CREATING ARUBRIC

This job aid describes rubrics and their usefulness in assessing student performance that is difficult to assess in traditional ways.

This job aid will help you to:

- create a well-designed rubric
- ensure objectivity and fairness in the rubric



What is a rubric?

Rubrics are descriptive scoring grids used for assessing and scoring student performance, as shown in the example below. The left column lists the different skills, tasks, or characteristics to be assessed, and the top row provides scores for the extent to which each performance is achieved. The "inside" of a rubric lists specific, descriptive criteria for each performance level of the skill, task, or characteristic.

Rubric example 1: Written report assessment

	COMM	0001 – Writing	Reports				
	student will write tegy.	a report on his/h	ner evaluation of a	a marketing			
Criteria for suc	cess: Each state	ement must be at	a level of Accept	<i>tabl</i> e or better.			
Instructor:		Date:					
Student name:		Student ID:					
Performance area							
Spelling mistakes in the report3 or more1 to 20							
Grammar mistakes in the report	4 or more	4 or more 2 to 3 0 or 1					
Punctuation mistakes in the report4 or more2 to 30 or 1							
Instruction: Put a check mark in the appropriate box for each row.							
Excellent = Performance is above the expectations stated in the outcomes.							
Acceptable = Performance meets the expectations stated in the outcomes.							
Poor = Performance does not meet the expectations stated in the outcomes.							

Why use a rubric?

Well-designed rubrics can:

- assess skills that are difficult to assess in "traditional" ways
- express what counts and what is valued in a rich descriptive form
- make the criteria for assessment transparent to learners
- clarify how quality is defined
- improve student performance by clarifying expectations, the assessment process, and how one can improve
- support learner self-reflection practices
- reduce the time you spend evaluating student performance
- promote high expectations
- · increase reliability and validity in assessments
- · provide more clarity and objectivity than other tools, such as rating scales

Characteristics of rubrics

A well-designed rubric has the characteristics described below.

Learning outcomes supported

Rubrics should support learning outcomes, and they should have criteria for success based on expected outcomes.

Clearly defined performance to be assessed

The left column should have clearly-defined, detailed, agreed-upon skill, task, or characteristic statements that are organized in a logical or intuitive way. This gives more reliable results.

Clearly defined scoring

Rubrics should include clear wording with numbers in the top row for scoring. As an example:

1 = poor through to 5 = excellent is better than 1 = lowest through to 5 = highest, or simply 1 through 5

The range of numbers in the top row for scoring should apply to all rows within a section (such as all ranging from *1* to *5*). The range of numbers should always increase or always decrease. For example, if the last number is the highest in one section, the last number should be the highest in the other sections.

Clear descriptive criteria for each performance level

Rubrics should have specific, clearly-distinguishable descriptive criteria for each "box" in the grid. Objective and measurable descriptions are best. For example, *Labour estimates are within 10% of the actual amount* is better than *Labour estimates are quite accurate*.

Each box should preferably have only one factor to assess. If you have two factors within a box, you cannot say that the performance level was achieved if one criterion was met but not the other. This will make scoring more subjective. So, to increase objectivity, if the skill is *creating a good first impression in an interview*, it is better to keep *eye contact* and *handshake characteristics* in separate rows.

Short enough to be practical

Rubrics should highlight only the critical tasks or skills, which will help keep them short enough to be practical.

Space for other information

Rubrics should include space for other information such as the student's name, date, course, examiner, overall result and, optionally, comments.

Improve over time

As you use the rubric and analyze your students' performances, decide whether the rubric needs revising. Give it to other instructors, initially and after a revision, to review for clarity and completeness.

Other considerations for rubrics

When assigning numbers to each column for marks, consider the following:

- What should the first number be? If 0, does the student deserve 0 percent? If 1, does the student deserve 20% (assuming 5 is the top mark), even if he/she has done nothing?
- What should the second number be? If 2 (assuming 5 is the top mark), does the person really deserve a failing mark (40%)? This would mean that the first two columns represent different degrees of failure.
- Consider variations in the value of each column. Assuming 5 is the top mark, the columns could be valued at 0, 2.5, 3, 4, and 5.
- Consider the weighting for each row. For example, for rating a student's team skills, should *attendance* and *fair share of work* be proportionally rated the same? In many instances, some skills or components are much more important than others. A multiplier or weight can be put in another column for calculating a total mark in the last column.

Consider having students create the rubric. This can get them to think deeply about the content.

More examples of rubrics

Performance	Poor	Acceptable	Good	Excellent
area	1	2	3	4
Reliability	0 or 1 of the following:	2 of the following:	3 of the following:	All of the following:
	- Consistently	- Consistently	- Consistently	- Consistently
	on time	on time	on time	on time
	 Consistently	- Consistently	 Consistently	- Consistently
	on task	on task	on task	on task
	- Follows all	- Follows all	- Follows all	- Follows all
	directions	directions	directions	directions
	- Completes	- Completes	- Completes	- Completes
	every task	every task	every task	every task
Excellent = Pe outcomes.	rformance is exce	eptional – well ab	ove the expectat	ions stated in the

Rubric example 2: 3-month probation assessment

Good = Performance is above the expectations stated in the outcomes.

Acceptable = Performance meets the expectations stated in the outcomes.

Poor = Performance does not meet the expectations stated in the outcomes.

