the final driving criteria and the cycle repeated until the final driving criteria can be achieved during the pile restrike. If PDA testing confirms that the pile has met the axial design capacity during re-strike, the pile may be considered to be acceptable. If PDA testing indicates that the axial design capacity has not been achieved and driving to further depth is not practical, the driving of additional pile(s) may be required. PDA tests must be carried out by an experienced geotechnical engineer.

The PDA measures the dynamic response of the pile-soil system during driving and uses these measurements to calculate an estimate of ultimate static pile-soil resistance. Following PDA field measurements the shaft and toe resistance should be further assessed by conducting CAPWAP analyses. CAPWAP is performed to determine the load-displacement relationship, and the distribution of the geotechnical resistance. CAPWAP allows analysis of soil/pile interactions and is a more refined calculation than the estimated capacities that are calculated directly during the field testing PDA procedure





APPENDIX B: DESIGN LOADS





Project:	Capstone Design Project	Done by:	Myles C	Date:	17/	01/20	015
Subject:	Loads	Checked by:	Amos K	Page:	1	of	9

PIPELINE
PSTEEL = ~ 7850 kg/m3
$S \text{ streel} = 77 \text{ kN/m}^3$
$A_{\text{STEEL}} = \pi (1219)^2 - \pi (1168)^2 = 99280 \text{mm}^2$
USTEEL = 1.07 KIV/m
WATER
ZWATER = 9.81 kN/m3
$A_{WATER} = \frac{T}{4} (1166)^2 = 1068.000 \text{ mm}^2$
WWATER = 9.81 kN/m3 (1.068 m2) = 10.5 kN/m
3.10 - WIND LOAD - REFERENCE WIND PRESSURE, of TABLE AS.
3.10.1.2 - SMITHERS, 50 YR RETURN PERIOD 0.40 KR
3.10.1.2 - NOT DEEP VALLEY ; NO ADDITIONAL EFFECT
3.10.1.3 - GUST EFFECT COEFF, Cg = 2.5
3.10.1.4 - WIND EXPOSURE COEFF, CE = 1.0
3.10.2.3 - Cv=1.0
$F_v = q C_e C_g C_v = (0.4 k Pa)(1.0)(2.5)(1.0)$
Fy = 1.0 kPa (VERTICAL WIND PRESSURE)
3.10.2.2 - CH= 2.0
$F_{H} = 2.0 \text{ kPa}$
ICE ACCRETION LOAD
FIGURE A3.1.4 - 12mm THICKNESS ON ONE SIDE



Project:	Capstone Design Project	Done by:	Myles C	Date:	17/	01/2	015
Subject:	Loads	Checked by:	Amos K	Page:	2	of	9

BCBC 4.1.6.2 SNOW LOAD FIER BOBC $5=T_5 \begin{bmatrix} S_9 (C_6 C_W C_5 C_a) + S_7 \end{bmatrix}$ ASSUME $T_5 = 1.0$ IMPORTANCE FACTOR TABLE 4.1.6.2 SS=3.2 KROUTABLE C-2 (DIVB, APP. Sr: 0.2 KPA (I IN SOYK EVENT) I SNOW SENTENCE (2) G=0.8 LIL ASSUME (w= 1.0) Z (s=1.0 C ASSUME RECTANGULAR Ga=1.0 (DIST IN "DAMPAD" 5= 1.0 (3.2(0.8) + 0.2) S= 276 KPa MC => WSi=(2.76 KPa)(1.219m) Wsi = 3.36 KN/m MC ICE ACCRETION (CONT'D) FROM CHBDC 3.12.0 LOW E SIDE OF PIPE | DICE = 9.8 KN/m3 12 mm THICKN ESS ICE ACCUMULATED SORFACE $L_{I} = \frac{T}{2} = \frac{T}{2} (1219) = 1915 m$ WI = (9.8 KN/3) (1.915m) (0.012m) WT = 0.225 KN/m TOTAL SNOW LOAD WS = UB; + WZ CASSUME ICE ACCRETION IS SNOW Ws = 3.59 KN/m 3.6 TOTAL DEAD LOAD (SEE NOTES, JAN. 17, 2015) WSTEEL + WWATER = 10. 5 + 7.64KN/m WO = 18.1 KN/m WIND LOAD (SEE JAN. 1, 2013) WWIND = (1.0 KPa) (1.22 m) = 1.22 KN/m (



Project:	Capstone Design Project	Done by:	Myles C	Date:	17/	01/20	015
Subject:	Loads	Checked by:	Amos K	Page:	3	of	9

	Assume construction during summer nonths
	Load Cases :
	1. Pressure Testing
	SW + Water Weight
	2. Construction Isading
	SW + 20 workers + 2 kN tool cart
	3. Dead Load (BCBC)
	1.4D+0.45+ Ice Accretion assume ice and show occur to the same time.
	4. Snow Jond (BCBC)
	1.25D + 1.55 + 100 Accretion
Ca	20 4:
	SW assume 152×52×9.5 Cr=796 KN (L=5200)
	$M = 40.9 \text{ kg/m} \qquad \qquad$
	y= 77 kN/m² = 9.8 kN/m³
	$A_{s} = \frac{T}{4} \left(\frac{1219^{2} - 1166^{2}}{99280 \text{ mm}^{2}} \right) = \frac{99280 \text{ mm}^{2}}{262 \text{ mm}}$
	$W_{s} = 77 kN/m^{2} (99.280 mm^{2}) \times 10^{-6} = 7.64 kN/m$
	$\lambda_{\rm H} = 1068000 {\rm mm}^2$
	$W_{in} = 9.8 \text{ km/m}^2 (1068000 \text{ mm}^2) \times 10^{-6} = 10.5 \text{ km/m}$
	use SG load combos 1.1D (treat water as dead since only applied ance) (1.1D for still mentals)
	sipe load:



Project:Capstone Design ProjectDone by:Myles CDate:17/01/2015Subject:LoadsChecked by:Amos KPage:4of9

aroume 0.25 kPa grating weight 0.25 kPa x 4.3 m = 1.1 kN/m 1.1(1.1km/m) = 1.2 KN/m (factored) factored beam self weight 1.1(401) = 440 N/m = 0.44 kN/m (per linear meter of beam) Case 1 Summary: pipe load + grating = 20 kN/m + 1.2 kN/m = 21.2 kN/m (factored) beam self weight (per miter of beam) = . 44 kN/m (factored)



Project:Capstone Design ProjectDone by:Myles CDate:17/01/2015Subject:LoadsChecked by:Amos KPage:5of9

Case 2 beam weight = 0.44 kN/m (factored; per linear meter of beam) geating weight = 1.2 kN/m (factored) pipe weight = 1.1 (7.64) = 8.4 kN/m assume tool cart already factored = 2kN/ 20 maintamence workers: assume worst case of all 20 workers standing on one panel near the center



Project:	Capstone Design Project	Done by:	Myles C	Date:	17/	01/20	015
Subject:	Loads	Checked by:	Amos K	Page:	6	of	9

Case 3: unfactored loads brams = 0.4 kN/m (per linear meter of learn) pipe = 7.64 hN/m $grating = 1.1 \text{ kN/m} \qquad natural gas = 0.88 \text{ kg/n}^3 (9.81) (1.068 \text{ m}^2) \\ = 9 \text{ N/m} \quad (insignificant)$ $phow = \overline{J}_{S}\left[S_{S}\left(C_{b}C_{w}C_{s}C_{a}\right) + S_{c}\right] (BCBC 4.1.6.2)$ phow = 2.76 kPa (assume snow can only accumulate over the area once = 2.76 kPa (1.5m + 1.5m + 1.3m) = 11.9 kN/m ice accretion (SG 3.12.C) -12mm 12 mm thickness 7= 9.8 kN/m3 pipe = nD yt = m (1.219) (9.8) (.012) = 0.225 KN/M ice accretion on hidge members 0. DIZm(9.8 KN) (. 152m) = 0. OIS KN/m (per linear meter of begm.) ice accretion on grating assume grating covers 25% of the area 0.012m (9.8kN/m²) (3m) (0.25) = 0.088 kN/m



Project:	Capstone Design Project	Done by:	Myles C	Date:	17/0	01/20	015
Subject:	Loads	Checked by:	Amos K	Page:	7	of	9





Project:Capstone Design ProjectDone by:Myles CDate:17/01/2015Subject:LoadsChecked by:Amos KPage:80f9

Case 4: 1.25D+ 1.55 + 1.3 A (A= ice accretion 56-6) combination of BCBC load core and S6 load case for ice accretion BCBC load case taken since the case is more conservative then 36 case. Snow = 11.9 kN/m Pead:1.1 kN/m + 7.64 kN/m = 8.74 kN/m 0.4 kN/m (pr linear meter of beam) 1 ce : 0.225 kN/m + 0.088 kN/m = 0.31 kN/m 0.018 km/m (per linear meter of beam) Factored: 1.25 (8.74 KN/m) + 1.5 (11.9 KN/m) + 1.3 (0.31 KN/m) = 29.2 KN/m 1.25(0.4 kN/m) + 1.3 (0.018 kN/m) = 0.52 kN/m (per liacar neter) Governing Load Case



Project:Capstone Design ProjectDone by:Myles CDate:17/01/2015Subject:LoadsChecked by:Amos KPage:9of9

SLS Lod (CSA SG	ad Combo: -06 Table 3.1)	1.00 + 0.95	phony taken hive wad	instead of since greater
D¢,	sw of brands =	dependent on op	ption	
	SW of grating =	0.25 kPa (1.5m)	= 0.315	kN/m
	SW of pipe =		= 7.64	kN/m
	1. 9. 7		8.02 kN/m -	· Beam SW
52	snow lond unfacto	ned = 2.16 kPa		
	tributary widt	ho: pipe = 1.219m/	2= 0.61m	
	7	grating	= 1.5m	
	factored loads:	piper = 0.9 (2.76	okfa) (0.6/m) =	1.5 kN/m
	9	mating = 0.9(2.7)	(kPa) (1. 5m) =	= 3,7 kN/m
				5.24 kN/m
Pipe	Load, P:		1-20101	
	1.69 kN/m + 0.1	112.10kta 0.61m) -	S. STRIM	
Servi	ce Load, Q:			
	Beam SW + 0.375k	N/m + 0.9 (2.76 k) a	× (.5m) = 4,1	kN/m * Beem SW

APPENDIX C: PRELIMINARY SUPERSTRUCTURE

DESIGN CALCULATION




Project:	Capstone Design Project	Done by:	Myles C	Date:	08/0	2/20	15
Subject:	Preliminary Pratt	Checked by:	Amos K	Page:	1	of	5
	Truss Design						

Option 1 - 16 panels
Top Chrid Members
G = 2281 kN L= 4.375m Ld = 0.9 (4.375m) = 3.938m
HSS 254 × 254 × 9.5 Cr= 2520 kN L= 4000 mm
Bottom Chord Members
TF= 2231 KN L= 4,375m Ld= 3.938m
$T_r = \phi A_5 F_y = 0.9 (9090 \text{ mm}^2) (300 \text{ MPa}) = 2454 \text{ kN}$
HSS 254×254×9.5
Vertical Membres
$C_{f} = 595 \text{ kN}$ L= 5 m La = 0.7(5) = 3,5 m
HSD152 + 152 + 4.8 Cr= 627kN L= 3600mm
Piagnal Members
TF= 790kN L= 6.64m La= 4.648m
Chuck HSS 152 + 152 . 4.8
$T_c = \phi A_g F_y = 0.9 (2760 m m^2) 300 m = 745 kN$
L/r ≤ 300 4648/59.9 = 78 ✓
HSS 152 × 152 × 4.8
Wt. of Steel
Length of Web men bers = 16 (5m + 6.64m) = 186.24m/truss
Length of Chord members = 2x 70m - 4.375m = 135.6 / Iruss
Wt. = 186.24m (0.213 kN/m) = 135.6m (0.7 kN/m) = 134.6 kN/truss
total times Wf = 269.2 kN





Project:	Capstone Design Project	Done by:	Myles C	Date:	08/0	02/20)15
Subject:	Preliminary Pratt	Checked by:	Amos K	Page:	3	of	5
	Truss Design						

Option 2 - 14 panels Top Chind Members CF = 2383 KN L= 5m Ld = 0,9 (5000) = 4500 mm HSS 254x254x9.5 Cr=2340 kN L= 4800 mm Bottom Chord Members Tr= 2316 LN L= 5m La= 4.5m Check HSS 254.254.45 Tr= \$ Ag Fy = 0.9 (9090) 300 = 2454 KU L/r <300 4500/99.1 = 45 V H5S 254 × 254 × 9.5 Vertical Hembers CF= 579KN L= 5m La= 0.7(5)= 3.5m HSD152x 152x 4.8 Cr=627KN L= 3600mm Diagnal Members TE= 819KN L= 7.07m La= 0.7(707)= 4.95m Check HSS152 × 152 × 4.8 Tr= \$ Ag Fy= 0.9(2760)(300) = 745 kN 4- 4300 4950/59,9 = 82.6 V HSS 152 - 152 × 4.8 WH. of Steel Length of Web members = 16 (5+ 7.07) = 193.12/truss W/t. = 193.12" (0.213 kN/m) + 135m (0.7 kN/m) = 135.6 kN/truss total truss Wt. - 271.2kN # connections = 54



Project:	Capstone Design Project	Done by:	Myles C	Date:	08/0)2/20	15
Subject:	Preliminary Pratt	Checked by:	Amos K	Page:	4	of	5
	Truss Design						

Option 3 - 12 p	nuls
Top Chord	
Ct=	2523 kN L= 5.833m Ld= 0.9 (5833)= 5250
Has	305-305×9.5 C.= 2970 L= 5200
Bottom Ch	ord
TF=	2353KN L= 5,833m Ld= 5,25m
Check	above
T,= 9	AgFy = 0.9 (11000) (300) = 2970 KN
L/r	= <u>120</u> = 44 < 300 V
HSS	305 - 305 - 9,5
Vertical	
Ce=	561 kN L= $5m$ $L_d = 0.7 (4) = 3.5m$
HS21	52×152×4.8 Cr=627KN L=3600mm
Diagnals	
$T_f = S$	63 KN L= 7.68m Ld= 0.7(7.68)= 5.376m
check	above
Tr-	$\phi_{A_3} F_{y} = (0,9) ()(360) = 869 \text{ kN}$
۲/۲	= 3500/59.9 = 58 < 300 V
Wt. of Stee	L
Length	of Web mumbrus = 16 (5m+ 5,833m) = 173.3 m/bruss
Wt. = 1	13.3m (0.213 bym) + 134.2m (0.849 kym) = 150.85 kN/thurs
total trues	WH. = 301.7 KN
# computies	a = 46



Project:	Capstone Design Project	Done by:	Myles C	Date:	08/0	02/20)15
Subject:	Preliminary Pratt	Checked by:	Amos K	Page:	5	of	5
	Truss Design						

Qition 4 - 10 parch
Top Chold
Cg: 262(chu) L= Tm Lat 0.9(3) = 6.3m
H35305 × 365 + 9.5 Cg: 2720 Lus L- 6400m
Battom Chold
Tg: 2395 kus L: Tm Lat 6.3m
Tg: 6A35y = 0.9(11000) 300 = 3470
Yg: 530(20 = 53 < 300 ×
Natical
Cg: 540 hus L= 5m Lat 0.7(5) = 3.5m
HSS 172×152×1.8 C. 627 Lus L= 3600 mm
Diagonal
Tg: 9.29 hus L= 8.6m Lat 0.7(8.6) = 6.02m
Tg: 9.45 fg = 0.9(2760) 300 + 869 hu
Ng:
$$\frac{1}{557}$$
 + 101 = 3.00 ×
WL of Stal
Jacyta of Helt mandels = 16 (5+ 8.6) m = 217.6m/taus
WA = 217.6m (0.2350 hg) + 123 (0.549 hy/m) = 159.3 hs/tauss
Istal have WA = 318.6 km
consultions = 38



Project:	Capstone Design Project	Done by:	Amos K	Date:	10/	02/2	015
Subject:	Preliminary Pratt	Checked by:	Myles C	Page:	1	of	7
	Truss Forces						





Project:	Capstone Design Project	Done by:	Amos K	Date:	10/	02/20	015
Subject:	Preliminary Pratt	Checked by:	Myles C	Page:	2	of	7
	Truss Forces						





Project:	Capstone Design Project	Done by:	Amos K	Date:	10/	02/20	015
Subject:	Preliminary Pratt	Checked by:	Myles C	Page:	3	of	7
	Truss Forces						

PRATT TRUSS ANALYSIS SPREADSHEET

LOADS	
Pipe self-weight, SW _{Pipe}	7.64 kN/m
Grating self-weight, SW _{Grating}	1.10 kN/m
Snow load, S	2.76 kPa
Pipe ice accretion load, A _{Pipe}	0.26 kN/m
Member ice accretion, A_{Member}	0.12 kPa
DIMENSIONS	
Pipe diameter	1219 mm
Walkway width (one side)	1.50 m
Span	40 m

OPTION 2 DRAWING





Project:	Capstone Design Project	Done by:	Amos K	Date:	10/	02/2	015
Subject:	Preliminary Pratt	Checked by:	Myles C	Page:	4	of	7
	Truss Forces						

OPTION 1

TRUSS PARAMETERS		APPLIED LOADS	
Number of panels	10	Pipe load, P _P	60 kN
Panel length	4.000 m	Factored load, P _F	36 kN
Member length (V)	3.500 m	Reaction force, R	329 kN
Member length (D)	5.32 m		
Pipe support length	8.00 m		
Preliminary size	203x203x6.4		
Size width	0.203 m		
Dead load, DL	0.377 kN/m		
Total member length	10.0		
(4.375m section)	16.8 M		

MEMBER	ТҮРЕ	FORCE (kN)	
2-4	TC	322	(C)
4-6	TC	602	(C)
6-8	TC	774	(C)
8-10	TC	904	(C)
10-12	TC	924	(C)
1-3	BC	0	(T)
3-5	BC	322	(T)
5-7	BC	602	(T)
7-9	BC	774	(T)
9-11	BC	904	(T)
1-2	V	282	(C)
3-4	v	246	(C)
5-6	V	150	(C)
7-8	V	114	(C)
9-10	V	18	(C)
10-11	V	-18	(C)
2-3	D	428	(T)
4-5	D	373	(T)
6-7	D	227	(T)
8-9	D	173	(T)
9-10	D	27	(T)

Chord member size	178x178x6.4
Web member size	89x89x4.8
Web member weight	0.119 kN/m
Truss weight	39.1 kN

*	**		
MO			
		/	

Project:	Capstone Design Project	Done by:	Amos K	Date:	10/02/202		015
Subject:	Preliminary Pratt	Checked by:	Myles C	Page:	5	of	7
	Truss Forces						

I

OPTION 2

TRUSS PARAMETERS		APPLIED LOADS	
Number of panels	8	Pipe load, P _P	75 kN
Panel length	5.000 m	Factored load, P _F	44 kN
Member length (V)	3.500 m	Reaction force, R	327 kN
Member length (D)	6.10 m		
Pipe support length	10.00 m		
Preliminary size	203x203x6.4		
Size width	0.203 m		
Dead load, DL	0.377 kN/m		
Total member length	10.6 m		
(5.000m section)	19.6 11		

MEMBER	ТҮРЕ	FORCE (kN)	<i>k</i>
2-4	TC	382	(C)
4-6	TC	700	(C)
6-8	TC	848	(C)
8-10	TC	933	(C)
1-3	BC	0	(T)
3-5	BC	382	(T)
5-7	BC	700	(T)
7-9	BC	848	(T)
1-2	V	267	(C)
3-4	v	223	(C)
5-6	V	104	(C)
7-8	V	59	(C)
9-10	V	-59	(C)
2-3	D	466	(T)
4-5	D	389	(T)
6-7	D	181	(T)
8-9	D	104	(T)

Chord member size	203x203x6.4	
Web member size	89x89x4.8	
Web member weight	0.119	kN/m

Truss weight 37.4 kN



Project:	Capstone Design Project	Done by:	Amos K	Date:	10/02/201		015
Subject:	Preliminary Pratt	Checked by:	Myles C	Page:	6	of	7
	Truss Forces						

OPTION 3

TRUSS PARAMETERS		APPLIED LOADS	
Number of panels	6	Pipe load, P _P	50 kN
Panel length	6.667 m	Factored load, P _F	61 kN
Member length (V)	3.500 m	Reaction force, R	332 kN
Member length (D)	7.53 m		
Pipe support length	6.67 m		
Preliminary size	reliminary size 203x203x8.0		
Size width	0.203 m		
Dead load, DL	0.466 kN/m		
Total member length	24.4 m		
(5.000m section)	24.4 m		

MEMBER	ТҮРЕ	FORCE (kN)	A
2-4	TC	527	(C)
4-6	TC	843	(C)
6-8	TC	949	(C)
1-3	BC	0	(T)
3-5	BC	527	(T)
5-7	BC	843	(T)
1-2	V	277	(C)
3-4	V	166	(C)
5-6	V	55	(C)
7-8	V	-55	(C)
2-3	D	595	(T)
4-5	D	357	(T)
6-7	D	119	(T)

Chord member size	203x203x8.0
Web member size	89x89x6.4
Web member weight	0.153 kN/m

Truss weight 44.3 kN



Project:	Capstone Design Project	Done by:	Amos K	Date:	10/02/201		015
Subject:	Preliminary Pratt	Checked by:	Myles C	Page:	7	of	7
	Truss Forces						

OPTION 4

TRUSS PARAMETERS		APPLIED LOADS	
Number of panels	4	Pipe load, P _P	75 kN
Panel length	10.000 m	Factored load, P _F	95 kN
Member length (V)	3.500 m	Reaction force, R	340 kN
Member length (D)	10.59 m		
Pipe support length	10.00 m		
Preliminary size	254x254x8.0		
Size width	0.254 m		
Dead load, DL	0.59 kN/m		
Total member length	21.1 m		
(5.000m section)	54.1 III		

MEMBER	ТҮРЕ	FORCE (kN)	a.
2-4	TC	729	(C)
4-6	TC	972	(C)
1-3	BC	0	(T)
3-5	BC	729	(T)
1-2	V	255	(C)
3-4	V	85	(C)
5-6	V	-85	(C)
2-3	D	772	(T)
4-5	D	257	(T)

Chord member size	254x254x8.0
Web member size	102x102x8.0
Web member weight	0.217 kN/m
Truss weight	53.5 kN



Project:Capstone Design ProjectDone by:Myles CDate:26/01/2015Subject:Preliminary WarrenChecked by:Amos KPage:1of6Truss Design





Project:	Capstone Design Project	Done by:	Myles C	Date:	26/01/20		015
Subject:	Preliminary Warren	Checked by:	Amos K	Page:	2	of	6
	Truss Design						

$$\begin{aligned} & \mathcal{L}_{F,*} \circ O \\ & \mathcal{K}_{f} - \frac{P \cdot Q}{Z} - 3 \cdot Q - 3 \cdot (P \cdot Q) = M(v, \\ & H(S LN) - 242 \cdot H(2n)/2 - 3(90 \cdot H(2n)] - 3(242 \cdot 4Hn)) = 45 \cdot 4 \cdot 4N = M(v, \\ & \mathcal{L}_{N_{q}} = O \cdot O \\ & \mathcal{E}((4.35m)) + (P \cdot Q)((10 + 2b + 35/2)m) + Q((5 + 15 \cdot 25)m) - R_{1}(30m) = O \\ & \mathcal{E}(2m) - (P \cdot Q)(45m) - Q(45m) \\ & \mathcal{H}_{q}(35m) - (Q \cdot (45m)) + (15m) - (10 + 10)m) \\ & \mathcal{H}_{q}(35m) - (Q \cdot (45m)) + (15m) - (10 + 10)m) \\ & \mathcal{H}_{q}(35m) - (242 \cdot 4Hn) + 5m) - (10 + 4Hn) \\ & \mathcal{H}_{q}(35m) - (242 \cdot 4Hn) + 5m) - (10 + 4Hn) \\ & \mathcal{H}_{q}(35m) - (242 \cdot 4Hn) + (15m) - (10 + 4Hn) \\ & \mathcal{H}_{q}(3m) - (242 \cdot 4Hn) + (15m) - (10 + 4Hn) \\ & \mathcal{H}_{q}(3m) - (242 \cdot 4Hn) + (15m) - (10 + 4Hn) \\ & \mathcal{H}_{q}(3m) - (242 \cdot 4Hn) + (15m) - (10 + 4Hn) \\ & \mathcal{H}_{q}(3m) - (242 \cdot 4Hn) + (15m) - (10 + 4Hn) \\ & \mathcal{H}_{q}(3m) - (242 \cdot 4Hn) + (10 + 4Hn) \\ & \mathcal{H}_{q}(3m) - (242 \cdot 4Hn) + (10 + 4Hn) \\ & \mathcal{H}_{q}(3m) - (242 \cdot 4Hn) + (10 + 4Hn) \\ & \mathcal{H}_{q}(3m) - (242 \cdot 4Hn) + (10 + 4Hn) \\ & \mathcal{H}_{q}(3m) - (242 \cdot 4Hn) + (10 + 4Hn) \\ & \mathcal{H}_{q}(3m) - (242 \cdot 4Hn) + (10 + 4Hn) \\ & \mathcal{H}_{q}(3m) - (242 \cdot 4Hn) + (10 + 4Hn) \\ & \mathcal{H}_{q}(3m) - (242 \cdot 4Hn) + (10 + 4Hn) \\ & \mathcal{H}_{q}(3m) - (242 \cdot 4Hn) + (10 + 4Hn) \\ & \mathcal{H}_{q}(3m) - (242 \cdot 4Hn) + (10 + 4Hn) \\ & \mathcal{H}_{q}(3m) - (242 \cdot 4Hn) + (10 + 4Hn) \\ & \mathcal{H}_{q}(3m) - (242 \cdot 4Hn) + (10 + 4Hn) \\ & \mathcal{H}_{q}(3m) - (242 \cdot 4Hn) + (10 + 4Hn) \\ & \mathcal{H}_{q}(3m) - (242 \cdot 4Hn) + (10 + 4Hn) \\ & \mathcal{H}_{q}(3m) - (242 \cdot 4Hn) + (10 + 4Hn) \\ & \mathcal{H}_{q}(3m) - (242 \cdot 4Hn) + (10 + 4Hn) \\ & \mathcal{H}_{q}(3m) - (242 \cdot 4Hn) + (10 + 4Hn) \\ & \mathcal{H}_{q}(3m) - (124 \cdot 4Hn) \\ & \mathcal{H}_{q}(3m) - (124 \cdot 4Hn) + (10 + 4Hn) \\ & \mathcal{H}_{q}(3m) - (124 \cdot 4Hn) \\ & \mathcal{H}_{q}(3$$



Project:	Capstone Design Project	Done by:	Myles C	Date:	26/01/20		015
Subject:	Preliminary Warren	Checked by:	Amos K	Page:	3	of	6
	Truss Design						

Size the web members - Governing members near the suppor	ta.
Method of Joints	
$\frac{P+Q}{2} = W_{1} \qquad 2F_{2} = 0$ $R_{1} - P+Q + \sin 60 W_{1} = 0$ $\frac{1}{2} = \frac{1}{60} = \frac{242kN}{2} - \sin(60) W_{1} = 0$ $R_{1} = \frac{1165kN}{2} - \frac{242kN}{2} - \sin(60) W_{1} = 0$	W.= 1206 kN (C)
2, F, =0 BC1 - COS 60 W1 = 0	BC,= 603 KN (T)
$W_{1} = \frac{Tc_{1}}{Co^{2} 4} W_{2}$ $Cos 30 W_{1} = Cos 30 W_{2} = 0$	
$W_1 = W_Z$	Wz=1206KN (T)
$z_1F_N=0$ $z_2Sin_30W_N - TC_N = 0$	$TC_{i} = 1206 \text{ kN}$ (c)
Governing Web Loads	
$C_{f} = 603 \text{ kN} \qquad 1000 \text{ HSSCT } p_{0} \frac{53}{53} \text{ kL} = 0.7$ $T_{f} = 603 \text{ kN} \qquad = 0.7$ $= 35$	7 (5006)
from HSC design tables	
HSS127×127×8.0 Cr = 681 kN (L= 3600)
check HISSCT guidelines pg 383	
1. 127 > 0.35(254) = 88.9	\checkmark
2. $127/254 - 0.5 > 0.01(254/9.5+.1) = 0.$. 27 🗸
3. class I or Z	1
4. 127/8 = 16 < 35	/
5. 254/9.5 = 28 > 15 and < 35	1

(*	***	
	MO		
1			

Project:	Capstone Design Project	Done by:	Myles C	Date:	26/01/20		015
Subject:	Preliminary Warren	Checked by:	Amos K	Page:	4	of	6
	Truss Design						

6. minimum web nicmber size = 127 (0.63) = 80 mm	
$\begin{array}{rcl} \hline F. & 0.5(1-B) < \frac{9}{6} \\ & 0.5(1-\frac{19}{254}) = 0.25 < \frac{9}{254} \end{array}$	
minimum gap = 63.5mm	
8. absolute min gap = 4(8) = 32mm	
9. max eccentricity = $-0.55(4.33) = 2382 \text{ mm}$ = $0.25(4.33) = 1083 \text{ mm}$	
recheck guidelines if 89 × 89 × 8.0 used	
$\frac{1.127 - 89}{2} = 108 > 89$	1
2. 89/254= 0.35 > 0.27	~
3. class 1 or 2	\checkmark
$4 gg/g = 11 \times 35$	~



Project:	Capstone Design Project	Done by:	Myles C	Date:	26/01/20		015
Subject:	Preliminary Warren	Checked by:	Amos K	Page:	5	of	6
	Truss Design						

assume # connections for chords constant between options # connections = 2 per diagonal # connections = 2(2)n = 4n # panelo = 2 # diagonals = 4 where n = # panels 4(2)=8 V # connections = 8 16 panels = 64 connections 14 panels = 56 connections 12 panels = 48 connections 10 panels = 40 connections Weights: 16 panels: member length = 4.375m We = 0.849 kN/m chord weight Ww = 0.213 kN/m web weight. W= 2 Ww (L·n) + We (140-L) where L= member length n= # penels = 2(0.213 LN/m) (4.375m + 16) + 0.849 KN/m (140m - 4.375m) = 29.82KN + 115.15 KN = 145 KN/truss = 290 kN 14 panels: member lingth = 5m Wc= 0.700 kN/m Ww= 0.279 kN/m W = 2(0.279 kN/m)(5m - 14) + 0.700 kN/m(140m - 5m) = 39.06 kN + 94.5 kN= 133, 5 KN/ truss = 267 KN



Project:	Capstone Design Project	Done by:	Myles C	Date:	26/01/20		015
Subject:	Preliminary Warren	Checked by:	Amos K	Page:	6	of	6
	Truss Design						

12 panels: L= 5.833m We= 0.714kn/m Ww= 0.278kN/m $W = 2(0.278 \text{ kNym})(5.833 \text{ m} \times 12) + 0.714 \text{ kN/m}(140 \text{ m} - 5.833 \text{ m})$ = 38.9 kV + 95.8 kV = 134.7 kN / trues = 269.4 KN 10 panela: L= 7m We= 0.590 kW/m Ww= 0.401 KN/m $W = 2(0.401 \text{ kN/m})(7 \text{ m} \times 10) + 0.590 \text{ kN/m}(140 \text{ m} - 7 \text{ m})$ = 56.14 kN + 78.47 kN = 134.61 kN/huss = 269. 22 KN



Project:	Capstone Design Project	Done by:	Amos K	Date:	24/01/20		015
Subject:	Preliminary Warren	Checked by:	Myles C	Page:	1	of	5
	Truss Forces						





Project:	Capstone Design Project	Done by:	Amos K	Date:	24/	01/20	015
Subject:	Preliminary Warren	Checked by:	Myles C	Page:	2	of	5
	Truss Forces						





Project:	Capstone Design Project	Done by:	Amos K	Date:	24/	01/2	015
Subject:	Preliminary Warren	Checked by:	Myles C	Page:	3	of	5
	Truss Forces						

WARREN TRUSS ANALYSIS SPREADSHEET

LOADS	
Pipe self-weight, SW _{Pipe}	7.64 kN/m
Grating self-weight, SW _{Grating}	1.10 kN/m
Snow load, S	2.76 kPa
Pipe ice accretion load, A _{Pipe}	0.26 kN/m
Member ice accretion, A_{Member}	0.12 kPa
DIMENSIONS	
Pipe diameter	1219 mm
Walkway width (one side)	1.50 m
Span	40 m



Project:	Capstone Design Project	Done by:	Amos K	Date:	24/	01/2	015
Subject:	Preliminary Warren	Checked by:	Myles C	Page:	4	of	5
	Truss Forces						

FINAL OPTION (40m - A TRUSS)

TRUSS PARAMETERS		APPLIED LOADS	
Number of panels	8	Pipe load, P _P	37 kN
Member length	5.000 m	Factored load, P _F	43 kN
Depth	4.33 m	Reaction force, R	322 kN
Pipe support length	5.00 m		
Preliminary size	203x152x6.4		
Size width	0.152 m		
Dead load, DL	0.327 kN/m		
Total member length	20.0 m		
(5.833m section)	20.0 m		

MEMBER	ТҮРЕ	FORCE (kN)	
2-4	TC	-325	(C)
4-6	TC	-557	(C)
6-8	TC	-697	(C)
8-10	TC	-743	(C)
1-3	BC	163	(T)
3-5	BC	441	(T)
5-7	BC	627	(T)
7-9	BC	720	(T)
1-2	D	-325	(C)
2-3	D	325	(T)
3-4	D	-232	(C)
4-5	D	232	(T)
5-6	D	-139	(C)
6-7	D	139	(T)
7-8	D	-46	(C)
8-9	D	46	(T)

Chord member size	203x152x6.4
Web member size	114x114x4.8
Web member weight	0.157 kN/m

Truss Weight 37.1 kN



Project:	Capstone Design Project	Done by:	Amos K	Date:	24/	01/2	015
Subject:	Preliminary Warren	Checked by:	Myles C	Page:	5	of	5
	Truss Forces						

FINAL OPTION (30m - B TRUSS)

TRUSS PARAMETERS		APPLIED LOADS	
Number of panels	6	Pipe load, P _P	37 kN
Member length	5.000 m	Factored load, P _F	43 kN
Depth	4.33 m	Reaction force, R	241 kN
Pipe support length	5.00 m		
Preliminary size	203x152x6.4		
Size width	0.152 m		
Dead load, DL	0.327 kN/m		
Total member length	20.0 m		
(5.833m section)	20.0 11		

MEMBER	ТҮРЕ	FORCE (kN)	
2-4	TC	-232	(C)
4-6	TC	-372	(C)
6-8	TC	-418	(C)
1-3	BC	116	(T)
3-5	BC	302	(T)
5-7	BC	395	(T)
1-2	D	-232	(C)
2-3	D	232	(T)
3-4	D	-139	(C)
4-5	D	139	(T)
5-6	D	-46	(C)
6-7	D	46	(T)

Chord member size	203x152x6.4
Web member size	114x114x4.8
Web member weight	0.157 kN/m

Truss Weight

33.9 kN



Project:	Capstone Design Project	Done by:	Amos K	Date:	24/	01/20	015
Subject:	Preliminary Warren	Checked by:	Myles C	Page:	1	of	5
	Truss Forces						





Project:	Capstone Design Project	Done by:	Amos K	Date:	24/01/20		015
Subject:	Preliminary Warren	Checked by:	Myles C	Page:	2	of	5
	Truss Forces						





Project:	Capstone Design Project	Done by:	Amos K	Date:	24/01/20		015
Subject:	Preliminary Warren	Checked by:	Myles C	Page:	3	of	5
	Truss Forces						

WARREN TRUSS ANALYSIS SPREADSHEET

LOADS	
Pipe self-weight, SW _{Pipe}	7.64 kN/m
Grating self-weight, SW _{Grating}	1.10 kN/m
Snow load, S	2.76 kPa
Pipe ice accretion load, A _{Pipe}	0.26 kN/m
Member ice accretion, A_{Member}	0.12 kPa
DIMENSIONS	
Pipe diameter	1219 mm
Walkway width (one side)	1.50 m
Span	40 m



Project:	Capstone Design Project	Done by:	Amos K	Date:	24/01/20		015
Subject:	Preliminary Warren	Checked by:	Myles C	Page:	4	of	5
	Truss Forces						

FINAL OPTION (40m - A TRUSS)

TRUSS PARAMETERS		APPLIED LOADS	
Number of panels	8	Pipe load, P _P	37 kN
Member length	5.000 m	Factored load, P _F	43 kN
Depth	4.33 m	Reaction force, R	322 kN
Pipe support length	5.00 m		
Preliminary size	203x152x6.4		
Size width	0.152 m		
Dead load, DL	0.327 kN/m		
Total member length	20.0 m		
(5.833m section)	20.0 m		

MEMBER	ТҮРЕ	FORCE (kN)	
2-4	TC	-325	(C)
4-6	TC	-557	(C)
6-8	TC	-697	(C)
8-10	TC	-743	(C)
1-3	BC	163	(T)
3-5	BC	441	(T)
5-7	BC	627	(T)
7-9	BC	720	(T)
1-2	D	-325	(C)
2-3	D	325	(T)
3-4	D	-232	(C)
4-5	D	232	(T)
5-6	D	-139	(C)
6-7	D	139	(T)
7-8	D	-46	(C)
8-9	D	46	(T)

Chord member size	203x152x6.4
Web member size	114x114x4.8
Web member weight	0.157 kN/m

Truss Weight 37.1 kN



Project:	Capstone Design Project	Done by:	Amos K	Date:	24/01/20		015
Subject:	Preliminary Warren	Checked by:	Myles C	Page:	5	of	5
	Truss Forces						

FINAL OPTION (30m - B TRUSS)

TRUSS PARAMETERS		APPLIED LOADS	
Number of panels	6	Pipe load, P _P	37 kN
Member length	5.000 m	Factored load, P _F	43 kN
Depth	4.33 m	Reaction force, R	241 kN
Pipe support length	5.00 m		
Preliminary size	203x152x6.4		
Size width	0.152 m		
Dead load, DL	0.327 kN/m		
Total member length	20.0 m		
(5.833m section)	20.0 11		

MEMBER	ТҮРЕ	FORCE (kN)	
2-4	TC	-232	(C)
4-6	TC	-372	(C)
6-8	TC	-418	(C)
1-3	BC	116	(T)
3-5	BC	302	(T)
5-7	BC	395	(T)
1-2	D	-232	(C)
2-3	D	232	(T)
3-4	D	-139	(C)
4-5	D	139	(T)
5-6	D	-46	(C)
6-7	D	46	(T)

Chord member size	203x152x6.4
Web member size	114x114x4.8
Web member weight	0.157 kN/m

Truss Weight

33.9 kN



Project:	Capstone Design Project	Done by:	Myles C	Date:	08/0	2/20	15
Subject:	Preliminary Pratt	Checked by:	Amos K	Page:	1	of	5
	Truss Design						

Option 1 - 16 panels
Top Chrid Members
G = 2281 kN L= 4.375m Ld = 0.9 (4.375m) = 3.938m
HSS 254 × 254 × 9.5 Cr= 2520 kN L= 4000 mm
Bottom Chord Members
TF= 2231 KN L= 4,375m Ld= 3.938m
$T_r = \phi A_5 F_y = 0.9 (9090 \text{ mm}^2) (300 \text{ MPa}) = 2454 \text{ kN}$
HSS 254×254×9.5
Vertical Membres
$C_{f} = 595 \text{ kN}$ L= 5 m La = 0.7(5) = 3,5 m
HSD152 + 152 + 4.8 Cr= 627kN L= 3600mm
Piagnal Members
TF= 790kN L= 6.64m La= 4.648m
Chuck HSS 152 + 152 . 4.8
$T_c = \phi A_g F_y = 0.9 (2760 m m^2) 300 m = 745 kN$
L/r ≤ 300 4648/59.9 = 78 ✓
HSS 152 × 152 × 4.8
Wt. of Steel
Length of Web men bers = 16 (5m + 6.64m) = 186.24m/truss
Length of Chord members = 2x 70m - 4.375m = 135.6 / Iruss
Wt. = 186.24m (0.213 kN/m) = 135.6m (0.7 kN/m) = 134.6 kN/truss
total times Wf = 269.2 kN





Project:	Capstone Design Project	Done by:	Myles C	Date:	08/0	02/20)15
Subject:	Preliminary Pratt	Checked by:	Amos K	Page:	3	of	5
	Truss Design						

Option 2 - 14 panels Top Chind Members CF = 2383 KN L= 5m Ld = 0,9 (5000) = 4500 mm HSS 254x254x9.5 Cr=2340 kN L= 4800 mm Bottom Chord Members Tr= 2316 LN L= 5m La= 4.5m Check HSS 254.254.45 Tr= \$ Ag Fy = 0.9 (9090) 300 = 2454 KU L/r <300 4500/99.1 = 45 V H5S 254 × 254 × 9.5 Vertical Hembers CF= 579KN L= 5m La= 0.7(5)= 3.5m HSD152x 152x 4.8 Cr=627KN L= 3600mm Diagnal Members TE= 819KN L= 7.07m La= 0.7(707)= 4.95m Check HSS152 × 152 × 4.8 Tr= \$ Ag Fy= 0.9(2760)(300) = 745 kN 4- 4300 4950/59,9 = 82.6 V HSS 152 - 152 × 4.8 WH. of Steel Length of Web members = 16 (5+ 7.07) = 193.12/truss W/t. = 193.12" (0.213 kN/m) + 135m (0.7 kN/m) = 135.6 kN/truss total truss Wt. - 271.2kN # connections = 54



Project:	Capstone Design Project	Done by:	Myles C	Date:	08/0)2/20	15
Subject:	Preliminary Pratt	Checked by:	Amos K	Page:	4	of	5
	Truss Design						

Option 3 - 12	pends
Top Cho	d
Cf	= 2523 kN L= 5.833m Ld = 0.9 (5833) = 5250
HS	S305-305×9.5 C.= 2970 L= 5200
Bottom (hord
$ au_{F}$	= 2353KN L= 5,833m Ld= 5,25m
Che	ck above
T,*	\$ AgFy = 0.9 (11000) (300] = 2970 KN
۲/۱	= = = = = = = = = = = = = = = = = = =
HS	S305 + 305 × 9,5
Vertical	
Ce:	561 kN L= 5m $L_d = 0.7 (x) = 3.5m$
HS	1152×152×4.8 Cr=627KN L=3600mm
Diagnals	
τ _ξ =	863 KN L= 7.68m Ld= 0.7(1.68)= 5.376m
che.	le above
T _r	$-\phi_{A_3}F_{y}=(0,9)()(360)=869kN$
L/ _Y	= 3500/59.9 = 58 < 300 V
Wt. of S	teel
dengt	of Web mumbrus = 16 (5m+ 5,833m) = 173.3 m/bruss
Wt. =	173.3m (0.213 bym) + 134.2m (0.849 kilm) = 150.85 kil/turs
total tru	$k_{1} = 301.7 \text{ kN}$
# connects	PMS = 46



Project:	Capstone Design Project	Done by:	Myles C	Date:	08/0	02/20)15
Subject:	Preliminary Pratt	Checked by:	Amos K	Page:	5	of	5
	Truss Design						

Qition 4 - 10 parch
Top Chold
Cg: 262(chu) L= Tm Lat 0.9(3) = 6.3m
H35305 × 365 + 9.5 Cg: 2720 Lus L- 6400m
Battom Chold
Tg: 2395 kus L: Tm Lat 6.3m
Tg: 6A35y = 0.9(11000) 300 = 3470
Yg: 530(20 = 53 < 300 ×
Natical
Cg: 540 hus L= 5m Lat 0.7(5) = 3.5m
HSS 172×152×1.8 C. 627 Lus L= 3600 mm
Diagonal
Tg: 9.29 hus L= 8.6m Lat 0.7(8.6) = 6.02m
Tg: 9.45 fg = 0.9(2760) 300 + 869 hu
Ng:
$$\frac{1}{557}$$
 + 101 = 3.00 ×
WL of Stal
Jacyta of Helt mandels = 16 (5+ 8.6) m = 217.6m/taus
WA = 217.6m (0.2350 hg) + 123 (0.549 hy/m) = 159.3 hs/tauss
Istal have WA = 318.6 km
consultions = 38



Project:	Capstone Design Project	Done by:	Amos K	Date:	10/	02/20	015
Subject:	Preliminary Pratt	Checked by:	Myles C	Page:	1	of	7
	Truss Forces						





Project:	Capstone Design Project	Done by:	Amos K	Date:	10/	02/20	015
Subject:	Preliminary Pratt	Checked by:	Myles C	Page:	2	of	7
	Truss Forces						





Project:	Capstone Design Project	Done by:	Amos K	Date:	10/	02/20	015
Subject:	Preliminary Pratt	Checked by:	Myles C	Page:	3	of	7
	Truss Forces						

PRATT TRUSS ANALYSIS SPREADSHEET

LOADS	
Pipe self-weight, SW _{Pipe}	7.64 kN/m
Grating self-weight, SW _{Grating}	1.10 kN/m
Snow load, S	2.76 kPa
Pipe ice accretion load, A _{Pipe}	0.26 kN/m
Member ice accretion, A_{Member}	0.12 kPa
DIMENSIONS	
Pipe diameter	1219 mm
Walkway width (one side)	1.50 m
Span	40 m

OPTION 2 DRAWING




Project:	Capstone Design Project	Done by:	Amos K	Date:	10/	02/2	015
Subject:	Preliminary Pratt	Checked by:	Myles C	Page:	4	of	7
	Truss Forces						

TRUSS PARAMETERS		APPLIED LOADS	
Number of panels	10	Pipe load, P _P	60 kN
Panel length	4.000 m	Factored load, P _F	36 kN
Member length (V)	3.500 m	Reaction force, R	329 kN
Member length (D)	5.32 m		
Pipe support length	8.00 m		
Preliminary size	203x203x6.4		
Size width	0.203 m		
Dead load, DL	0.377 kN/m		
Total member length	10.0		
(4.375m section)	16.8 M		

MEMBER	ТҮРЕ	FORCE (kN)	
2-4	TC	322	(C)
4-6	TC	602	(C)
6-8	TC	774	(C)
8-10	TC	904	(C)
10-12	TC	924	(C)
1-3	BC	0	(T)
3-5	BC	322	(T)
5-7	BC	602	(T)
7-9	BC	774	(T)
9-11	BC	904	(T)
1-2	V	282	(C)
3-4	V	246	(C)
5-6	V	150	(C)
7-8	V	114	(C)
9-10	V	18	(C)
10-11	V	-18	(C)
2-3	D	428	(T)
4-5	D	373	(T)
6-7	D	227	(T)
8-9	D	173	(T)
9-10	D	27	(T)