A more objective alternative for this rubric would be to have each element in a separate row. You could then have criteria, such as *Late 3 or more times* under *Poor* through to *Never late* under *Excellent*, as shown below.

Performance	Poor	Acceptable	Good	Excellent
area	1	2	3	4
Reliability				
Consistently on time	Late 3 or more times	Late 2 times	Late 1 time	Never late
Consistently on task	Off-task 3 or more times	Off-task 2 times	Off-task 1 time	Always on task
Follows all directions	Fails to follow directions 3 or more times	Fails to follow directions 2 times	Fails to follow directions 1 time	Always follows directions
Completes every task	Fails to complete 3 or more tasks	Fails to complete 2 tasks	Fails to complete 1 task	Always completes every task

Excellent = Performance is exceptional – well above the expectations stated in the outcomes.

Good = Performance is above the expectations stated in the outcomes.

Acceptable = Performance meets the expectations stated in the outcomes.

Poor = Performance does not meet the expectations stated in the outcomes.

	rformance factor	Needs improvement 1	Entry level 2	Strong performer 3	Superior performer 4
Investigative Skills	Identifies customer needs	Unable to identify needs or does not listen to customer	Eventually identifies customer needs	Recognizes customer needs	Easily and quickly recognizes customer needs
Problem Solving	Solves problems	Does not solve problems accurately	Solves problems eventually given enough time and/or assistance	Solves problems within reasonable amount of time	Solves problems quickly—takes ownership of problems
Technical/Product Knowledge	Identifies relevant policies and procedures	Has difficulty identifying and locating relevant policies and procedures	Identifies relevant policies and procedures with time and/or assistance	Identifies relevant policies and procedures within reasonable time	Quickly identifies relevant policies and procedures
Technical/Pro	Explains policies	Does not explain policies and procedures accurately	Reads policy— no interpretation or clarification	Describes at appropriate level	Interprets and clarifies at appropriate level
unication	Uses appropriate tone of voice	Sounds bored, cold— may match angry tone of customer	Uses neutral or indifferent tone	Frequently uses warm, pleasant tone	Consistently uses warm, pleasant tone
Oral Communication	Uses appropriate word choice	Uses inappropriate telephone etiquette or uses negative word choices	Occasionally uses appropriate etiquette and positive word choices	Frequently uses appropriate etiquette and positive word choices	Consistently uses appropriate etiquette and positive word choices
Customer Service Orientation	Empathizes with customer	Says nothing or ignores customer's feelings	Simply restates customer's words—moves to action too quickly	Frequently uses empathetic responses accurately	Consistently uses a variety of empathetic responses accurately
Subte	otal				
				Total Score (out of 28)	

	Poor 0 Minimum Guidance Required	Inadequate 2	Needs Improvement 3	Meets Industry Standard 4	Exceeds Industry Standard Minimum Guidance Required	Weight	Score
Service Information	Correct documentation not located		Incomplete documentation located		Correct documentation located	-	
Verify Concerns	Not attempted or completely missed		Incorrect confirmation of concern or repair		Complete confirmation of concern or repair	m	
ldentify Components	Unable to identify any components		More than one component identified incorrectly	One component identified incorrectly	All components identified correctly	-	
Describe Operation	Unable to describe any part of the operation		Partial description of component operation		Complete description of component operation	-	
Inspect	Unable to identify any faults		One or more faults not identified correctly		All faults correctly identified	-	
Measure	Unable to measure		Unable to measure correctly		Measured correctly	-	
Adjust	Unable to adjust		Adjusted incorrectly		Adjusted correctly	-	
Repair	Does not operate or function	Operates with major malfunction(s)	Operates or functions with minor malfunction(s)		Operates and functions correctly	m	
Procedure(s)	Unable to demonstrate any part of the procedure(s)	Demonstrates procedure(s) with more than one incorrect technique		Demonstrates procedure(s) with one incorrect technique	Demonstrates the correct procedure(s)	ε	
						Total Score:	75

Rubric example 4: Applied skills assessment

Checklist for creating a rubric

Follow the steps in the checklist below to create your rubric.

To create a rubric:

- □ 1. Review the learning outcome and associated criteria for success that this rubric will support.
- □ 2. Determine the numeric scale and its terminology for the top row (e.g., 1 = poor to 5 = excellent).
- □ 3. List each skill and subskill in the first column. Arrange the items in a logical order, if you can.
- □ 4. Write a clear description for the level of success or quality needed to demonstrate proficient performance or level of understanding for each skill/subskill. This is the *excellent* column.
- □ 5. Write a description for the level of success or quality needed to demonstrate the worst performance or level of understanding for each skill/subskill. This is the *poor* column.
- □ 6. For each skill/subskill, fill in the level of success or quality needed to demonstrate each level of intermediate performance or level of understanding.
- □ 7. Add weight and final score columns, if needed.
- □ 8. Format the rubric.
- 9. Write clear instructions for the observer.
- □ 10. Ask for feedback from other instructors.

References

Brookhart, S. M. (1999). The Art and Science of Classroom Assessment: The Missing Part of Pedagogy. *ASHE-ERIC Higher Education Report* 27 (1).

Pomperaug Regional School District, Connecticut (1996). *Teachers guide to performance-based learning and assessment.* Alexandria, VA: Association of Supervision and Curriculum Development.

Moskal, B. M. (2000). Scoring Rubrics: What, When and How? *Practical Assessment, Research & Evaluation,* 7(3).