Chord member size	178x178x6.4
Web member size	89x89x4.8
Web member weight	0.119 kN/m
Truss weight	39.1 kN

*	**		
MO			
		/	

Project:	Capstone Design Project	Done by:	Amos K	Date:	10/	02/2	015
Subject:	Preliminary Pratt	Checked by:	Myles C	Page:	5	of	7
	Truss Forces						

I

OPTION 2

TRUSS PARAMETERS		APPLIED LOADS	
Number of panels	8	Pipe load, P _P	75 kN
Panel length	5.000 m	Factored load, P _F	44 kN
Member length (V)	3.500 m	Reaction force, R	327 kN
Member length (D)	6.10 m		
Pipe support length	10.00 m		
Preliminary size	203x203x6.4		
Size width	0.203 m		
Dead load, DL	0.377 kN/m		
Total member length	10.6 m		
(5.000m section)	19.6 11		

MEMBER	TYPE	FORCE (kN)	<i>k</i>
2-4	TC	382	(C)
4-6	TC	700	(C)
6-8	TC	848	(C)
8-10	TC	933	(C)
1-3	BC	0	(T)
3-5	BC	382	(T)
5-7	BC	700	(T)
7-9	BC	848	(T)
1-2	V	267	(C)
3-4	v	223	(C)
5-6	V	104	(C)
7-8	V	59	(C)
9-10	V	-59	(C)
2-3	D	466	(T)
4-5	D	389	(T)
6-7	D	181	(T)
8-9	D	104	(T)

Chord member size	203x203x6.4	
Web member size	89x89x4.8	
Web member weight	0.119	kN/m

Truss weight 37.4 kN



Project:	Capstone Design Project	Done by:	Amos K	Date:	10/	02/2	015
Subject:	Preliminary Pratt	Checked by:	Myles C	Page:	6	of	7
	Truss Forces						

TRUSS PARAMETERS		APPLIED LOADS	
Number of panels	6	Pipe load, P _P	50 kN
Panel length	6.667 m	Factored load, P _F	61 kN
Member length (V)	3.500 m	Reaction force, R	332 kN
Member length (D)	7.53 m		
Pipe support length	6.67 m		
Preliminary size	203x203x8.0		
Size width	0.203 m		
Dead load, DL	0.466 kN/m		
Total member length	24.4 m		
(5.000m section)	24.4 m		

MEMBER	ТҮРЕ	FORCE (kN)	A
2-4	TC	527	(C)
4-6	TC	843	(C)
6-8	TC	949	(C)
1-3	BC	0	(T)
3-5	BC	527	(T)
5-7	BC	843	(T)
1-2	V	277	(C)
3-4	V	166	(C)
5-6	V	55	(C)
7-8	V	-55	(C)
2-3	D	595	(T)
4-5	D	357	(T)
6-7	D	119	(T)

Chord member size	203x203x8.0
Web member size	89x89x6.4
Web member weight	0.153 kN/m

Truss weight 44.3 kN



Project:	Capstone Design Project	Done by:	Amos K	Date:	10/02/20		015
Subject:	Preliminary Pratt	Checked by:	Myles C	Page:	7	of	7
	Truss Forces						

TRUSS PARAMETERS		APPLIED LOADS	
Number of panels	4	Pipe load, P _P	75 kN
Panel length	10.000 m	Factored load, P _F	95 kN
Member length (V)	3.500 m	Reaction force, R	340 kN
Member length (D)	10.59 m		
Pipe support length	10.00 m		
Preliminary size	254x254x8.0		
Size width	0.254 m		
Dead load, DL	0.59 kN/m		
Total member length	21.1 m		
(5.000m section)	54.1 III		

MEMBER	ТҮРЕ	FORCE (kN)	a.
2-4	TC	729	(C)
4-6	TC	972	(C)
1-3	BC	0	(T)
3-5	BC	729	(T)
1-2	V	255	(C)
3-4	V	85	(C)
5-6	V	-85	(C)
2-3	D	772	(T)
4-5	D	257	(T)

Chord member size	254x254x8.0
Web member size	102x102x8.0
Web member weight	0.217 kN/m
Truss weight	53.5 kN



Project:Capstone Design ProjectDone by:Myles CDate:26/01/2015Subject:Preliminary WarrenChecked by:Amos KPage:1of6Truss Design





Project:	Capstone Design Project	Done by:	Myles C	Date:	26/01/20		015
Subject:	Preliminary Warren	Checked by:	Amos K	Page:	2	of	6
	Truss Design						

$$\begin{aligned} & \mathcal{L}_{F,*} \circ O \\ & \mathcal{K}_{f} - \frac{P \cdot Q}{Z} - 3 \cdot Q - 3 \cdot (P \cdot Q) = M(v, \\ & H(S LN) - 242 \cdot H(2n)/2 - 3(90 \cdot H(2n)] - 3(242 \cdot 4Hn)) = 45 \cdot 4 \cdot 4N = M(v, \\ & \mathcal{L}_{N_{q}} = O \cdot O \\ & \mathcal{E}((4.35m)) + (P \cdot Q)((10 + 2b + 35/2)m) + Q((5 + 15 \cdot 25)m) - R_{1}(30m) = O \\ & \mathcal{E}(2m) - (P \cdot Q)(45m) - Q(45m) \\ & \mathcal{H}_{q}(35m) - (Q \cdot (45m)) + (15m) - (10 + 10)m) \\ & \mathcal{H}_{q}(35m) - (Q \cdot (45m)) + (15m) - (10 + 10)m) \\ & \mathcal{H}_{q}(35m) - (242 \cdot 4Hn) + 5m) - (10 + 4Hn) \\ & \mathcal{H}_{q}(35m) - (242 \cdot 4Hn) + 5m) - (10 + 4Hn) \\ & \mathcal{H}_{q}(35m) - (242 \cdot 4Hn) + (15m) - (10 + 4Hn) \\ & \mathcal{H}_{q}(3m) - (242 \cdot 4Hn) + (15m) - (10 + 4Hn) \\ & \mathcal{H}_{q}(3m) - (242 \cdot 4Hn) + (15m) - (10 + 4Hn) \\ & \mathcal{H}_{q}(3m) - (242 \cdot 4Hn) + (15m) - (10 + 4Hn) \\ & \mathcal{H}_{q}(3m) - (242 \cdot 4Hn) + (15m) - (10 + 4Hn) \\ & \mathcal{H}_{q}(3m) - (242 \cdot 4Hn) + (10 + 4Hn) \\ & \mathcal{H}_{q}(3m) - (242 \cdot 4Hn) + (10 + 4Hn) \\ & \mathcal{H}_{q}(3m) - (242 \cdot 4Hn) + (10 + 4Hn) \\ & \mathcal{H}_{q}(3m) - (242 \cdot 4Hn) + (10 + 4Hn) \\ & \mathcal{H}_{q}(3m) - (242 \cdot 4Hn) + (10 + 4Hn) \\ & \mathcal{H}_{q}(3m) - (242 \cdot 4Hn) + (10 + 4Hn) \\ & \mathcal{H}_{q}(3m) - (242 \cdot 4Hn) + (10 + 4Hn) \\ & \mathcal{H}_{q}(3m) - (242 \cdot 4Hn) + (10 + 4Hn) \\ & \mathcal{H}_{q}(3m) - (242 \cdot 4Hn) + (10 + 4Hn) \\ & \mathcal{H}_{q}(3m) - (242 \cdot 4Hn) + (10 + 4Hn) \\ & \mathcal{H}_{q}(3m) - (242 \cdot 4Hn) + (10 + 4Hn) \\ & \mathcal{H}_{q}(3m) - (242 \cdot 4Hn) + (10 + 4Hn) \\ & \mathcal{H}_{q}(3m) - (242 \cdot 4Hn) + (10 + 4Hn) \\ & \mathcal{H}_{q}(3m) - (242 \cdot 4Hn) + (10 + 4Hn) \\ & \mathcal{H}_{q}(3m) - (242 \cdot 4Hn) + (10 + 4Hn) \\ & \mathcal{H}_{q}(3m) - (242 \cdot 4Hn) + (10 + 4Hn) \\ & \mathcal{H}_{q}(3m) - (242 \cdot 4Hn) + (10 + 4Hn) \\ & \mathcal{H}_{q}(3m) - (124 \cdot 4Hn) \\ & \mathcal{H}_{q}(3m) - (124 \cdot 4Hn) + (10 + 4Hn) \\ & \mathcal{H}_{q}(3m) - (124 \cdot 4Hn) \\ & \mathcal{H}_{q}(3$$



Project:	Capstone Design Project	Done by:	Myles C	Date:	26/	01/2	015
Subject:	Preliminary Warren	Checked by:	Amos K	Page:	3	of	6
	Truss Design						

Size the web members - Governing members near the suppor	ta.
Method of Joints	
$\frac{P+Q}{2} = W_{1} \qquad 2F_{2} = 0$ $R_{1} - P+Q + \sin 60 W_{1} = 0$ $\frac{1}{2} = \frac{1}{60} = \frac{242kN}{2} - \sin(60) W_{1} = 0$ $R_{1} = \frac{1165kN}{2} - \frac{242kN}{2} - \sin(60) W_{1} = 0$	W.= 1206 kN (C)
2, F, =0 BC1 - COS 60 W1 = 0	BC,= 603 KN (T)
$W_{1} = \frac{Tc_{1}}{2c^{2}} = 0$ $W_{2} = \frac{Tc_{2}}{2c^{2}} = 0$ $W_{2} = \frac{Tc_{1}}{2c^{2}} = 0$	
$W_1 = W_Z$	Wz=1206KN (T)
$z_1F_N=0$ $z_2\sin 30W_1 - TC_1 = 0$	$TC_{i} = 1206 \text{ kN}$ (c)
Governing Web Loads	
$C_{f} = 603 \text{ kN} \qquad 1000 \text{ HSSCT } p_{0} \frac{53}{7} \text{ kL} = 0.7$ $= 0.7$ $= 35$	7 (5006)
from HSC design tables	
HSS127×127×8.0 Cr = 681 kN (L= 3600)
check HISSCT guidelines pg 383	
1. 127 > 0.35(254) = 88.9	~
2. $127/254 - 0.5 > 0.01(254/9.5+.1) = 0.$. 27 🗸
3. class I or Z	1
4. 127/8 = 16 < 35	/
5. 254/9.5 = 28 > 15 and < 35	1

(*	***	
	MO		
1			

Project:	Capstone Design Project	Done by:	Myles C	Date:	26/01/20		015
Subject:	Preliminary Warren	Checked by:	Amos K	Page:	4	of	6
	Truss Design						

6. minimum web nicmber size = 127 (0.63) = 80 mm	
$\begin{array}{rcl} \hline F. & 0.5(1-B) < \frac{9}{6} \\ & 0.5(1-\frac{19}{254}) = 0.25 < \frac{9}{254} \end{array}$	
minimum gap = 63.5mm	
8. absolute min gap = 4(8) = 32mm	
9. max eccentricity = $-0.55(4.33) = 2382 \text{ mm}$ = $0.25(4.33) = 1083 \text{ mm}$	
recheck guidelines if 89 × 89 × 8.0 used	
$\frac{1.127 - 89}{2} = 108 > 89$	1
2. 89/254= 0.35 > 0.27	~
3. class 1 or 2	\checkmark
$4 gg/g = 11 \times 35$	~



Project:	Capstone Design Project	Done by:	Myles C	Date:	26/	01/2(015
Subject:	Preliminary Warren	Checked by:	Amos K	Page:	5	of	6
	Truss Design						

assume # connections for chords constant between options # connections = 2 per diagonal # connections = 2(2)n = 4n # panelo = 2 # diagonals = 4 where n = # panels 4(2)=8 V # connections = 8 16 panels = 64 connections 14 panels = 56 connections 12 panels = 48 connections 10 panels = 40 connections Weights: 16 panels: member length = 4.375m We = 0.849 kN/m chord weight Ww = 0.213 kN/m web weight. W= 2 Ww (L·n) + We (140-L) where L= member length n= # penels = 2(0.213 LN/m) (4.375m + 16) + 0.849 KN/m (140m - 4.375m) = 29.82KN + 115.15 KN = 145 KN/truss = 290 kN 14 panels: member lingth = 5m Wc= 0.700 kN/m Ww= 0.279 kN/m W = 2(0.279 kN/m)(5m - 14) + 0.700 kN/m(140m - 5m) = 39.06 kN + 94.5 kN= 133, 5 KN/ truss = 267 KN



Project:	Capstone Design Project	Done by:	Myles C	Date:	26/	01/2	015
Subject:	Preliminary Warren	Checked by:	Amos K	Page:	6	of	6
	Truss Design						

12 panels: L= 5.833m We= 0.714kn/m Ww= 0.278kN/m $W = 2(0.278 \text{ kNym})(5.833 \text{ m} \times 12) + 0.714 \text{ kN/m}(140 \text{ m} - 5.833 \text{ m})$ = 38.9 kV + 95.8 kV = 134.7 kN / trues = 269.4 KN 10 panela: L= 7m We= 0.590 kW/m Ww= 0.401 KN/m $W = 2(0.401 \text{ kN/m})(7 \text{ m} \times 10) + 0.590 \text{ kN/m}(140 \text{ m} - 7 \text{ m})$ = 56.14 kN + 78.47 kN = 134.61 kN/huss = 269. 22 KN



Project:Capstone Design ProjectDone by:Myles CDate:26/01/2015Subject:Preliminary WarrenChecked by:Amos KPage:1of6Truss Design





Project:	Capstone Design Project	Done by:	Myles C	Date:	26/01/20		015
Subject:	Preliminary Warren	Checked by:	Amos K	Page:	2	of	6
	Truss Design						

$$\begin{aligned} & \mathcal{L}_{F,*} \circ O \\ & \mathcal{K}_{f} - \frac{P \cdot Q}{Z} - 3 \cdot Q - 3 \cdot (P \cdot Q) = M(v, \\ & H(S LN) - 242 \cdot H(2n)/2 - 3(90 \cdot H(2n)] - 3(242 \cdot 4Hn)) = 45 \cdot 4 \cdot 4N = M(v, \\ & \mathcal{L}_{N_{q}} = O \cdot O \\ & \mathcal{E}((4.35m)) + (P \cdot Q)((10 + 2b + 35/2)m) + Q((5 + 15 \cdot 25)m) - R_{1}(30m) = O \\ & \mathcal{E}(2m) - (P \cdot Q)(45m) - Q(45m) \\ & \mathcal{H}_{q}(35m) - (Q \cdot (45m)) + (15m) - (10 + 10)m) \\ & \mathcal{H}_{q}(35m) - (Q \cdot (45m)) + (15m) - (10 + 10)m) \\ & \mathcal{H}_{q}(35m) - (242 \cdot 4Hn) + 5m) - (10 + 4Hn) \\ & \mathcal{H}_{q}(35m) - (242 \cdot 4Hn) + 5m) - (10 + 4Hn) \\ & \mathcal{H}_{q}(35m) - (242 \cdot 4Hn) + (15m) - (10 + 4Hn) \\ & \mathcal{H}_{q}(3m) - (242 \cdot 4Hn) + (15m) - (10 + 4Hn) \\ & \mathcal{H}_{q}(3m) - (242 \cdot 4Hn) + (15m) - (10 + 4Hn) \\ & \mathcal{H}_{q}(3m) - (242 \cdot 4Hn) + (15m) - (10 + 4Hn) \\ & \mathcal{H}_{q}(3m) - (242 \cdot 4Hn) + (15m) - (10 + 4Hn) \\ & \mathcal{H}_{q}(3m) - (242 \cdot 4Hn) + (10 + 4Hn) \\ & \mathcal{H}_{q}(3m) - (242 \cdot 4Hn) + (10 + 4Hn) \\ & \mathcal{H}_{q}(3m) - (242 \cdot 4Hn) + (10 + 4Hn) \\ & \mathcal{H}_{q}(3m) - (242 \cdot 4Hn) + (10 + 4Hn) \\ & \mathcal{H}_{q}(3m) - (242 \cdot 4Hn) + (10 + 4Hn) \\ & \mathcal{H}_{q}(3m) - (242 \cdot 4Hn) + (10 + 4Hn) \\ & \mathcal{H}_{q}(3m) - (242 \cdot 4Hn) + (10 + 4Hn) \\ & \mathcal{H}_{q}(3m) - (242 \cdot 4Hn) + (10 + 4Hn) \\ & \mathcal{H}_{q}(3m) - (242 \cdot 4Hn) + (10 + 4Hn) \\ & \mathcal{H}_{q}(3m) - (242 \cdot 4Hn) + (10 + 4Hn) \\ & \mathcal{H}_{q}(3m) - (242 \cdot 4Hn) + (10 + 4Hn) \\ & \mathcal{H}_{q}(3m) - (242 \cdot 4Hn) + (10 + 4Hn) \\ & \mathcal{H}_{q}(3m) - (242 \cdot 4Hn) + (10 + 4Hn) \\ & \mathcal{H}_{q}(3m) - (242 \cdot 4Hn) + (10 + 4Hn) \\ & \mathcal{H}_{q}(3m) - (242 \cdot 4Hn) + (10 + 4Hn) \\ & \mathcal{H}_{q}(3m) - (242 \cdot 4Hn) + (10 + 4Hn) \\ & \mathcal{H}_{q}(3m) - (242 \cdot 4Hn) + (10 + 4Hn) \\ & \mathcal{H}_{q}(3m) - (124 \cdot 4Hn) \\ & \mathcal{H}_{q}(3m) - (124 \cdot 4Hn) + (10 + 4Hn) \\ & \mathcal{H}_{q}(3m) - (124 \cdot 4Hn) \\ & \mathcal{H}_{q}(3$$



Project:	Capstone Design Project	Done by:	Myles C	Date:	26/01/20		015
Subject:	Preliminary Warren	Checked by:	Amos K	Page:	3	of	6
	Truss Design						

Size the web members - Governing members near the suppor	ta.
Method of Joints	
$\frac{P+Q}{2} = W_{1} \qquad 2F_{2} = 0$ $R_{1} - P+Q + \sin 60 W_{1} = 0$ $\frac{1}{2} = \frac{1}{60} = \frac{242kN}{2} - \sin(60) W_{1} = 0$ $R_{1} = \frac{1165kN}{2} - \frac{242kN}{2} - \sin(60) W_{1} = 0$	W.= 1206 kN (C)
2, F, =0 BC1 - COS 60 W1 = 0	BC,= 603 KN (T)
$W_{1} = \frac{Tc_{1}}{2c^{2}} = 0$ $W_{2} = \frac{Tc_{2}}{2c^{2}} = 0$ $W_{2} = \frac{Tc_{1}}{2c^{2}} = 0$	
$W_1 = W_Z$	Wz=1206KN (T)
$z_1F_N=0$ $z_2\sin 30W_1 - TC_1 = 0$	$TC_{i} = 1206 \text{ kN}$ (c)
Governing Web Loads	
$C_{f} = 603 \text{ kN} \qquad 1000 \text{ HSSCT } p_{0} \frac{53}{7} \text{ kL} = 0.7$ $= 0.7$ $= 35$	7 (5006)
from HSC design tables	
HSS127×127×8.0 Cr = 681 kN (L= 3600)
check HISSCT guidelines pg 383	
1. 127 > 0.35(254) = 88.9	\checkmark
2. $127/254 - 0.5 > 0.01(254/9.5+.1) = 0.$. 27 🗸
3. class I or Z	1
4. 127/8 = 16 < 35	/
5. 254/9.5 = 28 > 15 and < 35	1

(*	***	
	MO		
1			

Project:	Capstone Design Project	Done by:	Myles C	Date:	26/	26/01/20	
Subject:	Preliminary Warren	Checked by:	Amos K	Page:	4	of	6
	Truss Design						

6. minimum web nicmber size = 127 (0.63) = 80 mm	
$\begin{array}{rcl} \hline F. & 0.5(1-B) < \frac{9}{6} \\ & 0.5(1-\frac{19}{254}) = 0.25 < \frac{9}{254} \end{array}$	
minimum gap = 63.5mm	
8. absolute min gap = 4(8) = 32mm	
9. max eccentricity = $-0.55(4.33) = 2382 \text{ mm}$ = $0.25(4.33) = 1083 \text{ mm}$	
recheck guidelines if 89 × 89 × 8.0 used	
$\frac{1.127 - 89}{2} = 108 > 89$	1
2. 89/254= 0.35 > 0.27	~
3. class 1 or 2	\checkmark
$4 gg/g = 11 \times 35$	~



Project:	Capstone Design Project	Done by:	Myles C	Date:	26/	26/01/20	
Subject:	Preliminary Warren	Checked by:	Amos K	Page:	5	of	6
	Truss Design						

assume # connections for chords constant between options # connections = 2 per diagonal # connections = 2(2)n = 4n # panelo = 2 # diagonals = 4 where n = # panels 4(2)=8 V # connections = 8 16 panels = 64 connections 14 panels = 56 connections 12 panels = 48 connections 10 panels = 40 connections Weights: 16 panels: member length = 4.375m We = 0.849 kN/m chord weight Ww = 0.213 kN/m web weight. W= 2 Ww (L·n) + We (140-L) where L= member length n= # penels = 2(0.213 LN/m) (4.375m + 16) + 0.849 KN/m (140m - 4.375m) = 29.82KN + 115.15 KN = 145 KN/truss = 290 kN 14 panels: member lingth = 5m Wc= 0.700 kN/m Ww= 0.279 kN/m W = 2(0.279 kN/m)(5m - 14) + 0.700 kN/m(140m - 5m) = 39.06 kN + 94.5 kN= 133, 5 KN/ truss = 267 KN



Project:	Capstone Design Project	Done by:	Myles C	Date:	26/	01/2	015
Subject:	Preliminary Warren	Checked by:	Amos K	Page:	6	of	6
	Truss Design						

12 panels: L= 5.833m We= 0.714kn/m Ww= 0.278kN/m $W = 2(0.278 \text{ kNym})(5.833 \text{ m} \times 12) + 0.714 \text{ kN/m}(140 \text{ m} - 5.833 \text{ m})$ = 38.9 kV + 95.8 kV = 134.7 kN / trues = 269.4 KN 10 panela: L= 7m We= 0.590 kW/m Ww= 0.401 KN/m $W = 2(0.401 \text{ kN/m})(7 \text{ m} \times 10) + 0.590 \text{ kN/m}(140 \text{ m} - 7 \text{ m})$ = 56.14 kN + 78.47 kN = 134.61 kN/huss = 269. 22 KN



Project:	Capstone Design Project	Done by:	Amos K	Date:	24/	01/20	015
Subject:	Preliminary Warren	Checked by:	Myles C	Page:	1	of	5
	Truss Forces						





Project:	Capstone Design Project	Done by:	Amos K	Date:	24/	01/20	015
Subject:	Preliminary Warren	Checked by:	Myles C	Page:	2	of	5
	Truss Forces						





Project:	Capstone Design Project	Done by:	Amos K	Date:	24/01/20		015
Subject:	Preliminary Warren	Checked by:	Myles C	Page:	3	of	5
	Truss Forces						

WARREN TRUSS ANALYSIS SPREADSHEET

LOADS	
Pipe self-weight, SW _{Pipe}	7.64 kN/m
Grating self-weight, SW _{Grating}	1.10 kN/m
Snow load, S	2.76 kPa
Pipe ice accretion load, A _{Pipe}	0.26 kN/m
Member ice accretion, A_{Member}	0.12 kPa
DIMENSIONS	
Pipe diameter	1219 mm
Walkway width (one side)	1.50 m
Span	40 m



Project:	Capstone Design Project	Done by:	Amos K	Date:	24/01/201		015
Subject:	Preliminary Warren	Checked by:	Myles C	Page:	4	of	5
	Truss Forces						

FINAL OPTION (40m - A TRUSS)

TRUSS PARAMETERS		APPLIED LOADS	
Number of panels	8	Pipe load, P _P	37 kN
Member length	5.000 m	Factored load, P _F	43 kN
Depth	4.33 m	Reaction force, R	322 kN
Pipe support length	5.00 m		
Preliminary size	203x152x6.4		
Size width	0.152 m		
Dead load, DL	0.327 kN/m		
Total member length	20.0 m		
(5.833m section)	20.0 m		

MEMBER	ТҮРЕ	FORCE (kN)	
2-4	TC	-325	(C)
4-6	TC	-557	(C)
6-8	TC	-697	(C)
8-10	TC	-743	(C)
1-3	BC	163	(T)
3-5	BC	441	(T)
5-7	BC	627	(T)
7-9	BC	720	(T)
1-2	D	-325	(C)
2-3	D	325	(T)
3-4	D	-232	(C)
4-5	D	232	(T)
5-6	D	-139	(C)
6-7	D	139	(T)
7-8	D	-46	(C)
8-9	D	46	(T)

Chord member size	203x152x6.4
Web member size	114x114x4.8
Web member weight	0.157 kN/m

Truss Weight 37.1 kN



Project:	Capstone Design Project	Done by:	Amos K	Date:	24/01/202		015
Subject:	Preliminary Warren	Checked by:	Myles C	Page:	5	of	5
	Truss Forces						

FINAL OPTION (30m - B TRUSS)

TRUSS PARAMETERS		APPLIED LOADS	
Number of panels	6	Pipe load, P _P	37 kN
Member length	5.000 m	Factored load, P _F	43 kN
Depth	4.33 m	Reaction force, R	241 kN
Pipe support length	5.00 m		
Preliminary size	203x152x6.4		
Size width	0.152 m		
Dead load, DL	0.327 kN/m		
Total member length	20.0 m		
(5.833m section)	20.0 11		

MEMBER	ТҮРЕ	FORCE (kN)	
2-4	TC	-232	(C)
4-6	TC	-372	(C)
6-8	TC	-418	(C)
1-3	BC	116	(T)
3-5	BC	302	(T)
5-7	BC	395	(T)
1-2	D	-232	(C)
2-3	D	232	(T)
3-4	D	-139	(C)
4-5	D	139	(T)
5-6	D	-46	(C)
6-7	D	46	(T)

Chord member size	203x152x6.4
Web member size	114x114x4.8
Web member weight	0.157 kN/m

Truss Weight

33.9 kN



Project:	Capstone Design Project	Done by:	Myles C	Date:	08/02/20		15
Subject:	Preliminary Pratt	Checked by:	Amos K	Page:	1	of	5
	Truss Design						

Option 1 - 16 panels
Top Chrid Members
G = 2281 kN L= 4.375m Ld = 0.9 (4.375m) = 3.938m
HSS 254 × 254 × 9.5 Cr= 2520 kN L= 4000 mm
Bottom Chord Members
TF= 2231 KN L= 4,375m Ld= 3.938m
$T_r = \phi A_5 F_y = 0.9 (9090 \text{ mm}^2) (300 \text{ MPa}) = 2454 \text{ kN}$
HSS 254×254×9.5
Vertical Membres
$C_{f} = 595 \text{ kN}$ L= 5 m La = 0.7(5) = 3,5 m
HSD152 + 152 + 4.8 Cr= 627kN L= 3600mm
Piagnal Members
TF= 790kN L= 6.64m La= 4.648m
Chuck HSS 152 + 152 . 4.8
$T_c = \phi A_g F_y = 0.9 (2760 m m^2) 300 m = 745 kN$
L/r ≤ 300 4648/59.9 = 78 ✓
HSS 152 × 152 × 4.8
Wt. of Steel
Length of Web men bers = 16 (5m + 6.64m) = 186.24m/truss
Length of Chord members = 2x 70m - 4.375m = 135.6 / Iruss
Wt. = 186.24m (0.213 kN/m) = 135.6m (0.7 kN/m) = 134.6 kN/truss
total times Wf = 269.2 kN





Project:	Capstone Design Project	Done by:	Myles C	Date:	08/02/201)15
Subject:	Preliminary Pratt	Checked by:	Amos K	Page:	3	of	5
	Truss Design						

Option 2 - 14 panels Top Chind Members CF = 2383 KN L= 5m Ld = 0,9 (5000) = 4500 mm HSS 254x254x9.5 Cr=2340 kN L= 4800 mm Bottom Chord Members Tr= 2316 LN L= 5m La= 4.5m Check HSS 254.254.45 Tr= \$ Ag Fy = 0.9 (9090) 300 = 2454 KU L/r <300 4500/99.1 = 45 V H5S 254 × 254 × 9.5 Vertical Hembers CF= 579KN L= 5m La= 0.7(5)= 3.5m HSD152x 152x 4.8 Cr=627KN L= 3600mm Diagnal Members TE= 819KN L= 7.07m La= 0.7(707)= 4.95m Check HSS152 × 152 × 4.8 Tr= \$ Ag Fy= 0.9(2760)(300) = 745 kN 4- 4300 4950/59,9 = 82.6 V HSS 152 - 152 × 4.8 WH. of Steel Length of Web members = 16 (5+ 7.07) = 193.12/truss W/t. = 193.12" (0.213 kN/m) + 135m (0.7 kN/m) = 135.6 kN/truss total truss Wt. - 271.2kN # connections = 54



Project:	Capstone Design Project	Done by:	Myles C	Date:	08/02/201		15
Subject:	Preliminary Pratt	Checked by:	Amos K	Page:	4	of	5
	Truss Design						

Option 3 - 12 p	nuls
Top Chord	
Ct=	2523 kN L= 5.833m Ld= 0.9 (5833)= 5250
Has	305-305×9.5 C.= 2970 L= 5200
Bottom Ch	ord
TF=	2353KN L= 5,833m Ld= 5,25m
Check	above
T,= 9	AgFy = 0.9 (11000) (300) = 2970 KN
L/r	= <u>7250</u> = 44 < 300 V
HSS	305 - 305 - 9,5
Vertical	
Ce=	561 kN L= $5m$ $L_d = 0.7 (4) = 3.5m$
HS21	52×152×4.8 Cr=627KN L=3600mm
Diagnals	
$T_f = S$	63 KN L= 7.68m Ld= 0.7(7.68)= 5.376m
check	above
Tr-	$\phi_{A_3} F_{y} = (0,9) ()(360) = 869 \text{ kN}$
۲/۲	= 3500/59.9 = 58 < 300 V
Wt. of Stee	L
Length	of Web mumbrus = 16 (5m+ 5,833m) = 173.3 m/bruss
Wt. = 1	13.3m (0.213 bym) + 134.2m (0.849 kym) = 150.85 kN/thurs
total trues	WH. = 301.7 KN
# computies	a = 46



Project:	Capstone Design Project	Done by:	Myles C	Date:	08/02/201)15
Subject:	Preliminary Pratt	Checked by:	Amos K	Page:	5	of	5
	Truss Design						

Qition 4 - 10 parch
Top Chold
Cg: 262(chu) L= Tm Lat 0.9(3) = 6.3m
H35305 × 365 + 9.5 Cg: 2720 Lus L- 6400m
Battom Chold
Tg: 2395 kus L: Tm Lat 6.3m
Tg: 6A35y = 0.9(11000) 300 = 3470
Yg: 530(20 = 53 < 300 ×
Natical
Cg: 540 hus L= 5m Lat 0.7(5) = 3.5m
HSS 172×152×1.8 C. 627 Lus L= 3600 mm
Diagonal
Tg: 9.29 hus L= 8.6m Lat 0.7(8.6) = 6.02m
Tg: 9.45 fg = 0.9(2760) 300 + 869 hu
Ng:
$$\frac{1}{557}$$
 + 101 = 3.00 ×
WL of Stal
Jacyta of Helt mandels = 16 (5+ 8.6) m = 217.6m/taus
WA = 217.6m (0.2350 hg) + 123 (0.549 hy/m) = 159.3 hs/tauss
Istal have WA = 318.6 km
consultions = 38



Project:	Capstone Design Project	Done by:	Amos K	Date:	10/02/201		015
Subject:	Preliminary Pratt	Checked by:	Myles C	Page:	1	of	7
	Truss Forces						





Project:	Capstone Design Project	Done by:	Amos K	Date:	10/	02/20	015
Subject:	Preliminary Pratt	Checked by:	Myles C	Page:	2	of	7
	Truss Forces						





Project:	Capstone Design Project	Done by:	Amos K	Date:	10/02/201		015
Subject:	Preliminary Pratt	Checked by:	Myles C	Page:	3	of	7
	Truss Forces						

PRATT TRUSS ANALYSIS SPREADSHEET

LOADS	
Pipe self-weight, SW _{Pipe}	7.64 kN/m
Grating self-weight, SW _{Grating}	1.10 kN/m
Snow load, S	2.76 kPa
Pipe ice accretion load, A _{Pipe}	0.26 kN/m
Member ice accretion, A_{Member}	0.12 kPa
DIMENSIONS	
Pipe diameter	1219 mm
Walkway width (one side)	1.50 m
Span	40 m

OPTION 2 DRAWING





Project:	Capstone Design Project	Done by:	Amos K	Date:	10/02/201		015
Subject:	Preliminary Pratt	Checked by:	Myles C	Page:	4	of	7
	Truss Forces						

TRUSS PARAMETERS		APPLIED LOADS	
Number of panels	10	Pipe load, P _P	60 kN
Panel length	4.000 m	Factored load, P _F	36 kN
Member length (V)	3.500 m	Reaction force, R	329 kN
Member length (D)	5.32 m		
Pipe support length	8.00 m		
Preliminary size	203x203x6.4		
Size width	0.203 m		
Dead load, DL	0.377 kN/m		
Total member length	10.0		
(4.375m section)	16.8 M		

MEMBER	ТҮРЕ	FORCE (kN)	
2-4	TC	322	(C)
4-6	TC	602	(C)
6-8	TC	774	(C)
8-10	TC	904	(C)
10-12	TC	924	(C)
1-3	BC	0	(T)
3-5	BC	322	(T)
5-7	BC	602	(T)
7-9	BC	774	(T)
9-11	BC	904	(T)
1-2	V	282	(C)
3-4	v	246	(C)
5-6	V	150	(C)
7-8	V	114	(C)
9-10	V	18	(C)
10-11	V	-18	(C)
2-3	D	428	(T)
4-5	D	373	(T)
6-7	D	227	(T)
8-9	D	173	(T)
9-10	D	27	(T)

Chord member size	178x178x6.4
Web member size	89x89x4.8
Web member weight	0.119 kN/m
Truss weight	39.1 kN

*	**		
MO			
		/	

Project:	Capstone Design Project	Done by:	Amos K	Date:	10/	02/2	015
Subject:	Preliminary Pratt	Checked by:	Myles C	Page:	5	of	7
	Truss Forces						

I

OPTION 2

TRUSS PARAMETERS		APPLIED LOADS	
Number of panels	8	Pipe load, P _P	75 kN
Panel length	5.000 m	Factored load, P _F	44 kN
Member length (V)	3.500 m	Reaction force, R	327 kN
Member length (D)	6.10 m		
Pipe support length	10.00 m		
Preliminary size	203x203x6.4		
Size width	0.203 m		
Dead load, DL	0.377 kN/m		
Total member length	10.6 m		
(5.000m section)	19.6 11		

MEMBER	ТҮРЕ	FORCE (kN)	<i>k</i>
2-4	TC	382	(C)
4-6	TC	700	(C)
6-8	TC	848	(C)
8-10	TC	933	(C)
1-3	BC	0	(T)
3-5	BC	382	(T)
5-7	BC	700	(T)
7-9	BC	848	(T)
1-2	V	267	(C)
3-4	v	223	(C)
5-6	V	104	(C)
7-8	V	59	(C)
9-10	V	-59	(C)
2-3	D	466	(T)
4-5	D	389	(T)
6-7	D	181	(T)
8-9	D	104	(T)

Chord member size	203x203x6.4	
Web member size	89x89x4.8	
Web member weight	0.119	kN/m

Truss weight 37.4 kN



Project:	Capstone Design Project	Done by:	Amos K	Date:	10/	02/2	015
Subject:	Preliminary Pratt	Checked by:	Myles C	Page:	6	of	7
	Truss Forces						

TRUSS PARAMETERS		APPLIED LOADS	
Number of panels	6	Pipe load, P _P	50 kN
Panel length	6.667 m	Factored load, P _F	61 kN
Member length (V)	3.500 m	Reaction force, R	332 kN
Member length (D)	7.53 m		
Pipe support length	6.67 m		
Preliminary size	203x203x8.0		
Size width	0.203 m		
Dead load, DL	0.466 kN/m		
Total member length	24.4 m		
(5.000m section)	24.4 m		

MEMBER	ТҮРЕ	FORCE (kN)	A
2-4	TC	527	(C)
4-6	TC	843	(C)
6-8	TC	949	(C)
1-3	BC	0	(T)
3-5	BC	527	(T)
5-7	BC	843	(T)
1-2	V	277	(C)
3-4	V	166	(C)
5-6	V	55	(C)
7-8	V	-55	(C)
2-3	D	595	(T)
4-5	D	357	(T)
6-7	D	119	(T)

Chord member size	203x203x8.0
Web member size	89x89x6.4
Web member weight	0.153 kN/m

Truss weight 44.3 kN



Project:	Capstone Design Project	Done by:	Amos K	Date:	10/	02/2	015
Subject:	Preliminary Pratt	Checked by:	Myles C	Page:	7	of	7
	Truss Forces						

TRUSS PARAMETERS		APPLIED LOADS	
Number of panels	4	Pipe load, P _P	75 kN
Panel length	10.000 m	Factored load, P _F	95 kN
Member length (V)	3.500 m	Reaction force, R	340 kN
Member length (D)	10.59 m		
Pipe support length	10.00 m		
Preliminary size	254x254x8.0		
Size width	0.254 m		
Dead load, DL	0.59 kN/m		
Total member length	21.1 m		
(5.000m section)	54.1 III		

MEMBER	ТҮРЕ	FORCE (kN)	a.
2-4	TC	729	(C)
4-6	TC	972	(C)
1-3	BC	0	(T)
3-5	BC	729	(T)
1-2	V	255	(C)
3-4	V	85	(C)
5-6	V	-85	(C)
2-3	D	772	(T)
4-5	D	257	(T)

Chord member size	254x254x8.0
Web member size	102x102x8.0
Web member weight	0.217 kN/m
Truss weight	53.5 kN



Project:	Capstone Design Project	Done by:	Amos K	Date:	10/	02/20	015
Subject:	Preliminary Pratt	Checked by:	Myles C	Page:	1	of	7
	Truss Forces						





Project:	Capstone Design Project	Done by:	Amos K	Date:	10/02/2015		
Subject:	Preliminary Pratt	Checked by:	Myles C	Page:	2	of	7
	Truss Forces						





Project:	Capstone Design Project	Done by:	Amos K	Date:	10/	02/20	015
Subject:	Preliminary Pratt	Checked by:	Myles C	Page:	3	of	7
	Truss Forces						

PRATT TRUSS ANALYSIS SPREADSHEET

LOADS	
Pipe self-weight, SW _{Pipe}	7.64 kN/m
Grating self-weight, SW _{Grating}	1.10 kN/m
Snow load, S	2.76 kPa
Pipe ice accretion load, A _{Pipe}	0.26 kN/m
Member ice accretion, A_{Member}	0.12 kPa
DIMENSIONS	
Pipe diameter	1219 mm
Walkway width (one side)	1.50 m
Span	40 m

OPTION 2 DRAWING




Project:	Capstone Design Project	Done by:	Amos K	Date:	10/	02/2	015
Subject:	Preliminary Pratt	Checked by:	Myles C	Page:	4	of	7
	Truss Forces						

TRUSS PARAMETERS		APPLIED LOADS	
Number of panels	10	Pipe load, P _P	60 kN
Panel length	4.000 m	Factored load, P _F	36 kN
Member length (V)	3.500 m	Reaction force, R	329 kN
Member length (D)	5.32 m		
Pipe support length	8.00 m		
Preliminary size	203x203x6.4		
Size width	0.203 m		
Dead load, DL	0.377 kN/m		
Total member length	10.0		
(4.375m section)	16.8 M		

MEMBER	ТҮРЕ	FORCE (kN)	
2-4	TC	322	(C)
4-6	TC	602	(C)
6-8	TC	774	(C)
8-10	TC	904	(C)
10-12	TC	924	(C)
1-3	BC	0	(T)
3-5	BC	322	(T)
5-7	BC	602	(T)
7-9	BC	774	(T)
9-11	BC	904	(T)
1-2	V	282	(C)
3-4	v	246	(C)
5-6	V	150	(C)
7-8	V	114	(C)
9-10	V	18	(C)
10-11	V	-18	(C)
2-3	D	428	(T)
4-5	D	373	(T)
6-7	D	227	(T)
8-9	D	173	(T)
9-10	D	27	(T)

Chord member size	178x178x6.4
Web member size	89x89x4.8
Web member weight	0.119 kN/m
Truss weight	39.1 kN

*	**		
MO			
		/	

Project:	Capstone Design Project	Done by:	Amos K	Date:	10/	02/2	015
Subject:	Preliminary Pratt	Checked by:	Myles C	Page:	5	of	7
	Truss Forces						

I

OPTION 2

TRUSS PARAMETERS		APPLIED LOADS	
Number of panels	8	Pipe load, P _P	75 kN
Panel length	5.000 m	Factored load, P _F	44 kN
Member length (V)	3.500 m	Reaction force, R	327 kN
Member length (D)	6.10 m		
Pipe support length	10.00 m		
Preliminary size	203x203x6.4		
Size width	0.203 m		
Dead load, DL	0.377 kN/m		
Total member length	10.6 m		
(5.000m section)	19.6 11		

MEMBER	TYPE	FORCE (kN)	<i>k</i>
2-4	TC	382	(C)
4-6	TC	700	(C)
6-8	TC	848	(C)
8-10	TC	933	(C)
1-3	BC	0	(T)
3-5	BC	382	(T)
5-7	BC	700	(T)
7-9	BC	848	(T)
1-2	V	267	(C)
3-4	v	223	(C)
5-6	V	104	(C)
7-8	V	59	(C)
9-10	V	-59	(C)
2-3	D	466	(T)
4-5	D	389	(T)
6-7	D	181	(T)
8-9	D	104	(T)

Chord member size	203x203x6.4	
Web member size	89x89x4.8	
Web member weight	0.119	kN/m

Truss weight 37.4 kN



Project:	Capstone Design Project	Done by:	Amos K	Date:	10/	02/2	015
Subject:	Preliminary Pratt	Checked by:	Myles C	Page:	6	of	7
	Truss Forces						

TRUSS PARAMETERS		APPLIED LOADS	
Number of panels	6	Pipe load, P _P	50 kN
Panel length	6.667 m	Factored load, P _F	61 kN
Member length (V)	3.500 m	Reaction force, R	332 kN
Member length (D)	7.53 m		
Pipe support length	6.67 m		
Preliminary size	203x203x8.0		
Size width	0.203 m		
Dead load, DL	0.466 kN/m		
Total member length	24.4 m		
(5.000m section)	24.4 m		

MEMBER	ТҮРЕ	FORCE (kN)	A
2-4	TC	527	(C)
4-6	TC	843	(C)
6-8	TC	949	(C)
1-3	BC	0	(T)
3-5	BC	527	(T)
5-7	BC	843	(T)
1-2	V	277	(C)
3-4	V	166	(C)
5-6	V	55	(C)
7-8	V	-55	(C)
2-3	D	595	(T)
4-5	D	357	(T)
6-7	D	119	(T)

Chord member size	203x203x8.0
Web member size	89x89x6.4
Web member weight	0.153 kN/m

Truss weight 44.3 kN



Project:	Capstone Design Project	Done by:	Amos K	Date:	10/02/20		015
Subject:	Preliminary Pratt	Checked by:	Myles C	Page:	7	of	7
	Truss Forces						

TRUSS PARAMETERS		APPLIED LOADS	
Number of panels	4	Pipe load, P _P	75 kN
Panel length	10.000 m	Factored load, P _F	95 kN
Member length (V)	3.500 m	Reaction force, R	340 kN
Member length (D)	10.59 m		
Pipe support length	10.00 m		
Preliminary size	254x254x8.0		
Size width	0.254 m		
Dead load, DL	0.59 kN/m		
Total member length	21.1 m		
(5.000m section)	54.1 III		

MEMBER	ТҮРЕ	FORCE (kN)	a.
2-4	TC	729	(C)
4-6	TC	972	(C)
1-3	BC	0	(T)
3-5	BC	729	(T)
1-2	V	255	(C)
3-4	V	85	(C)
5-6	V	-85	(C)
2-3	D	772	(T)
4-5	D	257	(T)

Chord member size	254x254x8.0
Web member size	102x102x8.0
Web member weight	0.217 kN/m
Truss weight	53.5 kN



Project:Capstone Design ProjectDone by:Myles CDate:26/01/2015Subject:Preliminary WarrenChecked by:Amos KPage:1of6Truss Design





Project:	Capstone Design Project	Done by:	Myles C	Date:	26/01/20		015
Subject:	Preliminary Warren	Checked by:	Amos K	Page:	2	of	6
	Truss Design						

$$\begin{aligned} & \mathcal{L}_{F,*} \circ O \\ & \mathcal{K}_{f} - \frac{P \cdot Q}{Z} - 3 Q - 3 (P \cdot Q) = M(V, \\ & H(S LN) - 2424 (kn/2 - 3(90.4 LN)] - 3(242.4 kn)) = 45.4 kn/ = M(V, \\ & \mathcal{L}_{N_{q}} = O \ O \\ & \mathcal{E}(4.35m) + (P \cdot Q)(10+2b + 32/2)m + Q(5+15+25)m - R_{1}(30m) = O \\ & \mathcal{E}(2,35m) + (P \cdot Q)(45m) - Q(45m) \\ & \mathcal{H}_{q} = 0 \\ & \mathcal{H}_{q}$$



Project:	Capstone Design Project	Done by:	Myles C	Date:	26/	01/2	015
Subject:	Preliminary Warren	Checked by:	Amos K	Page:	3	of	6
	Truss Design						

Size the web members - Governing members near the suppor	ta.
Method of Joints	
$\frac{P+Q}{2} = W_{1} \qquad 2F_{2} = 0$ $R_{1} - P+Q + \sin 60 W_{1} = 0$ $\frac{1}{2} = \frac{1}{60} = \frac{242kN}{2} - \sin(60) W_{1} = 0$ $R_{1} = \frac{1165kN}{2} - \frac{242kN}{2} - \sin(60) W_{1} = 0$	W.= 1206 kN (C)
2, F, =0 BC1 - COS 60 W1 = 0	BC,= 603 KN (T)
$W_{1} = \frac{Tc_{1}}{2c^{2}} = 0$ $W_{2} = \frac{Tc_{2}}{2c^{2}} = 0$ $W_{2} = \frac{Tc_{1}}{2c^{2}} = 0$	
$W_1 = W_Z$	Wz=1206KN (T)
$z_1F_N=0$ $z_2\sin 30W_1 - TC_1 = 0$	$TC_{i} = 1206 \text{ kN}$ (c)
Governing Web Loads	
$C_{f} = 603 \text{ kN} \qquad 1000 \text{ HSSCT } p_{0} \frac{53}{7} \text{ kL} = 0.7$ $= 0.7$ $= 35$	7 (5006)
from HSC design tables	
HSS127×127×8.0 Cr = 681 kN (L= 3600)
check HISSCT guidelines pg 383	
1. 127 > 0.35(254) = 88.9	\checkmark
2. $127/254 - 0.5 > 0.01(254/9.5+.1) = 0.$. 27 🗸
3. class I or Z	1
4. 127/8 = 16 < 35	/
5, 254/9.5 = 28 > 15 and < 35	1

(*	***	
	MO		
1			

Project:	Capstone Design Project	Done by:	Myles C	Date:	26/01/20		015
Subject:	Preliminary Warren	Checked by:	Amos K	Page:	4	of	6
	Truss Design						

6. minimum web nicmber size = 127 (0.63) = 80 mm	
$\begin{array}{rcl} \hline F. & 0.5(1-B) < \frac{9}{6} \\ & 0.5(1-\frac{19}{254}) = 0.25 < \frac{9}{254} \end{array}$	
minimum gap = 63.5mm	
8. absolute min gap = 4(8) = 32mm	
9. max eccentricity = $-0.55(4.33) = 2382 \text{ mm}$ = $0.25(4.33) = 1083 \text{ mm}$	
recheck guidelines if 89 × 89 × 8.0 used	
$\frac{1.127 - 89}{2} = 108 > 89$	1
2. 89/254= 0.35 > 0.27	~
3. class 1 or 2	\checkmark
$4 gg/g = 11 \times 35$	~



Project:	Capstone Design Project	Done by:	Myles C	Date:	26/	01/2(015
Subject:	Preliminary Warren	Checked by:	Amos K	Page:	5	of	6
	Truss Design						

assume # connections for chords constant between options # connections = 2 per diagonal # connections = 2(2)n = 4n # panelo = 2 # diagonals = 4 where n = # panels 4(2)=8 V # connections = 8 16 panels = 64 connections 14 panels = 56 connections 12 panels = 48 connections 10 panels = 40 connections Weights: 16 panels: member length = 4.375m We = 0.849 kN/m chord weight Ww = 0.213 kN/m web weight. W= 2 Ww (L·n) + We (140-L) where L= member length n= # penels = 2(0.213 LN/m) (4.375m + 16) + 0.849 KN/m (140m - 4.375m) = 29.82KN + 115.15 KN = 145 KN/truss = 290 kN 14 panels: member lingth = 5m Wc= 0.700 kN/m Ww= 0.279 kN/m W = 2(0.279 kN/m)(5m - 14) + 0.700 kN/m(140m - 5m) = 39.06 kN + 94.5 kN= 133, 5 KN/ truss = 267 KN



Project:	Capstone Design Project	Done by:	Myles C	Date:	26/	01/2	015
Subject:	Preliminary Warren	Checked by:	Amos K	Page:	6	of	6
	Truss Design						

12 panels: L= 5.833m We= 0.714kn/m Ww= 0.278kN/m $W = 2(0.278 \text{ kNym})(5.833 \text{ m} \times 12) + 0.714 \text{ kN/m}(140 \text{ m} - 5.833 \text{ m})$ = 38.9 kV + 95.8 kV = 134.7 kN / trues = 269.4 KN 10 panela: L= 7m We= 0.590 kW/m Ww= 0.401 KN/m $W = 2(0.401 \text{ kN/m})(7 \text{ m} \times 10) + 0.590 \text{ kN/m}(140 \text{ m} - 7 \text{ m})$ = 56.14 kN + 78.47 kN = 134.61 kN/huss = 269. 22 KN



Project:	Capstone Design Project	Done by:	Amos K	Date:	24/	01/20	015
Subject: Preliminary Warren		Checked by:	Myles C	Page:	1	of	5
	Truss Forces						





Project:	Capstone Design Project	Done by:	Amos K	Date:	24/	01/20	015
Subject:	Preliminary Warren	Checked by:	Myles C	Page:	2	of	5
	Truss Forces						





Project:	Capstone Design Project	Done by:	Amos K	Date:	24/	01/2	015
Subject:	Preliminary Warren	Checked by:	Myles C	Page:	3	of	5
	Truss Forces						

WARREN TRUSS ANALYSIS SPREADSHEET

LOADS	
Pipe self-weight, SW _{Pipe}	7.64 kN/m
Grating self-weight, SW _{Grating}	1.10 kN/m
Snow load, S	2.76 kPa
Pipe ice accretion load, A _{Pipe}	0.26 kN/m
Member ice accretion, A_{Member}	0.12 kPa
DIMENSIONS	
Pipe diameter	1219 mm
Walkway width (one side)	1.50 m
Span	40 m



Project:	Capstone Design Project	Done by:	Amos K	Date:	24/01/20		015
Subject: Preliminary Warren		Checked by:	Myles C	Page:	4	of	5
	Truss Forces						

FINAL OPTION (40m - A TRUSS)

TRUSS PARAMETERS		APPLIED LOADS	
Number of panels	8	Pipe load, P _P	37 kN
Member length	5.000 m	Factored load, P _F	43 kN
Depth	4.33 m	Reaction force, R	322 kN
Pipe support length	5.00 m		
Preliminary size	203x152x6.4		
Size width	0.152 m		
Dead load, DL	0.327 kN/m		
Total member length	20.0 m		
(5.833m section)	20.0 m		

MEMBER	ТҮРЕ	FORCE (kN)	
2-4	TC	-325	(C)
4-6	TC	-557	(C)
6-8	TC	-697	(C)
8-10	TC	-743	(C)
1-3	BC	163	(T)
3-5	BC	441	(T)
5-7	BC	627	(T)
7-9	BC	720	(T)
1-2	D	-325	(C)
2-3	D	325	(T)
3-4	D	-232	(C)
4-5	D	232	(T)
5-6	D	-139	(C)
6-7	D	139	(T)
7-8	D	-46	(C)
8-9	D	46	(T)

Chord member size	203x152x6.4
Web member size	114x114x4.8
Web member weight	0.157 kN/m

Truss Weight 37.1 kN



Project:	Capstone Design Project	Done by:	Amos K	Date:	24/	01/2	015
Subject:	Preliminary Warren	Checked by:	Myles C	Page:	5	of	5
	Truss Forces						

FINAL OPTION (30m - B TRUSS)

TRUSS PARAMETERS		APPLIED LOADS	
Number of panels	6	Pipe load, P _P	37 kN
Member length 5.000 m		Factored load, P _F	43 kN
Depth	4.33 m	Reaction force, R	241 kN
Pipe support length	5.00 m		
Preliminary size	203x152x6.4		
Size width	0.152 m		
Dead load, DL	0.327 kN/m		
Total member length	20.0 m		
(5.833m section)	20.0 11		

MEMBER	ТҮРЕ	FORCE (kN)	
2-4	TC	-232	(C)
4-6	TC	-372	(C)
6-8	TC	-418	(C)
1-3	BC	116	(T)
3-5	BC	302	(T)
5-7	BC	395	(T)
1-2	D	-232	(C)
2-3	D	232	(T)
3-4	D	-139	(C)
4-5	D	139	(T)
5-6	D	-46	(C)
6-7	D	46	(T)

Chord member size	203x152x6.4
Web member size	114x114x4.8
Web member weight	0.157 kN/m

Truss Weight

33.9 kN



Project:	Capstone Design Project	Done by:	Myles C	Date:	08/0	2/20	15
Subject:	Preliminary Pratt	Checked by:	Amos K	Page:	1	of	5
	Truss Design						

Option 1 - 16 panels
Top Chrid Members
G = 2281 kN L= 4.375m Ld = 0.9 (4.375m) = 3.938m
HSS 254 × 254 × 9.5 Cr= 2520 kN L= 4000 mm
Bottom Chord Members
TF= 2231 KN L= 4,375m Ld= 3.938m
$T_r = \phi A_5 F_y = 0.9 (9090 \text{ mm}^2) (300 \text{ MPa}) = 2454 \text{ kN}$
HSS 254×254×9.5
Vertical Membres
$C_{f} = 595 \text{ kN}$ L= 5 m La = 0.7(5) = 3,5 m
HSD152 + 152 + 4.8 Cr= 627kN L= 3600mm
Piagnal Members
TF= 790kN L= 6.64m La= 4.648m
Chuck HSS 152 + 152 . 4.8
$T_c = \phi A_g F_y = 0.9 (2760 m m^2) 300 m = 745 kN$
L/r ≤ 300 4648/59.9 = 78 ✓
HSS 152 × 152 × 4.8
Wt. of Steel
Length of Web men bers = 16 (5m + 6.64m) = 186.24m/truss
Length of Chord members = 2x 70m - 4.375m = 135.6 / Iruss
Wt. = 186.24m (0.213 kN/m) = 135.6m (0.7 kN/m) = 134.6 kN/truss
total times Wf = 269.2 kN





Project:	Capstone Design Project	Done by:	Myles C	Date:	08/	02/20)15
Subject:	Preliminary Pratt	Checked by:	Amos K	Page:	3	of	5
	Truss Design						

Option 2 - 14 panels Top Chind Members CF = 2383 KN L= 5m Ld = 0,9 (5000) = 4500 mm HSS 254x254x9.5 Cr=2340 kN L= 4800 mm Bottom Chord Members Tr= 2316 LN L= 5m La= 4.5m Check HSS 254.254.45 Tr= \$ Ag Fy = 0.9 (9090) 300 = 2454 KU L/r <300 4500/99.1 = 45 V H5S 254 × 254 × 9.5 Vertical Hembers CF= 579KN L= 5m La= 0.7(5)= 3.5m HSD152x 152x 4.8 Cr=627KN L= 3600mm Diagnal Members TE= 819KN L= 7.07m La= 0.7(707)= 4.95m Check HSS152 × 152 × 4.8 Tr= \$ Ag Fy= 0.9(2760)(300) = 745 kN 4- 4300 4950/59,9 = 82.6 V HSS 152 - 152 × 4.8 WH. of Steel Length of Web members = 16 (5+ 7.07) = 193.12/truss W/t. = 193.12" (0.213 kN/m) + 135m (0.7 kN/m) = 135.6 kN/truss total truss Wt. - 271.2kN # connections = 54



Project:	Capstone Design Project	Done by:	Myles C	Date:	08/0)2/20	15
Subject:	Preliminary Pratt	Checked by:	Amos K	Page:	4	of	5
	Truss Design						

Option 3 - 12 p	nuls
Top Chord	
Ct=	2523 kN L= 5.833m Ld= 0.9 (5833)= 5250
Has	305-305×9.5 C.= 2970 L= 5200
Bottom Ch	ord
TF=	2353KN L= 5,833m Ld= 5,25m
Check	above
T,= 9	AgFy = 0.9 (11000) (300) = 2970 KN
L/r	= <u>120</u> = 44 < 300 V
HSS	305 - 305 - 9,5
Vertical	
Ce=	561 kN L= $5m$ $L_d = 0.7 (4) = 3.5m$
HS21	52×152×4.8 Cr=627KN L=3600mm
Diagnals	
$T_f = S$	63 KN L= 7.68m Ld= 0.7(7.68)= 5.376m
check	above
Tr-	$\phi_{A_3} F_{y} = (0,9) ()(360) = 869 \text{ kN}$
۲/۲	= 3500/59.9 = 58 < 300 V
Wt. of Stee	L
Length	of Web mumbrus = 16 (5m+ 5,833m) = 173.3 m/bruss
Wt. = 1	13.3m (0.213 bym) + 134.2m (0.849 kym) = 150.85 kN/thurs
total trues	WH. = 301.7 KN
# computies	a = 46



Project:	Capstone Design Project	Done by:	Myles C	Date:	08/0	02/20)15
Subject:	Preliminary Pratt	Checked by:	Amos K	Page:	5	of	5
	Truss Design						

Qition 4 - 10 parch
Top Chold
Cg: 262(chu) L= Tm Lat 0.9(3) = 6.3m
H35305 × 365 + 9.5 Cg: 2720 Lus L- 6400m
Battom Chold
Tg: 2395 kus L: Tm Lat 6.3m
Tg: 6A35y = 0.9(11000) 300 = 3470
Yg: 530(20 = 53 < 300 ×
Natical
Cg: 540 hus L= 5m Lat 0.7(5) = 3.5m
HSS 152 + 1.8 C. 627 Lus L= 3600 mm
Diagonal
Tg: 9.29 hus L= 8.6m Lat 0.7(8.6) = 6.02m
Tg: 9.45 Fig = 0.9(2760) 300 + 869 hu
Ng:
$$\frac{1}{557}$$
 + 101 = 3.00 ×
WL of Stal
Jacyta of Helt mandels = 16 (5+ 8.6) m = 217.6m/taus
WA = 217.6m (0.2350 hg) + 1723 (0.549 hy/m) = 159.3 hs/tauss
Istal have WA = 318.6 km
consultions = 38

APPENDIX D: SUPERSTRUCTURE DEFLECTION

CALCULATIONS





Project:	Capstone Design Project	Done by:	Amos K	Date:	10/	02/2	015
Subject:	Preliminary Warren	Checked by:	Myles C	Page:	1	of	6
	Truss Deflection						

WARREN TRUSS DEFLECTION SPREADSHEET

LOADS	
Pipe self-weight, SW _{Pipe}	7.64 kN/m
Grating self-weight, SW _{Grating}	0.38 kN/m
Snow load, S	2.76 kPa
DIMENSIONS	
Pipe diameter	1219 mm
Walkway width (one side)	1.50 m
Span	40 m



Project:	Capstone Design Project	Done by:	Amos K	Date:	10/	02/2	015
Subject:	Preliminary Warren	Checked by:	Myles C	Page:	2	of	6
	Truss Deflection						

TRUSS PARAMETERS		APPLIED LOADS	
Number of panels	12	Pipe load, P _P	53 kN
Member length	3.333 m	Service load, Ps	20 kN
Depth	2.887 m	Reaction, R	229
Pipe support length	10.00 m		
Preliminary size	203x203x6.4		
Moment of inertia	3.13E+07 mm ⁴		
Area	4900 mm ²		
Dead load, DL	0.5 kN/m		
Total member length (4.375m section)	13.3 m		

Truss moment of inertia

2.05E+10 mm⁴

POINT	DEFLECTION (mm)
1	3
2	6
3	33
4	11
5	13
6	24
SUM	91



Project:	Capstone Design Project	Done by:	Amos K	Date:	10/	02/2	015
Subject:	Preliminary Warren	Checked by:	Myles C	Page:	3	of	6
	Truss Deflection						

TRUSS PARAMETERS		APPLIED LOADS	
Number of panels	10	Pipe load, P _P	43 kN
Member length	4.000 m	Service load, Ps	22 kN
Depth	3.46 m	Reaction, R	219 kN
Pipe support length	8.00 m		
Preliminary size	203x203x6.4		
Moment of Inertia	3.13E+07 mm ⁴		
Area	4900 mm ²		
Dead load, DL	0.377 kN/m		
Total member length (5.000m section)	16.0 m		

Truss moment of inertia

2.95E+10 mm⁴

POINT	DEFLECTION (mm)
1	3
2	17
3	8
4	28
5	5
SUM	61



Project:	Capstone Design Project	Done by:	Amos K	Date:	10/	02/2	015
Subject:	Preliminary Warren	Checked by:	Myles C	Page:	4	of	6
	Truss Deflection						

TRUSS PARAMETERS		APPLIED LOADS	
Number of panels	8	Pipe load, P _P	53 kN
Member length	5.000 m	Service load, P _S	27 kN
Depth	4.33 m	Reaction, R	215 kN
Pipe support length	10.00 m		
Preliminary size	178x178x6.4		
Moment of Inertia	2.50E+07 mm ⁴		
Area	4250 mm ²		
Dead load, DL	0.327 kN/m		
Total member length (5.833m section)	20.0 m		

Truss moment of inertia 3.99E+10 mm⁴

POINT	DEFLECTION (mm)
1	3
2	18
3	8
4	13
SUM	43



Project:	Capstone Design Project	Done by:	Amos K	Date:	10/	02/20	015
Subject:	Preliminary Warren	Checked by:	Myles C	Page:	5	of	6
	Truss Deflection						

TRUSS PARAMETERS		APPLIED LOADS	
Number of panels	6	Pipe load, P _P	36 kN
Member length	6.667 m	Service load, P _s	37 kN
Depth	5.77 m	Reaction, R	166 kN
Pipe support length	6.67 m		
Preliminary size	203x203x6.4		
Moment of Inertia	3.13E+07 mm ⁴		
Area	4900 mm ²		
Dead load, DL	0.377 kN/m		
Total member length (7.000m section)	26.667 m		

Truss moment of inertia 8.17E+10 mm⁴

POINT	DEFLECTION (mm)
1	6
2	10
3	6
SUM	22



Project:	Capstone Design Project	Done by:	Amos K	Date:	10/	02/2	015
Subject:	Preliminary Warren	Checked by:	Myles C	Page:	6	of	6
	Truss Deflection						

TRUSS PARAMETERS		APPLIED LOADS	
Number of panels	4	Pipe load, P _P	53 kN
Member length	10.000 m	Service load, Ps	56 kN
Depth	8.66 m	Reaction, R	166 kN
Pipe support length	10.00 m		
Preliminary size	203x203x6.4		
Moment of Inertia	3.13E+07 mm ⁴		
Area	4900 mm ²		
Dead load, DL	0.377 kN/m		
Total member length (7.000m section)	40.000 m		

Truss moment of inertia 1.84E+11 mm⁴

POINT	DEFLECTIONS (mm)
1	5
2	4
SUM	9



Project:	Capstone Design Project	Done by:	Myles C	Date:	10/	02/2	015
Subject:	Preliminary Pratt	Checked by:	Amos K	Page:	1	of	5
	Truss Deflection						

WARREN TRUSS DEFLECTION SPREADSHEET

LOADS	
Pipe self-weight, SW _{Pipe}	7.64 kN/m
Grating self-weight, SW _{Grating}	0.38 kN/m
Snow load, S	2.76 kPa
DIMENSIONS Pipe diameter Walkway width (one side)	1219 mm 1.50 m
Span	40 m



Project:	Capstone Design Project	Done by:	Myles C	Date:	10/	02/2	015
Subject:	Preliminary Pratt	Checked by:	Amos K	Page:	2	of	5
	Truss Deflection						

TRUSS PARAMETERS		APPLIED LOADS	
Number of panels	10	Pipe load, P _P	43 kN
Member length	4.000 m	Service load, P _s	23 kN
Depth	3.500 m	Reaction, R	220 kN
Diagonal length	5.315 m		
Pipe support length	8.00 m		
Preliminary size	203x203x6.4		
Moment of inertia	3.13E+07 mm ⁴		
Area	4900 mm ²		
Dead load, DL	0.377 kN/m		
Total member length (4.375m section)	16.8 m		

Truss moment of inertia

3.01E+10 mm⁴

POINT	DEFLECTIONS (mm)
1	3
2	16
3	8
4	27
5	5
SUM	60



Project:	Capstone Design Project	Done by:	Myles C	Date:	10/	02/2	015
Subject:	Preliminary Pratt	Checked by:	Amos K	Page:	3	of	5
	Truss Deflection						

TRUSS PARAMETERS		APPLIED LOADS	
Number of panels	8	Pipe load, P _P	53 kN
Member length	5.000 m	Service load, P _s	28 kN
Depth	3.50 m	Reaction, R	218 kN
Diagonal length	6.103 m		
Pipe support length	10.00 m		
Preliminary size	203x203x6.4		
Moment of Inertia	3.13E+07 mm ⁴		
Area	4900 mm ²		
Dead load, DL	0.377 kN/m		
Total member length (5.000m section)	19.6 m		

Truss moment of inertia 3.01E+10 mm⁴

POINT	DEFLECTION (mm)
1	5
2	25
3	11
4	18
SUM	59



Project:	Capstone Design Project	Done by:	Myles C	Date:	10/	02/2	015
Subject:	Preliminary Pratt	Checked by:	Amos K	Page:	4	of	5
	Truss Deflection						

TRUSS PARAMETERS		APPLIED LOADS	
Number of panels	6	Pipe load, P _P	36 kN
Member length	6.667 m	Service load, Ps	39 kN
Depth	3.50 m	Reaction, R	223 kN
Diagonal length	7.530 m		
Pipe support length	6.67 m		
Preliminary size	203x203x8.0		
Moment of Inertia	3.79E+07 mm ⁴		
Area	6050 mm ²		
Dead load, DL	0.466 kN/m		
Total member length (5.833m section)	24.4 m		

Truss moment of inertia

3.71E+10 mm⁴

POINT	DEFLECTION (mm)
1	13
2	23
3	13
SUM	49



Project:	Capstone Design Project	Done by:	Myles C	Date:	10/	02/2	015
Subject:	Preliminary Pratt	Checked by:	Amos K	Page:	5	of	5
	Truss Deflection						

TRUSS PARAMETERS		APPLIED LOADS		
Number of panels 4		Pipe load, P _P	53 kN	
Member length	10.000 m	Service load, Ps	54 kN	
Depth	3.50 m	Reaction, R	214 kN	
Diagonal length	10.595 m			
Pipe support length	10.00 m			
Preliminary size	254x254x8.0			
Moment of Inertia	$3.13E+07 \text{ mm}^4$			
Area	4900 mm ²			
Dead load, DL	0.377 kN/m			
Total member length (7.000m section)	34.1 m			

Truss moment of inertia

3.01E+10 mm⁴

POINT	DEFLECTION (mm)			
1	33			
2	24			
SUM	56			

APPENDIX E: LATERAL MEMBER DESIGN

CALCULATIONS





Project:	Capstone Design Project	Done by:	Myles C	Date:	23/	23/02/2015	
Subject:	Cross/Sway Bracing	Checked by:	Amos K	Page:	1	of	4





Project:Capstone Design ProjectDone by:Myles CDate:23/02/2015Subject:Cross/Sway BracingChecked by:Amos KPage:2of4

Truss wind load = 2 kPa (2.92m2)(8) = 46.7 kN 46.7 KN/40m = 1.2 KN/m Tops choud worid load = 1.2 KN/m = 0.6 KN/m Bottom chord wind load = 1.2 kN/m + 2.4 kN/m = 3.0 kN/m Bottom hacing governs. Check web member size (HSSII4×114×4.8) 40 m - 5m - 0 C3.0KN/m Simplify 22.Stal 15.0 KN 15.0 KN 7.5KN 15.0KN 15.0KN 22.5KN 7.5KN design x bracing as tension only governing load is 22.5 km HSS114×114×4.8 Tr= 438KN (CISC HSC pg 4-54; based on gross alla if member takes compression load Cr = 244 LN >> 22.5 LN Bracing should be sufficient however check with SAP. X tracing 2121 = 114 × 114 × 4.8 lateral having size = 114 × 114 × 4.8 pipe support size = 178 × 127 × 13



Project:Capstone Design ProjectDone by:Myles CDate:23/02/2015Subject:Cross/Sway BracingChecked by:Amos KPage:364

Check chords against bending in central span. - treat as simply supported which is conservative $\frac{3 \text{ kN/m}}{8} = \frac{3 \text{ kN/m} (10 \text{ m})^2}{8}$ 4 = 37.5 kNv 10-HSS 178 × 178 × 6.4 Z= 271× 10 mm3 Mr= \$ZFy = 0.9 (271-10 mm3) (350 HP2) = 85 kNm Mr= 85 7 Mr= 37.5


Project:	Capstone Design Project	Done by:	Myles C	Date:	23/	02/2	015
Subject:	Cross/Sway Bracing	Checked by:	Amos K	Page:	4	of	4

Truss requires support to prevent the truss from typping over simplify wind load to a point load @ the top h/2 4.33m $\max P = 0.6 kN/m(7.5m) + 7.4 kN$ = 11.9 kN 4.219 m 2.11 11.9 $x = 1/.9 \left(\frac{2.11}{2.11}\right) = 12.2$ 2.17 required force in members. = \$11.92 = 12.22 = 17.0 kN design for one member taking the full load compare with web member size HSS114×114×4.8 CISC HSC pg 4-54 G= 496 KN (L-2400mm) check design with SAP.



Project:	Capstone Design Project	Done by:	Myles C	Date:	22/02/20		015
Subject:	Pipe Support Beam	Checked by:	Amos K	Page:	1	of	2

Cose 1: Pressure Testing
Cose 2: Snow
Constant Load 7: 7.64 km/m
Water = (0.5 km/m) 1.1 = 11.5 km/m = governo
Snow - Z.76 ka (1-219 m) = 3.36 km/m
Croveroing, Load + 1.1(7.64 + 10.5) km/m
Truest pipes as continuers. Usen under UPU
(Ersc HSC p5-160)
Force = 1.445 (17.95 km/m) (10m) = 223 km
Prove wat

$$\frac{1}{27}$$
 4.27 km $\frac{1}{27}$
Maay = 9]/4 - 228 km (3.28 m) (10m) = 228 km
(Complete a MSS and c W shipk member
Complete a MSS and c W shipk member
Complete a MSS and c W shipk member
Mape WISOA37 L-222 km³ mm³, J. = 101 + 10⁴ mm³, Z² 310 + 10³ mm⁴
Mape WISOA37 L-222 km³ mm³ (350 km³) + 109 km
Ma² CF₃ = 310 + 0³ mm³ (350 km³) + 109 km
Ma² CF₃ = 310 + 0³ mm³ (350 km³) + 109 km
Ma² CF₃ = 310 + 0³ mm³ (350 km³) + 109 km
Ma² CF₃ = 119 km
Ma² M² M² T⁴ = 107 km³



Project:	Capstone Design Project	Done by:	Myles C	Date:	22/	02/20	015
Subject:	Pipe Support Beam	Checked by:	Amos K	Page:	2	of	2

HOS shape check HSS 178+127+13 Mr= 6 2 Fy = 0.9 (378 × 10° mm²) (350 N/mm²) = 120 Kulm check HSS 178 × 178 × 13 $M_r = \phi Z F_y = 0.9 (484 \times 10^3 \text{ m/m}^3) (350 \text{ M/m}^2) = 152 \text{ kNm}$ Need to reduce support length on pipe to lespen loads New pipe support length = 5m. Frice = 1.143 (19.95 KN/m) (5m) = 114 LN MHAX = PR/4 = 114KN (4.219m)/4 = 120KNm WAR HSS 178 × 127 × 13 Mr= 120 KNm > MF= 120 KNm check HSS 178 × 178 × 9.5 (lighter than 178 × 127 × 13) $M_{r} = \phi Z F_{y} = 0.9 (385 \times 10^{3} \text{ mm}^{3}) (350 \text{ MPR})$ = 121 kNm use 178×178×9.5 since lighter and higher Mr.

APPENDIX F: DETAILED DESIGN CALCULATIONS





PRELIMINARY MEMBER SELECTIONS

The values for compressive resistances, Cr, are interpolated from the CISC Handbook of Steel Construction Tenth Edition (2011). Using G40.21 350W Class H. See pages 4-74 to 4-81.

For HSS chord members

Effective length factor

 $K_c := 0.9$

Distance between chord panel points

 $L_c := 5.00m$

For HSS web members

Effective length factor

 $K_w := 0.7$

Distance between web panel points

 $L_w := 4.33 \text{ m}$

Central top chord

Central top chord is the governing member and is in compression.

Load in member 8a-10a is -744 kN.

 $F_{8a10a} := -744 \text{ kN}$

 $K_c \cdot L_c = 4.5$ m

Try HSS 203x152x6.4

 $A_c1 := 4250 \text{ mm}^2$

 $Cr_c1 := -1030 \text{ kN}$ (From CISC Handbook)

 $Cr_c1 > F_8a10a$

Although a smaller size can be chosen, it will be later shown that HSS 178x178x6.4 was chosen for deflection limits.



Checking section classification limits

$$b_{el}c_{1} := \frac{152}{2} = 76 \text{ mm}$$

$$t_{c1} := 6.4 \text{ mm}$$

$$\frac{b_{el}c_{l}}{t_{c1}} = 11.9$$
Fy := 350 MPa
$$\frac{420}{\sqrt{Fy}} = 22.4$$

$$\frac{420}{\sqrt{Fy}} > \frac{b_{el}c_{l}c_{1}}{t_{c1}} \quad \text{therefore, 178x178x6.4 is a Class 1 section.}$$
Utilization is $\frac{-744}{-1030} = 0.72$

Central bottom chord

Central bottom chord is the governing member and is in tension.

Load in member 7a-9a is 710 kN

$$F_7a9a := 710 \text{ kN}$$

$$\phi := 0.9$$

$$A_{min} = T_f / \phi F_y$$

$$Amin_c2 := \frac{F_7a9a \cdot 1000}{\phi \cdot Fy} = 2254 \text{ mm}^2$$

Try HSS 203x152x6.4 (Same as top chord)

Using uniform sizes for chord members for practical reasons (e.g. constructability, purchasing, etc.)

$$A_c2 := 4250 \text{ mm}^2$$
$$A_c2 > Amin_c2$$
$$Tf_c2 := \frac{\phi \cdot A_c2 \cdot Fy}{1000} = 1339 \text{ kN}$$

Utilization is $\frac{710}{1213} = 0.59$



Compression diagonal at the end of truss

Compression diagonal at the end of truss is the governing member and is in compression.

Load in member 1a-2a is 325 kN

 $F_{1a2a} := -325 \text{ kN}$

 $K_w \cdot L_w = 3.0$

Try HSS 114x114x4.8

 $A_w1 := 2040 \text{ mm}^2$

 $Cr_w1 := -490 \text{ kN}$ (From CISC Handbook)

 $Cr_w1 > F_{1a2a}$

Checking section classification limits

$$b_el_w1 := \frac{114}{2} = 57$$
 mm

 $t_w1 := 4.8 \text{mm}$

$$\frac{b_el_w1}{t_w1} = 11.9$$

$$\frac{420}{\sqrt{Fy}} > \frac{b_el_w1}{t_w1} \qquad \mbox{therefore, 114x114x4.8 is a Class 1 section.}$$

Utilization is
$$\frac{-325}{-490} = 0.66$$

Tension diagonal at the end of truss

Tension diagonal at the end of the truss is the governing member and is in tension.

$$F_{2a3a} := 325 \text{ kN}$$

$$Amin_w2 := \frac{F_{2a3a \cdot 1000}}{\varphi \cdot Fy} = 1032 \text{ mm}^2$$

$$A_w1 := 2040 \text{ mm}^2$$

$$A_w1 > Amin_w2$$

$$Tf_w2 := \frac{\varphi \cdot A_w1 \cdot Fy}{1000} = 643 \text{ kN}$$

$$Utilization \text{ is } \frac{325}{583} = 0.56$$

$$Design \text{ summary with trial members}$$

$$Chord \text{ members: HSS } 203x152x6.4$$

Web members: HSS 114x114x4.8



RESISTANCE OF GAP K CONNECTIONS

Looking at Panel Point 3 and 4 (Looking at critical panel points)

Checking the validity limits for a gap connection with sqaure chords and webs from CISC Hollow Structural Section: Connections and Trusses (CISC HSS).

Confirm that a gap connection is feasible. Looking at Maximum β (average width of web members relative to the chord width) based on allowable eccentricity limits, chord aspect ratio and inclination of web members. See CISC HSS Figure 3.11.

Chord member height, h₀

Chord member width, b₀

 $h_0 := 203 \text{ mm}$

$$b_0 := 152 \text{ mm}$$

$$\frac{h_0}{h_0} = 1.336$$

 $\theta_1 := 60$ degrees

$$\theta_2 := 60$$
 degrees

 $\beta := 1.0$ (From Figure 3.11)

Maximum allowable β is 1.0.

Web member height, h₁

Web member width, b₁

$$h_1 := 114$$
 mm

$$b_1 := 114$$
 mm

$$\beta_{\text{actual}} := \frac{(2 \cdot b_{-1})}{(2 \cdot b_{-0})} = 0.75$$

Therefore, OK. use $\beta = 0.75$ $\beta = 0.75$



For gap connections to be valid, the average width of the two web members (both web members for all panel points have the same width) must be at at least 0.35 times the chord width.

 $\beta \ge 0.35$ therefore, OK.

Width of the web members must be at least a hundredth of the chord width-to-thickness ratio, plus 0.1.

 $\frac{b_1}{b_0} = 0.75$

 $t_0 := 6.4$ mm

 $t_1 := 4.8 \text{ mm}$

$$0.01 \cdot \frac{b_0}{t_0} + 0.1 = 0.338$$

 $0.75 \ge 0.378$ therefore, OK.

Both chord and web members confirmed as Class 1 sections.

Maximum width to thickness ratio of tension web members is 35.

$$\frac{b_1}{t_1} = 24 \qquad 24 \le 35 \quad \text{therefore, OK}.$$

Width to thickness ratio of the chord must be between 15 and 35.

$$\frac{b_0}{t_0} = 24$$
 therefore, OK.

Width of the smaller web member must be at least 0.63 times the width of the web member. As both web members are the same size, OK.

With the above checks, the use of gap connections are valid.



Connection resistance of tension diagonal 2-3

Looking at Panel Point 3 (Looking at critical panel points).

Refer to Fig. 3.23 Web member effiency for square HSS K and N gap connections from CISC HSS.

$$\frac{b_0}{t_0} = 24$$

C_KGap1 := 0.34

Web members connecting to a tension chord, $f_n 1 := 1$

The connection resistance is given by

$$N_1 := C_KGap1 \cdot \frac{t_0}{t_1} \cdot \frac{1}{\sin\left(\theta_1 \cdot \frac{\pi}{180}\right)} \cdot f_n 1 \cdot A_w 1 \cdot \frac{Fy}{1000} = 374 \text{ kN}$$

Tension force in diagonal 2-3 is 330 kN.

 $374 \ge 325$ therefore, OK.

Connection resistace of compression diagonal 3-4

The connection resistance is the same as tension diagonal 2-3

 $N_2 := N_1 = 374 \text{ kN}$

Compression force in diagonal 3-4 is 232 kN.

 $374 \ge 232$ therefore, OK.

Panel Point 3 resistance of gap K connections are OK.



Connection resistance of tension diagonal 4-5

$$P_{f} := 43 \text{ kN}$$

$$P_{p} := 37 \text{ kN}$$

$$M_{f1} := \frac{3}{32} \cdot P_{f} \cdot L_{c} + \frac{3}{32} \cdot (P_{f} + P_{p}) \cdot L_{c} = 57.7 \text{ kNm}$$

$$F_{4a6a} := -558 \text{ kN}$$

$$S_{c1} := 225 \cdot 10^{3} = 225 \times 10^{3}$$

$$n := \frac{F_{-4a6a}}{A_{c}1 \cdot \frac{Fy}{1000}} = -0.375$$

$$f_{n2} := 1.3 + \frac{0.4}{\beta} \cdot n = 1.1 \qquad f_{m2} := 1$$

$$N_{-3} := C_{K}Gap1 \cdot \frac{t_{-0}}{t_{-1}} \cdot \frac{1}{\sin\left(\theta_{-1} \cdot \frac{\pi}{180}\right)} \cdot f_{-n}2 \cdot A_{-w}1 \cdot \frac{Fy}{1000} = 374 \text{ kN}$$

Tension force in diagonal 4-5 is 232 kN.

 $374 \ge 232$ therefore, OK.

Panel Point 4 resistance of gap connections are OK.

Gap dimensions

$$0.5 \cdot (1 - \beta) = 0.125$$

g is the gap dimension.

$$\label{eq:g_star} \begin{split} \frac{g}{b_0} &\geq 0.125 \quad \text{ and } \quad g \geq 2 \cdot t_1 \\ g_{\text{M}} &\coloneqq 20 \end{split}$$

A gap of 20mm satisfies both of these requirements.



BOLTED FLANGE-PLATE SPLICE CONNECTION

Designing splices in bottom chord member 7a-9a and top chord member 8a-10a.

 $\begin{array}{l} F_7a9a=710\\ F_8a10a=-744\\ \underline{Splice\ connection\ for\ bottom\ chord\ 7-9}\\ Try\ 6\ bolts.\\ Applied\ load\ per\ bolt,\quad P_fb:=\frac{710}{6}=118\ kN\\ Assume\ M22\ bolts,\ 24mm\ hole\ diameter.\quad T_rb:=189\ kN\ From\ CISC\ (Handbook)\\ d:=22\ mm\\ d_prime:=24\ mm\\ Bolt\ pitch\ should\ generally\ be\ about\ 4\ to\ 5\ bolt\ diameters.\\ Initial\ pitch,\ p:=100\ mm\\ \end{array}$

Initial distance from blot line to edge of face b := 45 mm

$$\begin{split} & & & \\$$

Parameter,
$$K := \frac{4 \cdot b_{prime} \cdot 1000}{5.13} = 5.13$$

Farameter,
$$\mathbf{K} := \frac{0.9 \cdot \mathbf{p} \cdot \mathbf{Fy}}{0.9 \cdot \mathbf{p} \cdot \mathbf{Fy}} = 1$$

Plate thickness

$$t_{min} := \left[\frac{K \cdot P_{fb}}{(1 + \delta \cdot 1)}\right]^{0.5} = 18.6 \text{mm}$$
$$t_{max} := \left[\frac{K \cdot P_{fb}}{(1 + \delta \cdot 0)}\right]^{0.5} = 24.6 \text{mm}$$

Try 3/4 inch plate (19 mm) tp1 := 19 mm

Maximum effective a is $a := 1.25 \cdot b = 56.25$ mm

Ratio of the sagging plate moment to the hogging plate moment.

$$\alpha := \left(\frac{K \cdot T_r b}{tp1^2} - 1\right) \cdot \left[\frac{a + \left(\frac{d}{2}\right)}{\delta \cdot (a + b + t_0)}\right] = 1.39$$

 α is greater than 1. This would cause major flexure in the plate and prying forces. Try a thicker plate closer to the maximum thickness.



Try 7/8 inch plate (22.2 mm) tp2 := 22.2 mm

$$\alpha := \left(\frac{\text{K} \cdot \text{T}_{\text{rb}}}{\text{tp2}^2} - 1\right) \cdot \left[\frac{a + \left(\frac{d}{2}\right)}{\delta \cdot (a + b + t_{\text{o}})}\right] = 0.795$$

Since α is between 0 and 1, choose α = 0.795 and 3/4" plate thickness.

$$N_c1 := \left(\frac{tp2^2}{K}\right) \cdot (1 + \delta \cdot \alpha) \cdot 6 = 925 \text{kN}$$

 $925 \ge 710$ therefore, OK.

Actual bolt load (including prying)
a_prime :=
$$a + \frac{d}{2} = 67.25 \text{ mm}$$

 $\alpha_p := \left(K \cdot \frac{P_f b}{tp2^2} - 1\right) \cdot \frac{1}{\delta} = 0.305$
 $T_f b := P_f b \cdot \left[1 + \frac{b_p \text{ prime}}{a_p \text{ prime}} \cdot \left(\frac{\delta \cdot \alpha_p}{1 + \delta \cdot \alpha_p}\right)\right] = 132 \text{ kN}$

Prying tenstion is $T_{fb} - P_{fb} = 13.4$ kN per bolt



DESIGN OF WELDED JOINTS

Effective weld lengths

For K and N gap connections and θ greater or equal to 60 degress, effective length = a+b+c = 2h/sin θ +b.

l_weld :=
$$2 \cdot \frac{h_1}{\sin\left(\theta_1 \cdot \frac{\pi}{180}\right)} + b_1 = 377 \text{ mm}$$

Weld length can also be calculated by

$$Ka := \left[\frac{\left(\frac{h_{-1}}{\sin\left(\theta_{-1} \cdot \frac{\pi}{180}\right)} + b_{-1} \right)}{(h_{-1} + b_{-1})} \right] = 1.077$$

 $1_weld2 := Ka \cdot [4 \cdot \pi \cdot t_1 + 2 \cdot (b_1 - 4 \cdot t_1) + 2 \cdot (h_1 - 4 \cdot t_1)] = 474 \text{ mm}$

Values from this method are conservative for small wall thicknesses as this equation uses perimeters for the thickest wall HSS for each size. Therefore, use length of weld of 377 mm.

Default method of sizing welds

Looking at Table 3-46, the 90 degree fillet size to develop wall strength for a wall thickness of 4.8 mm is 8 mm.

$$Tr_w := 0.9 \cdot A_w 1 \cdot \frac{Fy}{1000} = 643 \text{ kN}$$

 $\phi_w := 0.67$

Fu := 450 MPa

 $Vr_w \coloneqq 0.67 \cdot \varphi_w \cdot 8 \cdot \frac{Fu}{1000} \cdot 1_weld = 610 \text{kN}$

APPENDIX G: BEARING CALCULATIONS





Project:Capstone Design ProjectDone by:Omnirey LDate:25/02/2015Subject:Bridge BearingsChecked by:Amos KPage:1of3

CONTACT PRESSURE - 56 11.6.2.4 CYLINDRICAL SURFACES $F_{5} \leq 8 \begin{bmatrix} LD \\ 1-D \end{bmatrix} F_{7}^{2}$ $F_{7} = 460 MRa (ASSUMFLA)$ FOR MIDDLE PIER: $F_{5} = 200 GPa$ $F_{5} = 201 KN + 201 KN (3) (NOTES FEB. 17, 2015)$ LOAD DUE TO SERVICEARLITY PS = 352 KN $3520^{\circ} \le 8 \left[\frac{(200) B}{1 - P_1} \right] \left(\frac{400^2}{200 000} \right)$ ASSUME L= 200 mm DAMPAD 275, 5 D1 $TRY D_1 = 260 , D_2 = 300$ = -1-01 SPHERICAL SUKFACIES $P_s \leq 40 \left[\frac{P_i}{1 - \frac{D_i}{R}} \right] \frac{7}{E_s^2}$ MIPDLE PIER: PS = 352 KN $(352000)(20000)^{2} \leq \frac{D_{1}}{1-\frac{D_{1}}{D_{2}}}$ $714 p_1 = 200 X$ $b_2 = 300 X$ $2345 \leq \frac{P_1}{1-b_1}$ $D_1 = -506$ $D_2 = 600$ $P_{2} = 600$ $P_{2} = 600$ $P_{2} = 600$ $P_{2} = 600$ $P_{2} = 600$ $P_{2} = 600$ $P_{2} = 600$ $P_{2} = 600$ $P_{2} = 600$ $P_{2} = 1000$ $P_{2} = 1000$ 7 sin [55.9] 13= 55,41



Project:Capstone Design ProjectDone by:Omnirey LDate:25/02/2015Subject:Bridge BearingsChecked by:Amos KPage:2of3

ELASTOMERIC BEARING RE= TOTAL EFFECTIVE ELASTOMER THICKNESS PLAIN BEARINGS: SG 11.6.6.3 L= SMALLER DIMENSION OF BREARING L75he 10mm <he < 30mm 12 = RADIUS OF BEARING (ASSUME FLAT) k 7, 3hp LAMMATER BEARINGS: 56 11,6,63 LZ. She R 7/2he drypad" -> VSE PLAIN BEARINGS he < L he < 200 = 40mm (SLS) COMPRESSIVE DEFORMATION LIMIT: 0.07 he = 2.8mm 56.11664 ROTATION LIMIT: 0.14 he = 5.6 5.6 mm SHEAR DEFLECTION LIMIT: O.She = 20mm ste 20mm BEAKING PRESSURE - 11.667 201060N = 5.0 MPa = NEED 4.5 Thy 2002 201000 = 3.2 MR = = 250 x 250 = USE FOR BOTH PIERS



BEARING SPREADSHEET

WEST HER		
INPUT]
Factored rxn force (single truss)	322 kN	1
BEARING]
Required Bearing Area, s ²	0.0168 m ²	
Required Bearing length, s	130 mm	
Actual Bearing Length Increment	50 mm	
Actual Bearing Length	150 mm	
Actual Bearing Area	0.0225 m ²	
Allowable Bearing Load	430 kN	ОК
Note: Assumed square bearing surface		
MIDDI E PIER		
INPUT		I
Factored rxn force (single truss)	564 kN	l
Factored rxn force (single truss)	564 kN	
Factored rxn force (single truss) BEARING	564 kN]
Factored rxn force (single truss) BEARING Required Bearing Area, s ²	564 kN 0.0295 m ²	
Factored rxn force (single truss) BEARING Required Bearing Area, s ² Required Bearing length, s	564 kN 0.0295 m ² 172 mm]
Factored rxn force (single truss) BEARING Required Bearing Area, s ² Required Bearing length, s Actual Bearing Length Increment	564 kN 0.0295 m ² 172 mm 50 mm	
Factored rxn force (single truss) BEARING Required Bearing Area, s ² Required Bearing length, s Actual Bearing Length Increment Actual Bearing Length	564 kN 0.0295 m ² 172 mm 50 mm 200 mm]
Factored rxn force (single truss) BEARING Required Bearing Area, s ² Required Bearing length, s Actual Bearing Length Actual Bearing Length Actual Bearing Length Actual Bearing Area	564 kN 0.0295 m ² 172 mm 50 mm 200 mm 0.04 m ²	
BEARING BEARING Required Bearing Area, s ² Required Bearing length, s Actual Bearing Length Actual Bearing Length	564 kN 0.0295 m ² 172 mm 50 mm 200 mm 0.04 m ² 765 kN	 ОК

EAST PIER

INPUT	
Factored rxn force (single truss)	242 kN

BEARING		
Required Bearing Area, s ²	0.0127 m ²	
Required Bearing length, s	112 mm	
Actual Bearing Length Increment	50 mm	
Actual Bearing Length	150 mm	
Actual Bearing Area	0.0225 m ²	
Allowable Bearing Load	430 kN	O

Note: Assumed square bearing surface

APPENDIX H: PIER DESIGN CALCULATIONS





SAP ANALYSIS SPREADSHEET

Middle Pier	
W	277 kN
w	1.5 kN/m
Р	367 kN
P _c	2.10552E+15



Beam Shear

193 kNm
92 kN
375 kNm
145 kN
460 kN

Amplified by Slenderness Effects

1.00 δ



MIDDLE BEAM SPREADSHEET

INPUT				
Length	3.5 m	Beam Width	0.8 m	<- equal to column base
Depth	0.6 m	Self Weight	11.3 kN/m	
Mf	210 kNm			
REINFORCEMENT				1
Top Reinf				1
reinf size	25M	Ab	500 mm ²	
No. of Bars	5	db	25 mm	
cover	70 mm	As	2500 mm ²	
Spacing	150 mm	Center of steel	82.5 mm	(measured from top)
Bottom Reinf				
reinf size	25M	Ab	500 mm ²	
No. of Bars	5	db	25 mm	
cover	70 mm	As	2500 mm ²	
Spacing	150 mm	Center of steel	82.5 mm	(measured from bottom)
Note: Compression stee	= Tension Steel			

CHECKS	

clear distance b/w bars		125	>1.5db	1		
Reinforcement Limits (Cor	npression)					
As / Ag (Max)	0.0104	0.08	ОК	CSA 8.8.5.6		
(As*fy)/(Ag*f'c) (Min	0.139	0.135	OK			
Tr-Cr'-	000 ki					
	300 KI	N Mara	OK			
IVII -	592 KI	2	UK	_		
As =	5000 m	m ⁻				
Asmin =	1315 m	m²	OK	CSA A23.3 10.	5.1.2	
Crack Control				CSA A23.3 10.	6.1	
z=	14180 N,	/mm	OK			
Upper limit:	25000 N	/mm				
					root fc	5.4772256
Shear check						
Vf=	112 kM	V				
dv	466 m	m		CSA S6 8.9.1.5	i	
bw	800 m	m		CSA S6 8.9.1.6	5	
β	0.157			CSA A23.3 11.	3.6.3 / CS	A S6 8.9.3.6
Vrmax	1876 kľ	N				
Vc	240 kľ	V		CSA S6 8.9.3.4		
Vr =	240 ki	N	ОК			



Project:	Capstone Design Project	Done by:	Omnirey L	Date:	10/02/20		015
Subject:	Bridge Piers	Checked by:	Myles C	Page:	3	of	1 1

CHECKS CONT.							
Transverse Reinf	100	LN	SHEAR REINF		Stirrups	for compress	sion reinf
Requirement Check	122	KIN	NOT REQD	CSA S6 8.9.1.2	provide	d (CSA S6 8.1	4.3)
Av/s	Min transverse reinf	0.657	mm²/mm				
Total Area regd	2300.435	mm ²					
Thickness of Stirrup	11	mm					
Perp area of one							
stirrup	10120	mm ²					
spacing	300	mm		<- code minim	um; not r	equired for s	hear
Min Reinforcement (CSA S6 8.8.4.3	Check						
fcr	2.2	Mpa					
У	530	mm					
L	9.925E+09	mm ⁴					
N4	41.02	LNL		CCA CC 0 0 4 4			
IVICI factored Mor	41.03	KINM	OK	CSA 56 8.8.4.4			
lactored wich	49.23	KINITI	UK				
Max Reinforcement CSA S6 8.8.4.4	Check						
c=	300	mm					
d	518	mm					
c/d	0.6	< 0.5	NOT OK	*See section 4.	2.1 for a	ssumption us	ed
Development Length	n in Tension			Development L	ength in	Compression	1
CSA S6 8.15.2.3				CSA S6 8.15.3.1	Ĺ	• 000 100 100 100 100 100 100 100 100 10	
k1	1.3			ld min	440	mm	
k2	1			ld'	456	mm	
k3	1						
Mod factor	æ	CSA S6 8.	15.2.5	Mod factor	0.75	CSA S6 8.15.	3.2
ld	1068	mm		ld	440	mm	
Hook length	CSA S6 8.15.5.3						
ld'	456	mm					
400MPa	1						
	0.7						
	0.8						
ld	256	mm					

*Denotes automated calculation



AMPAD'

Project:	Capstone Design Project	Done by:	Omnirey L	Date:	10/	/02/2	015
Subject:	Bridge Piers	Checked by:	Myles C	Page:	4	of	1 1

SG 8.14.3 - STIRRUPS REQ'D FOR COMPRESSION REINFORCEMENT IN FLEX. COMPONENTS -> STIRRUPS (Dy 300mm (CLOSED) /2,5+15 8.14,3 TIES FOR COLUMNS -> USE 300mm MER CAP DEVELOPMENT LENGTH: \$6.8.15.2.3 0.18 K1 K2 K3 Fy db $k_1 = 1.3$ $k_2 = 1.0$ (8.15.2.9 K, = 1.0 NOBIFICATION FACTOR: As REQ'D My 322 8.15.2.5 As PROVIDED My 392 = 0.82 DON'IT IN COMPRESSION, 1/2= 0.1 (fy) db for 0.1 (400) (25mm) APPLY (8.15.2.5) 2.2 = 4504 mm OHECK MIN: MOD #ACTUR: 0,82 × 0.75 = 0,62 = 0.544 (400MPA)(15 To lb = 250 mm 440 mm at USE 350 mm



"DAMPAD"

Project:	Capstone Design Project	Done by:	Omnirey L	Date:	10/	02/2	015
Subject:	Bridge Piers	Checked by:	Myles C	Page:	5	of	1

MIN. BEND DIAMETER 150 mm - 25M (UNSIDE DIA) 30 m 200 mm BASIC DEV. CENGTLY: 40 D, 2005) = 450 mil for 2.2 x0.8 ×0.7 CSAD MM 27 300 mm COMMINATION DEV. LENGTH, = St 8,15,6, (TOO ACCOUNT FOR COMPRESSION REQ'INENTS AS WELL) LAP SPLICES -> MIN, 0,2 (SPLICE LENGTH) 150 mm SALLING (56 8. 15.9.1) (4) (FOR TIES 56, 8.14.13 1.5 × 466 5175-240 MAX AGG, STRF: 25mm 568.14.2.1



MIDDLE PIER COLUMN SPREADSHEET

INPUT						
Unsupported length	5 m					
Cover	70 mm					
b	800 mm					
h	800 mm					
A _g	640,000 mm²					
Reinforcement Size	30M					
d _b	30 mm					
A _b	700 mm²					
No. of Bars	8					
A _s	5600 mm²					
Assumed size of ties	10M					
d_{tie}	11 mm					
AXIAL LOAD RESISTANCE W/ NO ECCENTRICITY						
D	12 507 LN					

P _{r0}	13,507 kN
P _{rmax}	10,805 kN

DEPTH OF NEUTR	AL AXIS BASED ON BALANCED CONDITION
d	704 mm
с	448 mm

POINTS IN THE INTERACTION DIAGRAM

REINF. LAYER	NO. OF BARS	A _{si}	d _i
1	3	2100	96
2	2	1400	400
3	3	2100	704



Project:	Capstone Design Project	Done by:	Omnirey L	Date:	10/	02/2	015
Subject:	Bridge Piers	Checked by:	Myles C	Page:	7	of	1 1

STRAINS IN REINFORCEMENT LAYERS

c (mm)	700	500	448	300	75
ε _{s1}	0.0030	0.0028	0.0028	0.0024	-0.0010
ε _{s2}	0.0015	0.0007	0.0004	-0.0012	-0.0152
es3	0.0000	-0.0014	-0.0020	-0.0047	-0.0294
*Donotoo ui	alding				

*Denotes yielding

STRESSES IN REINFORCEMENT LAYERS

c (mm)	700	500	448	300	75
f _{s1}	400	400	400	400	-196
f _{s2}	300	140	75	-233	-400
f _{s3}	-4	-286	-400	-400	-400
***	T IN	-			

*Denotes yielding

FACTORED AXIAL RESISTANCE

c (mm)	700	500	448	300	75
C _r (kN)	9078	6484	5810	3891	973
F _{rs1}	756	756	756	756	-370
F _{rs2}	378	176	95	-294	-504
F _{rs3}	-8	-540	-756	-756	-756
P _r (kN)	10204	6877	5904	3597	-658

FACTORED MOMENT RESISTANCE

С	700	500	448	300	75
M _{rc} (kNm)	788	1143	1159	1034	356
M _{rs1}	230	230	230	230	-113
M _{rs2}	0	0	0	0	0
M _{rs3}	2	164	230	230	230
M _r (kNm)	1020	1537	1619	1494	474

Interaction Diagram				
P _r (kN)	M _r (kNm)			
13507	0			
10204	1020			
6877	1537			
5904	1619			
3597	1494			
-658	474			

LOAD CASE	P _r (kN)	M, (kNm)
1.25D+1.5S+0.4W	644	91
1.65W (Lateral only)	-	-
1.65W (Lateral only)	0	375



Project:	Capstone Design Project	Done by:	Omnirey L	Date:	10/	02/20	015
Subject:	Bridge Piers	Checked by:	Myles C	Page:	8	of	1
							1



ADDITIONAL CHECKS

kl/r

BUCKLING CHECK			
Р	322 kN		
e	0 mm		
l.	$3.413E+10 \text{ mm}^4$		
Le	10000 mm		
Pcr, Euler Buckling			
Resist	84,221 kN	ОК	
SHEAR CHECK			
Vf	145 kN		
dv	643.5 mm	CSA S6 8.9.1.5	
Max Shear Resist:	2895.75 kN	CSA S6 8.9.3.3	ОК
β	0.18	CSA S6 8.9.3.6	
Vc	381 kN	CSA S6 8.9.3.4	ОК
	2	e en en la companya de la companya d Esta de la companya de	
SLENDERNESS CHEC	к		
k	2		
r	230,94011		

43.30127 If less than 100, can ignor slenderness effect CSA A23.3 10.13.2 CSA S6 8.8.5.3



PILECAP SPREADSHEET

INPUT						
thickness	1.04 m	Beam Width	1.61	m		
depth	1.61 m	Pile Dev	0.05	m	CSA A2	23.3 15.2.3
Р	555 kN	(Compression)				
Mf	221 kNm	(Bending)				
0						_
REINFORCEMENT						
Note: Compression s	teel = Tension Stee	el				
Top Reinf						
reinf size	25M		Ab	500	mm ²	
No. of Bars	6		db	25	mm	
cover	100 mm		As	3000	mm ²	
Spacing	250 mm		Center of steel	112.5	mm	(measured from top)
Bottom Reinf						
reinf size	25M		Ab	500	mm ²	
No. of Bars	6		db	25	mm	
cover	100 mm		As	3000	mm ²	
Spacing	250 mm		Center of steel	112.5	mm	(measured from bottom)

CHECKS					
clear distance b/w bars	225	5 >1.5db		1	
T	1090 LN				
11=C1 =	1080 KN	-			
Mr=	1496 kNm	ОК			
As =	6000 mm ²				
Asmin =	3348.8 mm ²	ОК	CSA A23.3 10.5.1.2 (Slab)		
Crack Control					
z=	16710 N/mr	n	CSA A23.3 10.6.1		
Upper limit:	25000 N/mr	m <mark>OK</mark>			
Shear check					
Vf=	145 kN				
dv	1348 mm	CSA S6 8.9.1.5		root fc	5.4772256
bw	1610 mm	CSA S6 8.9.1.6			
β	0.098	CSA A23.3 11.3	3.6.3 / CSA S6 8.9.3.6		
Vrmax	10924 kN				
Vc	873 kN	CSA S6 8.9.3.4			
Vr =	873 kN	ОК			



Project:	Capstone Design Project	Done by:	Omnirey L	Date:	10/	02/2	015
Subject:	Bridge Piers	Checked by:	Myles C	Page:	1	of	1
					0		1

				Stimura fan armenasian
Transverse Reinf	713 k	N	SHEAR REINF	Stirrups for compression
Requirement Check			NOT REQD	CSA S6 8.9.1.2 reinf provided (CSA S6
Min transverse reinf	1.323 n	nm²/	mm	
Total Area reqd	1375.660 n	nm²		
Thickness of Stirrup	11 n	nm		
Perp area of one				
stirrup	31020 n	nm²		
spacing	300 n	nm		<- code minimum; not required for shea
Min Reinforcement (Check			
CSA S6 8.8.4.3				
fcr	2.2 M	Ира		
у	1510 n	nm		
I	4.619E+11 n	nm4		
Mcr	670.22 k	Nm		CSA S6 8.8.4.4
factored Mcr	804.27 k	Nm	OK	
Max Reinforcement	Check			
CSA S6 8.8.4.4				
c=	805	mm		
d	1498	mm		
c/d	0.5	< 0.5	NOTOK	*See section 4.2.1 for assumption used

*Denotes automated calculation



Project:Capstone Design ProjectDone by:Omnirey LDate:10/02/2015Subject:Bridge PiersChecked by:Myles CPage:1of1111111

Material Properties

Steel					
Parameter	Value	Reference			
Φs	0.9	CSA S6 8.4.6			
f _γ	400 Mpa	CSA S6 8.4.2.1.3			
Es	200,000 MPa	CSA S6 8.4.2.1.4			
ε _s	0.002	-			

Reinforcing Bars					
Nominal Bar	Diameter (mm)	Area (mm ²)	Perimeter (mm)	Mass per Length (kg/m)	
10M	11	100	36	0.8	
15M	16	200	50	1.6	
20M	20	300	61	2.4	
25M	25	500	79	4.0	
30M	30	700	94	5.5	
35M	36	1000	112	8.0	
45M	44	1500	137	12.0	
55M	56	2500	177	20.0	

Concrete					
Parameter	Value	Reference			
ρς	2400 kg/m3				
үс	23.5 kN/m3				
$\Phi_{\rm c}$	0.75	CSA S6 8.4.6			
f' _c	30 MPa	CSA S6 8.4.1.2			
α1	0.81	CSA A23.3 10.1.7			
β1	0.90	CSA A23.3 10.1.7			
Ec	25,000 MPa	(see notes, Jan 31)			
ε _{cmax}	0.0035	CSA A23.10.1.3			
λ	1	CSA A23.3 8.6.5			
fcr	2.2 MPa	CSA S6 8.4.1.8			

APPENDIX I: PILE DESIGN CALCULATIONS





Project:Capstone Design ProjectDone by:Omnirey LDate:21/01/2015Subject:Bridge PilesChecked by:Amos KPage:1010

FROM GEOTECH REPORT CFEM CLAUSES SHOWN $\phi_c = 0.4$ $\phi_T = 0.3$ CFEM CLAUSE 18.2.1 / ok PRELIM DESIGN OF PILE CASE B B=0.6 Nr= 50 (TABLE 18.2 - DRIVEN PILE MED SAND) (TABLE 18.1 - DRIVEN PILES MED SAND) MIN SPACING = 2.50 CASE A CASE B 1×1 6m 200 YR SCOLLE EL. ELSIS.7-EI 515.7 _ 6m OVER BURDEN 2.9 m COMPACT SANA 18m + GRAVEL EI 512,8 4.2m BEPROCK 914 818 INDIVIDUAL PILE STRENGTH (18.2.1) R= Z Cq AZ + Aq - WP SHAFT LO PILE PILE 12.7 TO E BEARING FOR CASE A PILE COMP RESIST IS ASSUMED TO BE BEARING CAPACITY 20m



Project: Capstone Design Project Done by: Date: 21/01/2015 Omnirey L Subject: Bridge Piles Checked by: Page: 2 of 1 Amos K 0

SHAFT FRICTION A BOVE ORITICAL DEPTH: | BELOW CRIT. DEPTH. $q_{s_1} = (5_N') (k_s \tan \delta)$ | $q_{s_2} = (5_N') (k_s \tan \delta)$ 951 = (B. P.) (Koltan 8) ; i 952 = (8. (. De-)) (Ks) (tan 8) $q_{s_1} = \left(\frac{9kN}{m^3} \cdot \frac{9.14m}{2}\right)(0.8)(0.4)$ $q_{s_2} = \frac{9kN}{m^3} \cdot (19.14)(0.8)(0.4)$ 952 = 26.3 KN/m2 951 = 13.1 KN/m2 PILE TOE BEARING CAP. que = N2 · 5' ; N2 = 50 , 5' = 9.9.14 = 82.2 KN/m2 9,= 50 · (82.2 KN/m2) 9/2= 4113 KN/m2 TOTAL PILE BEARING CAP. A = TT (914-0.888") (WITHOUT CONC. PLUG) C=TTD Az= 0.6561 m (WITH PLUG) 1305 EN -> WEIGHT OF STEEL PILE OSTEEL = 77 KN/m 3 Wp= VOA, · L = 77 KN/ 3 . 0.0368m2 . 24m Wp = 68 KN FOR FULL PILE-LENGTA W/O CONSIDERING RATE LEVEN + COWC. PLUG R= E Cas DZ + A qt - Wp $= 2.87m (13.1 \text{ kN}_{12} (9.14m) + 26.3 \text{ kN}_{12} (14.8m) + (0.0368)(413) - 68$ = 1461 KN + 151 KN -68 - 10 (0.75)(1461) + 151 KN -68 1F 0.6=Ks R= 1178 KM R= 1544 KN

AMPAD



Project:	Capstone Design Project	Done by:	Omnirey L	Date:	21/	01/2	015
Subject:	Bridge Piles	Checked by:	Amos K	Page:	3	of	1
							Ω





Project:	Capstone Design Project	Done by:	Omnirey L	Date:	21/01/2015		
Subject:	Bridge Piles	Checked by:	Amos K	Page:	4	of	1





Project:	Capstone Design Project	Done by:	Omnirey L	Date:	21/01/2015		
Subject:	Bridge Piles	Checked by:	Amos K	Page:	5	of	1
							U

EVANS & DUNCANS CHARTS $V_{c} = \lambda B^{2} E R, \left(\frac{O_{F}}{E h}\right)^{h} \left(E_{50}\right)^{h}$ EQN. 16.8 1= 1.00 (SAND) Op= 2 Gpg & B tan2 (45+ \$1/2) EQN 16.14 B= 914 mm [DIAMETER OF PILE] Ø= 330 [INTERNAL FRICTION ANGLE] GØ= Ø= 3.3 $\gamma = \frac{\gamma + \gamma_{b}}{2} = \frac{q + q.81 \text{ kN/m^{3}}}{2} = \frac{q.41 \text{ kN/m^{3}}}{2}$ OR X = X = 9 KN/m3 (UNSURE) & CONSERVATIVE => 0p = 2(3.3)(9 KN/m3) (0.914m) [tam²(45+33/2)] 5p = 184 KPa $R_1 = \frac{I}{TTB^4/64}$; $I = 3.734 \times 10^9 mm^4$ (JAN. 21 NOTES B = 914mm R1 = 3.734×109 mm 4 TT (9K4 mm)4/64 RI = 0.109 [MOMENT OF INELTTA LATIO] E= 280 GPa (STEEL) ESO=0.002 [AXIAL STRAIN AT WHICH SOY OF SOIL IS MOBILIZED] (TABLE 16.2) M= 0.57 } TABLE 16.3 n= -0.22 Ve= (1.0) (0.914m) (200 000 000 kR) (0.109) [184 KR VC = 91 650 EN ECHARACTERISTIR SHEAR LOAD
16.5 EVANS AND DUNCAN'S CHARTS

Evans and Duncan (1982) developed a convenient method of expressing the lateral loaddeflection behavior in chart form. They compiled these charts from a series of p-y method computer analyses using the computer program COM624.



Figure 16.16 90 percent confidence interval for computed lateral deflection and bending moment predictions from p-y analysis (based on data from Reese and Wang, 1986). The line in the middle of each bar represents the average prediction, and the number to the right is the number of data points.

Characteristic Load and Moment

Evans and Duncan defined the *characteristic shear load*, V_c , and *characteristic moment load*, M_c , as follows:

$V_c = \lambda B^2 ER_I \left(\frac{\sigma_p}{ER_I}\right)^m (\epsilon_{50})^n$	(16.8)
$M_c = \lambda B^3 E R_I \left(\frac{\sigma_p}{E R_I}\right)^m (\epsilon_{50})^n$	(16.9)

$$R_I = \frac{I}{\pi B^4/64}$$
(16.10)

= 1.00 for solid circular cross sections

= 1.70 for solid square cross sections

For plastic clay and sand:		(14, 11)
	$\lambda = 1.00$	(16.11)

For brittle clay¹: (16.12)

 $\lambda = (0.14)^n$

For clay:

(16.13) $\sigma_n = 4.2 s_u$

For sand:

$$\sigma_p = 2 C_{p\phi} \gamma B \tan^2 (45 + \phi'/2)$$
(16.14)

Where:

 V_c = characteristic shear load

 M_c = characteristic moment load

 λ = a dimensionless parameter dependent on the soil's stress-strain behavior

B = diameter of foundation

E = modulus of elasticity of foundation

= 29,000,000 lb/in² (200,000 MPa) for steel

= 57,000 $\sqrt{f_c'}$ lb/in² (4700 $\sqrt{f_c'}$ MPa) for concrete

= 1,600,000 lb/in² (11,000 MPa) for Southern pine or Douglas fir

 f_c' = 28-day compressive strength of concrete (lb/in², MPa)

 R_l = moment of inertia ratio (dimensionless)

- σ_p = representative passive pressure of soil
- ϵ_{50} = axial strain at which 50 percent of the soil strength is mobilized (see Table 16.2)

m, n = exponents from Table 16.3

I = moment of inertia of foundation

= $\pi B^4/64$ for solid circular cross sections

= $B^4/12$ for square cross sections

Also see tabulated values in Chapter 12

 s_u = undrained shear strength of soil from the ground surface to a depth of 8 pile diameters

 ϕ' = effective friction angle of soil (deg) from ground surface to a depth of 8 pile diameters

- $C_{p\phi}$ = passive pressure factor = $\phi'/10$
 - γ = unit weight of soil from ground surface to a depth of 8 pile diameters. If the groundwater table is in this zone, use a weighted average of γ and $\gamma_b,$ where $\gamma_b = \gamma - \gamma_w$ (the buoyant unit weight in the zone below the groundwater table.

602

¹A brittle clay is one with a residual strength that is much less than the peak strength.



16.5 Evans and Duncan's Charts







609



APPENDIX J: RIPRAP DESIGN CALCULATIONS





Project:	Capstone Design Project	Done by:	Omnirey L	Date:	26/03/201		015
Subject:	Riprap Design	Checked by:	Amos K	Page:	1	of	1
	Spreadsheet						

RIPRAP SPREADSHEET

Average channel velocity, v _{avg}	4.2 m/s
Local flow velocity, v _{ss}	3.36 m/s
Gravity, g	9.81 m/s ²
PARAMETER VALUES	
Safety factor, S _f	1.2
Stability coefficient, C _s	0.3
Velocity distrib. coefficient, C_v	1
Thickness coefficient, C _t	1
Side-slope factor, K ₁	0.9 * For 2H/1V
Rock relative density, s	2.65

OUTPUT

Local flow depth, y (m)	D ₃₀ (mm)
0.5	311

REVETMENT

D ₃₀	311 mm
Assumed D ₅₀	389 mm
Rounded D ₅₀	400 mm

Calculated thickness

700 mm

	Depth of scour below stream bed (m)	Volume of launching trench (m³/m)
West Pier	3.5	8.2
Middle Pier	3.5	8.2
East Pier	3.5	8.2

APPENDIX K: CONSTRUCTION LIFTING

CALCULATIONS





Project:	Capstone Design Project	Done by:	Myles C	Date:	23/02/20		015
Subject:	Construction Lifting	Checked by:	Amos K	Page:	1	of	12

Maximum size dans for access 150 Ton.
Truss weights (using SAP): 8 panel = 4 · 31 kN = 124 kN 6 panel = 4 · 22 kN = 88 kN
from sportors recommendation factor by 1.5
8 panel = 185.5 kN = 18 900 kg = 41 600 lb 6 panel = 141 kN = 14 400 kg = 31 600 lb
half of long span = 20m = 65.6'
LS-238H (Link Belt): 80° boom 70° radious max lift = 36500 16 (over toe) 28700 16 (360°)
Need to reduce truss weight or lessen radious.
· trass weight cannot be reduced inough without removing too many members.
· upor reviewing the drawing radious can be reduced to 60'
Need to look @ 360° max lift since crane must reposition load.
70' boom 60' radious max 360° Lift = 35 300 16
Review other crancs.
$\frac{H(238 H)}{CKS 1350} = \frac{70^{\circ} G}{21.3 m} = \frac{26}{600} \frac{600}{kg} = \frac{1000}{kg} \frac{1000}{kg} \frac{1000}{kg}$
Truss can be lifted @ 60°.
Further calculations based off Kobeleo CKS1350 crane. (see attached)



Project:	Capstone Design Project	Done by:	Myles C	Date:	23/	02/2	015
Subject:	Construction Lifting	Checked by:	Amos K	Page:	2	of	12





Project:	Capstone Design Project	Done by:	Myles C	Date:	23/	02/20	015
Subject:	Construction Lifting	Checked by:	Amos K	Page:	3	of	12





Project:	Capstone Design Project	Done by:	Myles C	Date:	23/	02/20	015
Subject:	Construction Lifting	Checked by:	Amos K	Page:	4	of	12





Project:Capstone Design ProjectDone by:Myles CDate:23/02/2015Subject:Construction LiftingChecked by:Amos KPage:5of12

check lifting lug including 3rd dimension. $T = 59 \text{ kN} \left(\frac{12.7 \text{ m}}{12.5 \text{ m}}\right) = 60 \text{ kN}$ 12.50 59 KN 2.1m h = J2.12 + 12.52 = 12.7m 1 KN change is tension is a 1.7" change which is insignificant to the lifting lag.



Project:	Capstone Design Project	Done by:	Myles C	Date:	23/	02/2	015
Subject:	Construction Lifting	Checked by:	Amos K	Page:	6	of	12





Project:Capstone Design ProjectDone by:Myles CDate:23/02/2015Subject:Construction LiftingChecked by:Amos KPage:7 of12

ground composed of Aluvial sand and gravel (geotechnical report test pits) assume that material excavated for rip-rap and pin installation composed of some material from Reinforced Concrete Design Table 14.1 Sandy gravel or gravel Sand, rilty sand, clayey sand, silty gravel, clayey gravel 150 kPa 100 kPa Empty crane ground pressure = 106 kPa lifting weight = 186 KN (factored, 1.5) track area = 910 mm * 7895mm = 7184450 mm² / track = 14.37 × 106 mm2 = 14.37 m2 increase ground capacity when loaded. 186×103 N/14.37 × 10 mm2 = 13 KPa factor by 1.5 to account for uneven pressure = 20 kPa ensure poil can result 136 KPZ



Project:	Capstone Design Project	Done by:	Myles C	Date:	23/	02/2	015
Subject:	Construction Lifting	Checked by:	Amos K	Page:	8	of	12





Project:Capstone Design ProjectDone by:Myles CDate:23/02/2015Subject:Construction LiftingChecked by:Amos KPage:9of12

Bearing pressure from configuration shown 136 kPa × 14.37 m2 = 1954 KN Br= 1954LN = 70 kPa < Br= 100 kPa × z(2×4572)(1524)mm² auca per track = (2×4572mm)(1524mm) = 13.9 m² Crane mats shall provide 14m2 of area per track.

LIFTING CAPACITIES

(Cran	e Bo	om	Lifti	ing (apa	citi	es				Cou Carbo	nterweig ody Weig	ht: 55.0 t ht: 10.8 t
													Unit	metric ton
Boom length Working (m)	15.2	18.3	21.3	24.4	27.4	30.5	33.5	36.6	39.6	42.7	45.7	48.8	51.8	Boom length (m) Working radius (m)
4.5	4.5m/135.0	1												4.5
5.0	131.1	5.1m/128.4	5.6m/117.2			-								5.0
6.0	110.4	110.1	109.6	6.1m/107.8	6.7m/95.1									6.0
7.0	95.1	94.8	93.3	91.1	89.3	7.2m/84.2	7.7m/75.3							7.0
8.0	79.5	79.9	79.1	77.4	75.9	74.6	72.4	8.2m/67.8	8.8m/61.7					8.0
9.0	67.7	68.8	68.5	67.2	66.0	64.9	62.5	61.5	60.0	9.3m/56.3	9.8m/51.8			9.0
10.0	58.4	59.0	59.0	58.8	58.3	57.4	56.5	55.0	53.6	52.2	50.9	10.4m/47.8	10.9m/44.2	10.0
12.0	44.3	45.7	45.6	45.4	45.2	45.2	45.1	44.9	44.1	43.0	42.0	41.0	40.0	12.0
14.0	33.5	37.1	37.0	36.8	36.6	36.5	36.5	36.3	36.2	36.1	35.6	34.7	33.9	14.0
16.0	14.8m/29.3	30.0	31.0	30.8	30.6	30.5	30.4	30.2	30.1	30.0	29.9	29.8	29.3	16.0
18.0		17.5m/24.8	26.6	26.4	26.2	26.1	26.0	25.8	25.7	25.6	25.4	25.3	25.2	18.0
20.0		-	21.7	23.0	22.8	22.7	22.6	22.4	22.3	22.2	22.0	21.9	21.7	20.0
22.0			20.1m/21.3	19.9	20.1	20.0	19.9	19.7	19.6	19.5	19.3	19.2	19.0	22.0
24.0			-	22.8m/18.5	18.0	17.9	17.7	17.5	17.4	17.3	17.1	17.0	16.8	24.0
26.0					25.4m/16.0	16.1	16.0	15.7	15.6	15.5	15.3	15.2	15.0	26.0
28.0						14.2	14.5	14.2	14.1	13.9	13.8	13.6	13.5	28.0
30.0						28.1m/14.1	13.2	12.9	12.8	12.7	12.5	12.3	12.2	30.0
32.0							30.7m/12.5	11.8	11.7	11.5	11.4	11.2	11.1	32.0
34.0								33.3m/10.9	10.8	10.6	10.4	10.3	10.1	34.0
36.0									9.7	9.8	9.6	9.4	9.2	36.0
38.0			1							8.9	8.8	8.7	8.5	38.0
40.0	1	1								38.6m/8.6	8.1	8.0	7.8	40.0
42.0											41.2m/7.5	7.4	7.2	42.0
44.0												43.9m/6.5	6.7	44.0
46.0													5.9	46.0
48.0													46.5m/5.7	48.0
Reeves	10	10	9	8	8	7	6	6	5	5	4	4	4	Reeves

Boom length Working (m) radius (m)	54.9	57.9	61.0	64.0	67.1	70.1	73.2	76.2	Boom length (m) Working radius (m)
10.0	11.4m/40.1	11.9m/38.4							10.0
12.0	39.1	38.2	12.5m/35.8	13.0m/33.4	13.5m/26.7				12.0
14.0	33.2	32.5	31.7	30.9	26.7	14.1m/26.7	14.6m/24.4	15.1m/20.4	14.0
16.0	28.7	28.1	27.4	26.7	26.3	25.7	22.7	19.4	16.0
18.0	25.1	24.6	24.0	23.4	23.0	22.5	20.6	17.5	18.0
20.0	21.6	21.5	21.2	20.7	20.4	19.9	18.8	15.8	20.0
22.0	18.9	18.8	18.6	18.4	18.1	17.7	17.1	14.3	22.0
24.0	16.7	16.6	16.4	16.2	16.2	15.8	15.4	13.0	24.0
26.0	14.9	14.7	14.6	14.4	14.4	14.2	13.8	11.8	26.0
28.0	13.4	13.2	13.1	12.9	12.8	12.7	12.4	10.7	28.0
30.0	12.1	11.9	11.7	11.6	11.5	11.4	11.2	9.7	30.0
32.0	10.9	10.8	10.6	10.4	10.4	10.2	10.0	8.8	32.0
34.0	10.0	9.8	9.6	9.4	9.4	9.2	9.1	8.0	34.0
36.0	9.1	8.9	8.8	8.6	8.5	8.4	8.2	7.2	36.0
38.0	8.4	8.2	8.0	7.8	7.8	7.6	7.4	6.5	38.0
40.0	7.7	7.5	7.3	7.1	7.1	6.9	6.7	5.8	40.0
42.0	7.1	6.9	6.7	6.5	6.5	6.3	6.1	5.2	42.0
44.0	6.5	6.4	6.2	6.0	5.9	5.7	5.5	4.6	44.0
46.0	6.0	5.9	5.7	5.4	5.3	5.2	4.9	4.0	46.0
48.0	5.3	5.4	5.2	4.9	4.9	4.7	4.4	3.5	48.0
50.0	49.2m/4.8	4.7	4.7	4.5	4.4	4.2	4.0	2.9	50.0
52.0		51.8m/4.1	4.2	4.1	4.0	3.8	3.6	2.4	52.0
54.0			3.6	3.6	3.5	3.4	3.2		54.0
56.0			54.4m/3.5	3.0	3.1	3.0	2.8		56.0
58.0				57.1m/2.8	2.6	2.5	2.4		58.0
60.0					59.7m/2.2	2.1			60.0
Doovos	2	2	3	3	2	2	2	2	Reeves

 Reeves
 3
 3
 3
 2
 2
 2
 2

 Note: Ratings according to EN13000.

 Ratings shown in ______ are determined by the strength of the boom or other structural components.

 Lifting capacities may vary depending on hook used or with/without auxiliary sheave.

 Please refer rated chart in operator's cabin.

WORKING RANGES

Crane Boom



11

CROSBY FORGED SHACKLES

Screw Pin Anchor Shackles



G-209 S-209

Screw pin anchor shackles meet the performance requirements of Federal Specification RR-C-271D Type IVA, Grade A, Class 2, except for those provisions required of the contractor.

- Shackles are Quenched and Tempered and can meet DNV impact requirements of 42 joules at -20C.
- · Working Load Limit permanently shown on every shackle.
- · Forged Quenched and Tempered, with alloy pin.
- Capacities 1/3 thru 55 metric tons.
- Look for the Red Pin". . . the mark of genuine Crosby quality.
- Shackles can be furnished proof tested with certificates to designated standards, such as ABS, DNV, Lloyds, or other certification. Charged for proof testing and certification available when requested at the time of order.
- Hot Dip galvanized or Self-Colored.
- Fatigue Rated.

Nominal	Working Load	Stoc	k No.	Weight					Dim	nensions (i	in.)				
(in.)	(t)*	G-209	S-209	(lbs.)	A	В	C	D	E	F	G	н	L	М	Р
3/16	1/3	1018357	_	.06	.38	.25	.88	.19	.60	.56	.98	1.47	.16	1.12	.19
1/4	1/2	1018375	1018384	.10	.47	.31	1.13	.25	.78	.61	1.28	1.84	.19	1.38	.25
5/16	3/4	1018393	1018400	.19	.53	.38	1.22	.31	.84	.75	1.47	2.09	.22	1.66	.31
3/8	1	1018419	1018428	.31	.66	.44	1.44	.38	1.03	.91	1.78	2.49	.25	2.03	.38
7/16	1-1/2	1018437	1018446	.38	.75	.50	1.69	.44	1.16	1.06	2.03	2.91	.31	2.38	.44
1/2	2	1018455	1018464	.72	.81	.63	1.88	.50	1.31	1.19	2.31	3.28	.38	2.69	.50
5/8	3-1/4	1018473	1018482	1.37	1.06	.75	2.38	.63	1.69	1.50	2.94	4.19	.44	3.34	.69
3/4	4-3/4	1018491	1018507	2.35	1.25	.88	2.81	.75	2.00	1.81	3.50	4.97	.50	3.97	.81
7/8	6-1/2	1018516	1018525	3.62	1.44	1.00	3.31	.88	2.28	2.09	4.03	5.83	.50	4.50	.97
1	8-1/2	1018534	1018543	5.03	1.69	1.13	3.75	1.00	2.69	2.38	4.69	6.56	.56	5.07	1.06
1-1/8	9-1/2	1018552	1018561	7.41	1.81	1.25	4.25	1.16	2.91	2.69	5.16	7.47	.63	5.59	1.25
1-1/4	12	1018570	1018589	9.50	2.03	1.38	4.69	1.29	3.25	3.00	5.75	8.25	.69	6.16	1.38
1-3/8	13-1/2	1018598	1018605	13.53	2.25	1.50	5.25	1.42	3.63	3.31	6.38	9.16	.75	6.84	1.50
1-1/2	17	1018614	1018623	17.20	2.38	1.63	5.75	1.54	3.88	3.63	6.88	10.00	.81	7.35	1.62
1-3/4	25	1018632	1018641	27.78	2.88	2.00	7.00	1.84	5.00	4.19	8.86	12.34	1.00	9.08	2.25
2	35	1018650	1018669	45.00	3.25	2.25	7.75	2.08	5.75	4.81	9.97	13.68	1.22	10.34	2.40
2-1/2	55	1018678	1018687	85.75	4.13	2.75	10.50	2.71	7.25	5.69	12.87	17.84	1.38	13.00	3.13

NOTE: Maximum Proof Load is 2.0 times the Working Load Limit. Minimum Ultimate Strength is 6 times the Working Load Limit. For Working Load Limit reduction due to side loading applications, see page 75.





APPENDIX L: DESIGN DRAWING PACKAGE







	NOT	FOR	00
APPR'D		(.**

REV. NO.	REVISIONS	DATE	DRAWN	APPR'D	(
1	ISSUED FOR CLIENT REVIEW	MAR 16, 2015	OL	мс	
					PROJECT
					CIVL 7090 - CAPS









PROFILE

NOTES:

1. BOTTOM OF TRUSS STRUCTURE AND FULL GRATING EXTENT NOT SHOWN FOR CLARITY.

					NOT FOR CONSTRUCTION	PAPER SIZE: 11" x 17"		
REV. NO.	REVISIONS	DATE	DRAWN	APPR'D		DATE MAR. 16, 2015	NAME	DWG. No.
1	ISSUED FOR CLIENT REVIEW	MAR 16, 2015	OL	мс	MOLA	SCALE 1:500	СНЕСК	D02
					Inženjer	DWG. TITLE		-
					PROJECT	SITE GENERAI	_ ARRANGEMENT	
					CIVL 7090 - CAPSTONE DESIGN PROJECT			REV. 1





IOT FOR CONSTRUCTION	PAPER SIZE: 11" x 17"		
***	DATE MAR. 16, 2015	NAME OL	DWG. No.
	SCALE AS SHOWN	CHECK	D04
ROJECT	DWG. TITLE SUPERSTRUCTURE S	ECTIONS AND DETAILS	
CIVL 7090 - CAPSTONE DESIGN PROJECT			REV. 1



						NOT FOR CONSTRUCTION	PAPER SIZE: 11" x 17"	
	REV. NO.	REVISIONS	DATE	DRAWN	APPR'D	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	DATE NAME MAR. 16, 2015 OL	DWG. No.
NOTES:	1	ISSUED FOR CLIENT REVIEW	MAR 16, 201	OL	мс	MOLA	SCALE CHECK	D05
1. CONCRETE SHALL HAVE A COMPRESSIVE STRENGTH AT 28 DAYS.						Inženjer	DWG.TITLE	-
2. REINFORCEMENT SHALL HAVE A YIELD STRENGTH OF 400 MPa. 3. MIDDLE PIER GROUND PROFILE SHOWN.						PROJECT	SUBSTRUCTURE ELEVATION AND DETAILS	
						CIVL 7090 - CAPSTONE DESIGN PROJECT	SHEET 1	REV. 1

	PIERS	
PIER	COLUMN HEIGHT (m)	EXCAVATION ELEVATION (m)
WEST PIER	3.3	519.2
MIDDLE PIER	3.8	518.7
EAST PIER	2.3	520.2







					NOT FOR CONSTRUCTION	PAPER SIZE: 11" x 17"		
REV. NO.	REVISIONS	DATE	DRAWN	APPR'D		DATE MAR. 16, 2015	NAME	DWG. No.
1	ISSUED FOR CLIENT REVIEW	MAR 16, 2015	OL	мс	MOLA	SCALE AS SHOWN	CHECK	D06
					InZenjer	DWG. TITLE	MO	
					PROJECT	SUBSTRUCTURE EL	EVATION AND DETAILS	
					CIVL 7090 - CAPSTONE DESIGN PROJECT	SH	EET 2	REV. 1

NOTES:

1. CONCRETE SHALL HAVE A COMPRESSIVE STRENGTH AT 28 DAYS.

2. REINFORCEMENT SHALL HAVE A YIELD STRENGTH OF 400 MPa.



NOT	FOR	CO

(APPR'D	DRAWN	DATE	REVISIONS	REV. NO.
	мс	OL	MAR 16, 2015	ISSUED FOR CLIENT REVIEW	1
1					
т					
L 7090 - CAF					

NOTES:

1. BRIDGE SUPERSTRUCTURE AND PIPELINE NOT SHOWN ON PROFILE FOR CLARITY.



APPENDIX M: CONSTRUCTION DRAWING PACKAGE



EXCAVATION WORK POINTS					
WORK POINTS	DESCRIPTION	NORTHING (m)	EASTING (m)	EXCAVATION ELEVATION (m)	
WP1	BOTTOM OF EXCAVATION FOR FOUNDATION	4.1	39.5	519.2	
WP2	BOTTOM OF EXCAVATION FOR FOUNDATION	4.1	43.4	519.2	
WP3	BOTTOM OF EXCAVATION FOR FOUNDATION	-4.1	39.5	519.2	
WP4	BOTTOM OF EXCAVATION FOR FOUNDATION	-4.1	43.4	519.2	
WP5	BOTTOM OF EXCAVATION FOR RIPRAP	4.4	45.9	519.2	
WP6	BOTTOM OF EXCAVATION FOR RIPRAP	9.1	56.8	519.2	
WP7	BOTTOM OF EXCAVATION FOR RIPRAP	0.4	53.1	519.2	
WP8	BOTTOM OF EXCAVATION FOR RIPRAP	-3.0	49.0	519.2	
WP9	BOTTOM OF EXCAVATION FOR RIPRAP	-9.6	47.9	519.2	
WP10	BOTTOM OF EXCAVATION FOR RIPRAP	-8.3	44.6	519.2	
WP11	BOTTOM OF EXCAVATION FOR FOUNDATION	4.1	79.4	518.7	
WP12	BOTTOM OF EXCAVATION FOR FOUNDATION	4.1	83.3	518.7	
WP13	BOTTOM OF EXCAVATION FOR FOUNDATION	-4.1	79.4	518.7	
WP14	BOTTOM OF EXCAVATION FOR FOUNDATION	-4.1	83.3	518.7	
WP15	BOTTOM OF EXCAVATION FOR RIPRAP	6.6	80.5	519.2	
WP16	BOTTOM OF EXCAVATION FOR CRANE PAD	-5.1	72.3	519.2	
WP17	BOTTOM OF EXCAVATION FOR CRANE PAD	-13.2	71.8	519.2	
WP18	BOTTOM OF EXCAVATION FOR CRANE PAD	-13.2	82.8	519.2	
WP19	BOTTOM OF EXCAVATION FOR RIPRAP	-12.5	98.2	519.2	
WP20	BOTTOM OF EXCAVATION FOR RIPRAP	-18.7	107.8	519.2	
WP21	BOTTOM OF EXCAVATION FOR RIPRAP	-11.0	110.7	519.2	
WP22	BOTTOM OF EXCAVATION FOR RIPRAP	-6.6	103.9	519.2	
WP23	BOTTOM OF EXCAVATION FOR RIPRAP	7.7	103.9	519.2	
WP24	BOTTOM OF EXCAVATION FOR RIPRAP	7.7	95.8	519.2	
WP25	BOTTOM OF EXCAVATION FOR RIPRAP	2.9	89.5	519.2	
WP26	BOTTOM OF EXCAVATION FOR FOUNDATION	4.1	109.3	520.2	
WP27	BOTTOM OF EXCAVATION FOR FOUNDATION	4.1	113.2	520.2	
WP28	BOTTOM OF EXCAVATION FOR FOUNDATION	-4.1	109.3	520.2	
WP29	BOTTOM OF EXCAVATION FOR FOUNDATION	-4.1	113.2	520.2	

STOCKPILE WORK POINTS

WORK POINTS	NORTHING (m)	EASTING (m)	
WP30	32.7	8.5	
WP31	9.7	8.5	
WP32	9.7	42.4	
WP33	32.7	42.4	

	SILT FENCE WORK POINTS					
WORK POINTS	DESCRIPTION	NORTHING (m)	EASTING (m)			
WP34	STOCKPILE SILT FENCE	33.7	7.5			
WP35	STOCKPILE SILT FENCE	33.7	43.4			
WP36	STOCKPILE SILT FENCE	8.7	43.4			
WP37	WEST EXCAVATION SILT FENCE	-12.3	48.2			
WP38	WEST EXCAVATION SILT FENCE	10.9	58.2			
WP39	EAST EXCAVATION SILT FENCE	-11.7	68.5			
WP40	EAST EXCAVATION SILT FENCE	0.3	74.7			
WP41	EAST EXCAVATION SILT FENCE	10.1	82.8			

	PILES					
WORK POINTS DESCRIPTION NO		NORTHING (m)	EASTING (m)			
WP42	NORTH PILE OF WEST PIER	2.1	41.5			
WP43	SOUTH PILE OF WEST PIER	-2.1	41.5			
WP44	NORTH PILE OF MIDDLE PIER	2.1	81.4			
WP45	SOUTH PILE OF MIDDLE PIER	-2.1	81.4			
WP46	NORTH PILE OF EAST PIER	2.1	111.2			
WP47	SOUTH PILE OF EAST PIER	-2.1	111.2			

NOTES: 1. see dwg. co2 for notes.



SILT FENCE WORK POINTS			
WORK POINTS	DESCRIPTION	NORTHING (m)	EASTING (m)
WP48	TRUSS ASSEMBLY SILT FENCE	-21.9	62.7
WP49	STOCKPILE SILT FENCE	-36.0	61.0



NOTES:

- 1. ALL SLOPE ARROWS ARE POINTING DOWNHILL.
- 2. ALL EXCAVATION AND STOCKPILE SLOPES ARE 2H:1V.
- 3. STOCKPILE AND TRUSS ASSEMBLY AREAS LIE OUTSIDE GIVEN TOPOGRAPHY.
- 4. PILES CAN BE INSTALLED WITH A 300mm DEVIATION FROM THE INDICATED COORDINATES.
- 5. PILES SHALL BE SOCKETED 4.2m INTO BEDROCK, OR WILL BE DRIVEN SUCH THAT THE PILE WILL HAVE A MINIMUM EMBEDMENT OF 18m BELOW ELEVATION 515.7m.
- 6. CRANE MATS SHALL BE INSTALLED ON CRANE LIFTING LOCATIONS WITH A MINIMUM SIZE OF 4572mm x 1524mm PER TRACK.
- 7. HAY BALES OR OTHER EQUIVALENT FLOW-CONTROL MEASURES SHALL BE USED IF CHANNELIZATION OCCORS ON CONSTRUCTION WORK AREA.
- 8. THE CONTRACTOR SHALL NOT ALLOW SEDIMENTATION TO ACCUMULATE MORE THAN 40% OF SILT FENCE HEIGHT.

REV. NO.	REVISIONS	DATE	DRAWN	APPR'D	(**
1	ISSUED FOR CLIENT REVIEW	MAR 16, 2015	OL	мс	MO
					In
					PROJECT
					CIVL 7090 - CAPSTON